


COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH

ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2018



Colorado Supreme Court

Nathan B. Coats
Chief Justice

I am pleased to present the Judicial Department's annual statistical report, providing data on probation programs and the number and type of cases filed and resolved in Colorado's courts during the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2018. The information in the report presents an overview of our efforts to expand access to justice, improve management of probationers, and ensure all disputes filed in the state's courts get the attention they deserve.

This is my first year as chief justice, and, as a result of this change to my responsibilities, I'm reviewing our statistical report from a different perspective. I'm struck by some troubling trends in our case filings, specifically the dramatic increase in criminal case filings over the past few years. This has created a sharp increase in workload for our judges, and we hope to address some of that additional workload this year through a legislative request for additional judges.

Naturally, the increase in criminal filings also leads to an increase in probation sentences and the number of probationers under our supervision. Even with the increase in probationers, this statistical report shows that our probation officers are doing a tremendous job supervising and rehabilitating offenders. The Judicial Department's Division of Probation Services provides support to our probation officers and is a national leader in exploring innovative rehabilitation strategies and implementing research-proven assessments. Having worked in the Denver District Attorney's Office decades ago, I am struck by the monumental shift that has happened with probation supervision since that time. Our emphasis on rehabilitation through the use of sanctions and incentives, which research has proven to be effective, is miles away from the probation practices I was familiar with. Because Colorado has been at the forefront of research and implementation for probation practices, we were well-prepared to handle the recent increase in criminal cases.

All this to say, the Judicial Department has a tradition of innovation and thoughtful planning, which is evidenced by the statistics in this report. I plan to continue this approach and encourage the Department to anticipate future trends, however troubling those trends might be. The Colorado Judicial Department is committed to serving the citizens of this state with integrity and to continue building public trust in our work.

Table of Contents

Forward	i
Colorado Judicial Districts Map.....	ii
Organizational Chart	iii

I. Supreme Court

List of Supreme Court Justices	1
Narrative	2
Table 1: Supreme Court Caseload, Fiscal Years 1978 to 2018	3
Table 2: Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court Fiscal Years 1992 to 2018	4
Table 3: Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, Fiscal Years 2009 to 2018.....	5
Table 4: Distribution of Case Terminations, Fiscal Years 1993 to 2018.....	6
Table 5: Supreme Court Written Opinions, Fiscal Years 2006 to 2018.....	7
Table 6: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2018	8
Table 7: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2018	8

II. Court of Appeals

List of Court of Appeals Judges	9
Narrative	10
Table 8: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings by Case Type Fiscal Year 2018.....	11
Chart A: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings by Case Type Fiscal Year 2018	11
Table 9: Colorado Court of Appeals Dispositions Fiscal Year 2018	12
Chart B: Colorado Court of Appeals Dispositions Fiscal Year 2018	12
Table 10: Colorado Court of Appeals Pending Table Fiscal Year 2018	13
Chart C: Colorado Court of Appeals Year to Date Filings Fiscal Year 2018	14
Chart D: Colorado Court of Appeals Year to Date Dispositions Fiscal Year 2018.....	14
Table 11: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings-Dispositions Fiscal Year 2018.....	15
Chart E: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings and Dispositions by Month.....	16
Chart F: Colorado Court of Appeals Pending and Prior 12 Month Filings.....	16

Table of Contents

III. District Court

List of District Court Judges	17
Narrative	18
Chart 1 and 2: District Court Case Filings: Proportion of Cases by Class, Ten Year Comparison FY 2009 and FY 2018	19
Table 12: District Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2017 and FY 2018.....	20
Table 12 Chart: New Case Filings by Case Class FY 2017 Versus FY 2018	21
Table 13: District Court Caseload FY 2009 to FY 2018.....	22
Table 13 Chart: District Court New Cases Filed FY 2009 through FY 2018.....	23
Table 14: District Court New Filings, Terminations and Percent Change by Judicial District FY 2017 and FY 2018.....	24
Table 14 Chart: District Court New Case Filings by Judicial District Comparison FY 2017 and FY 2018 ..	25
Table 15: District Court Filings and Terminations.....	26-27
Table 16: Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court.....	28-29
Table 16 Chart: Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court	30
Table 17: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type FY 2018.....	31-38
Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type FY 2018	39-44
Table 19: DUI-Felony Filings by District FY 2016 to FY 2018.....	45
Table 19 Chart: DUI-Felony Filings by District FY 2016 through 2018	46
Table 20: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type FY 2018.....	47-48
Table 21: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type FY 2018.....	49-52
Table 22: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type FY 2018.....	53-60
Table 23: District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type FY 2018	61-62
Table 24: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type FY 2018.....	63-68

IV. County Court

List of County Court Judges	69
Narrative	70
Chart 3 and 4: County Court Filings- Proportion of Cases by Class, Ten Year Comparison FY 2009 and FY 2018	71

Table of Contents

Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2017 and FY 2018.....	72
Table 25 Chart: Fiscal Year 2017 Versus Fiscal Year 2018	73
Table 26: County Court Caseload FY 2009 to FY 2018.....	74
Table 26 Chart: County Court New Case Filings FY 2009 through FY 2018	75
Table 27: County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change by Judicial District.....	76
Table 27 Chart: County Court New Case Filings by Judicial District Comparison FY 2017 and FY 2018 ..	77
Table 28: County Court Filings and Terminations by Case Class FY 2018	78-79
Table 29: Court and Jury Trials for County Court FY 2018.....	80-81
Table 29 Chart: Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in County Court FY 2018	82
Table 30: County Court DUI/DWAI Filings by District FY 2009 to FY 2018.....	83
Table 30 Chart: DUI/DWAI New Filings FY 2009 to FY 2018.....	84
Table 31: County Court Civil Filings by Case Type FY 2018.....	85-86
Table 32: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type FY 2018.....	87-94
Table 33: County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type FY 2018	95-96
Table 34: County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type FY 2018	97-98

V. Water Court

List of Water Court Judges	99
Narrative	100
Table 35: Filings, Claims and Percent Change by Water Division for FY 2017 and FY 2018	101

VI. Office of Dispute Resolution

Narrative	102
Table 36: ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage, Fiscal Year 2018	103
Table 37: ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2018.....	104
Table 38: Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2018	105

Table of Contents

VII. Financial Information

Budget/Financial Narrative	106
Chart G: Fiscal Year 2018 Statewide Total Appropriations:	107
Chart H: Fiscal Year 2018 Judicial Appropriation	107
Chart I and Chart J: Fiscal Year 2018 Judicial Appropriation Major Program	108
Collections Narrative	109
Table 39: Collections and Revenue	110
Chart K: Restitutions Collections	111
Chart L: Restitution Assessments and Totals	112-119

VIII. Colorado Probation

List of Chief Probation Officers	120
Probation Overview	121
Chart 5: Probation Active Population on June 30th Ten Year Comparison	121
Table 40: Grand Totals –Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile Regular, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations for Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018	122
Chart 6: Probation Active Population on June 30th FY 2017 Compared to FY 2018	123
Table 41: Colorado Adult Probation State Regular and Private Combined Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations Fiscal Year 2018	124

Adult State Regular Probation

Adult State Regular Probation Narrative	125
Chart 7: New Adult Regular Probationers by Gender Ten Year Comparison	126
Chart 8: New Adult Regular Probationers by Offense Type Ten Year Comparison	126
Chart 9: New Adult Regular Probationers by Age Ten Year Comparison	127
Chart 10: New Adult Regular Probationers by Ethnicity Ten Year Comparison	127
Table 42: Adult Regular Probation Investigations: Fiscal Year 2018	128
Table 43: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Offense Type	129
Table 44: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Court of Origin	130
Table 45: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Supervision Type	131

Table of Contents

Table 46: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Gender	132
Table 47: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Age	133
Table 48: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Ethnic Group	134
Table 49: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	135
Table 50: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 All Probation Supervision Closure Types	136
Table 51: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Type of Revocation	137
Table 52: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Length of Stay	138
Table 53: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 State Adult Regular and Private Probation Active Clients on June 30, 2018	139
Table 54: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Active Clients Risk Levels on June 30, 2018	140
Table 55: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Regular Adult, Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2018	141
Table 56: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Problem Solving Court, Mental Health and Economic Crime Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2018	142

Private Probation

Private Probation Narrative.....	143
Table 57: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Supervision Type	144
Table 58: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Gender	145
Table 59: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Age.....	146
Table 60: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Ethnic Group.....	147
Table 61: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	148
Table 62: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 All Closure Types	149
Table 63: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Type of Revocation	150
Table 64: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Length of Stay	151
Table 65: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Active Clients on June 30, 2018	152

Juvenile Regular Probation

Juvenile Regular Probation Narrative	153
Chart 11: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Gender Ten Year Comparison	154
Chart 12: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Offense Type Ten Year Comparison	154
Chart 13: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Age Ten Year Comparison	155
Chart 14: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Ethnicity Ten Year Comparison	155

Table of Contents

Table 66: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Investigations	156
Table 67: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Offense Type	157
Table 68: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Supervision Type	158
Table 69: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Gender	159
Table 70: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Age	160
Table 71: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Ethnic Group	161
Table 72: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	162
Table 73: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 All Probation Supervision Closure Types	163
Table 74: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Type of Revocation	164
Table 75: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Length of Stay	165
Table 76: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2018	166
Table 77: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Active Juvenile Regular and Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses Client Risk Levels, on June 30, 2018	167
Table 78: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Active Problem Solving Court and Mental Disorder Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2018	168

Probation Intensive Programs:

Intensive Probation Programs Narrative	169
Chart 15: Probation Intensive Program Active Population as of June 30, 2018 Proportion of Probationers by Intensive Program Ten Year Comparison	169

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP):

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation Narrative.....	170
Table 79: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Order Type	171
Table 80: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	172
Table 81: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Discharges	173
Table 82: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2018 Type of Revocation	174

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Narrative	175
Table 83: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Order Type	176

Table of Contents

Table 84: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations 177

Table 85: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 Discharges 178

Table 86: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 Type of Revocation 179

Female Offender Program (FOP):

Female Offender Program Narrative 180

Table 87: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Order Type 181

Table 88: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2018 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations 182

Table 89: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2018 Discharges 183

Table 90: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2018 Type of Revocation 184

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation Narrative 185

Table 91: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 New Clients by Order Type 186

Table 92: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations ... 187

Table 93: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 Discharges 188

Table 94: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2018 Type of Revocation 189

Intensive Programs Summary:

Table 95: Intensive Program Completion Transferred to Regular Probation 190

Table 96: Intensive Program Active Client Summary Fiscal Year 2018 on June 30, 2018 191

Victim Services:

Victim Services Narrative 192

Table 97: Summary of Victim Services Fiscal Year 2014 to Fiscal Year 2018 193

Table 98: Victims Services Notifications for Fiscal Year 2018 194

Table 99: Victim Services Notification of Critical Probation Event for Fiscal Year 2018 195

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Programs (ADDS):

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program Narrative 196

Table 100: Fiscal Year 2018 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients 197

Table 101: Fiscal Year 2018 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender 198

Table 102: Fiscal Year 2018 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group 199

Table of Contents

Table 103: Fiscal Year 2018 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age	200
Table 104: Fiscal Year 2018 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	201
Table 105: Fiscal Year 2018 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types	202
Table 106: Fiscal Year 2018 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Type of Revocation.....	203
Table 107: Fiscal Year 2018 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Length of Stay	204
Table 108: Fiscal Year 2018 Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2018	205

IX. Glossary of Terms

Probation:	206-208
Supreme Court:	209-210
Trial Courts:	211-236

Foreword


The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government of the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.

The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2018 provides information about the Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are caseload statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department.

All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2018 (July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018), unless otherwise specified. This report is also available electronically by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department's Web site by clicking the following link [Annual Statistical Report](#) or entering the following address in your web browser.
(<https://www.courts.state.co.us/Administration/Unit.cfm?Unit=annrep>).


If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Court Services Division or Division of Probation Services at (720) 625-5000.

Colorado Judicial Districts




Organization Chart of the Judicial Branch

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the Colorado Constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.



1. Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.
2. The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.
3. Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.



**Section I:
Supreme Court**

Supreme Court Justices and Sworn into Office Dates

Chief Justice Nathan B. Coats

*Sworn into office May 25, 2010
Appointed Chief Justice July 1, 2018*

Chief Justice Nancy E. Rice

*Sworn into Office August 31, 1998
Appointed Chief Justice January 8, 2014
Retired June 30, 2018*

Alison H. Eid

*Sworn into office March 13, 2006
Resigned November 2, 2017*

Monica M. Márquez

Sworn into Office December 10, 2010

Brian D. Boatright

Sworn into office November 21, 2011

William W. Hood, III

Sworn into office January 13, 2014

Richard L. Gabriel

Sworn into office September 1, 2015

Melissa Hart

Sworn into office December 20, 2017

**This list consists of Justices that served during Fiscal Year 2018.*

Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all other Colorado state courts.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve ten-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial System and is the ex-officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, polices the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit. For more information, please contact Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 2 East 14th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80203; or call (720) 625-5150 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available on the Judicial Branch's website at:

<http://www.courts.state.co.us/Index.cfm>.

**Table 1:
Supreme Court Caseload
Fiscal Years 1978 through 2018**

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Caseload	Cases Terminated(a)	Cases Pending June 30
1978-79	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504
1990-91	504	1,265	1,769	1,326	443
1991-92	443	1,313	1,756	1,286	470
1992-93	470	1,251	1,721	1,261	460
1993-94	460	1,277	1,737	1,290	447
1994-95	447	1,358	1,805	1,316	489
1995-96	489	1,401	1,890	1,368	522
1996-97	522	1,511	2,033	1,432	601
1997-98	601	1,520	2,121	1,561	560
1998-99	560	1,525	2,085	1,609	476
1999-00	476	1,617	2,093	1,563	530
2000-01	530	1,367	1,897	1,425	472
2001-02	472	1,368	1,840	1,415	425
2002-03	425	1,401	1,826	1,441	385
2003-04	385	1,317	1,702	1,319	383
2004-05	383	1,466	1,849	1,451	398
2005-06	398	1,393	1,791	1,400	391
2006-07	391	1,534	1,925	1,450	475
2007-08	475	1,657	2,132	1,760	372
2008-09	372	1,643	2,015	1,554	461
2009-10	461	1,518	1,979	1,554	425
2010-11	425	1,387	1,812	1,440	372
2011-12	372	1,494	1,866	1,612	254
2012-13	254	1,457	1,711	1,508	203
2013-14	203	1,465	1,668	1,401	267
2014-15	267	1,549	1,816	1,624	192
2015-16	192	1,494	1,686	1,522	164
2016-17	164	1,285	1,449	1,012	437
2017-18	437	1,231	1,668	1,216	452

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

**Table 2:
Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court
Fiscal Years 1992 through Fiscal Year 2017**

Fiscal Year	Direct Filings	Transfers		Total Filings
		From Court of	Reopened Cases	
1991-92	1,292	21	0	1,313
1992-93	1,235	16	0	1,251
1993-94	1,270	7	0	1,277
1994-95	1,356	2	0	1,358
1995-96	1,389	11	1	1,401
1996-97	1,507	4	0	1,511
1997-98	1,517	3	0	1,520
1998-99	1,521	4	0	1,525
1999-00	1,613	4	0	1,617
2000-01	1,363	4	0	1,367
2001-02	1,361	7	0	1,368
2002-03	1,393	8	0	1,401
2003-04	1,310	7	0	1,317
2004-05	1,463	3	0	1,466
2005-06	1,387	6	0	1,393
2006-07	1,530	4	0	1,534
2007-08	1,653	4	0	1,657
2008-09	1,638	5	0	1,643
2009-10	1,504	14	0	1,518
2010-11	1,379	8	0	1,387
2011-12	1,485	9	0	1,494
2012-13	1,455	2	0	1,457
2013-14	1,458	7	0	1,465
2014-15	1,534	15	0	1,549
2015-16	1,479	15	0	1,494
2016-17	1,281	4	0	1,285
2017-18	1,255	2	0	1,257

**Table 3:
Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court
Fiscal Years 2009 through 2018**

	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18
Criminal Appeals	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
Original Proceedings	269	318	270	240	259	250	242	227	176	198
Petitions in Certiorari	1112	967	909	1005	1039	1026	1089	1075	948	919
Civil Appeals (a)	13	19	14	31	5	17	15	5	34	10
Interlocutories	17	19	18	16	9	12	9	14	13	10
Statutory Reviews (b)	4	16	15	14	4	0	0	35	8	25
Habeas Corpus	16	21	25	38	13	28	56	41	26	44
Bail Reductions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Adversary Sentence Reviews	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interrogatories	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reapportionment	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reopened Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rule 21.1	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	2
Judicial Discipline or Disability	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unauthorized Practice	21	12	18	17	10	11	13	16	6	15
Original Proceedings										
In Discipline	16	26	12	16	4	4	4	3	6	4
Disability	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Continuing Legal Education	155	92	89	92	101	87	91	49	46	0
Multi-District Litigation	18	22	17	23	12	29	26	27	18	27
Total	1,657	1,643	1,517	1,387	1,494	1,457	1,549	1,494	1,285	1,257

(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions

(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews

**Table 4:
Distribution of Case Terminations
Fiscal Years 1993 through 2018**

Fiscal Year	Disposed of by Written Opinion	Disposed of Without Opinion	Total Dispositions	Transferred to Court of Appeals	Total Terminations
1992-93	181	1059	1240	21	1261
1993-94	192	1093	1285	5	1290
1994-95	233	1077	1310	6	1316
1995-96	193	1176	1369	1	1370
1996-97	214	1212	1426	6	1432
1997-98	187	1372	1559	2	1561
1998-99	196	1413	1609	6	1615
1999-00	111	1452	1563	0	1563
2000-01	128	1291	1419	6	1425
2001-02	121	1287	1408	7	1415
2002-03	85	1354	1439	2	1441
2003-04	89	1228	1317	2	1319
2004-05	93	1357	1450	1	1451
2005-06	78	1321	1399	1	1400
2006-07	86	1361	1447	3	1450
2007-08	99	1658	1757	3	1760
2008-09	67	1484	1551	3	1554
2009-10	75	1478	1553	1	1554
2010-11	98	1339	1437	3	1440
2011-12	76	1533	1609	3	1612
2012-13	77	1429	1506	2	1508
2013-14	88	1313	1401	0	1401
2014-15	67	1557	1624	1	1625
2015-16	73	1449	1522	0	1522
2016-17	109	903	1012	0	1012
2017-18	103	1113	1216	0	1216

**Table 5:
Supreme Court Written Opinions
Fiscal Years 2006 through 2018**


Fiscal Year	Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court	Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents	Number of Dissents	Number of Concurrences and Special Concurrences	Total Number of Written Opinions
2005-06	78	7	18	8	111
2006-07	83	2	19	12	116
2007-08	95	6	32	14	147
2008-09	66	3	33	8	110
2009-10	68	9	22	4	103
2010-11	98	9	29	4	140
2011-12	76	7	33	8	124
2012-13	77	6	27	11	121
2013-14	88	11	27	11	137
2014-15	67	10	16	4	97
2015-16	73	2	27	8	110
2016-17	103	5	30	18	156
2017-18	92	6	18	1	117

*Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

**Tables 6 and 7:
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue and Not at Issue
End of Fiscal Year 2018**

Table 6					
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue End of FY 2018					
	Original Proceedings	Certiorari	Appeals	Grievances	Total
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	0	20	2	0	22
Cases at issue awaiting submission of briefs	0	0	1	0	1
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	1	13	2	0	16
Cases submitted without argument	0	0	1	0	1
Opinions announced awaiting action on rehearing	0	7	2	0	9
Total	1	40	8	0	49

Table 7	
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue End of FY 2018	
Pending Cases	Total
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	53
Certiorari pending	338
Appeals pending	10
Original proceedings pending	30
Interlocutory appeals pending	2
Grievance matters pending	6
Unauthorized practice pending	12
Judicial discipline pending	1
Total	452

A large, modern, metallic spiral sculpture, possibly made of stainless steel, dominates the center of the image. It is a complex, three-dimensional helix that spirals upwards. The sculpture is set within a multi-level atrium with white walls and a high ceiling. In the background, there are balconies with glass railings and a skylight with blue-tinted glass panels. The lighting is bright and even, highlighting the reflective surfaces of the sculpture.

Section II: Court of Appeals

Court of Appeals

Judges and Appointment Dates

Chief Judge Alan M. Loeb

Appointed: July 2, 2003
Became Chief Judge: October 1, 2013

Daniel M. Taubman

Appointed: November 11, 1992

John D. Dailey

Appointed: January 7, 2000

John R. Webb

Appointed: February 1, 2002

Dennis Graham

Appointed: July 1, 2002
Retired: February 12, 2018

Robert D. Hawthorne

Appointed: August 12, 2004

Gilbert M. Roman

Appointed: August 1, 2005

David Furman

Appointed: December 16, 2005

Steve Bernard

Appointed: July 5, 2006

Diana Terry

Appointed: July 5, 2006

Jerry N. Jones

Appointed: July 5, 2006

Nancy J. Lichtenstein

Appointed: April 30, 2008

David J. Richman

Appointed: June 30, 2008

Laurie A. Booras

Appointed: January 13, 2009

Maria Teresa “Terry” Fox

Appointed: January 7, 2011

Stephanie Dunn

Appointed: November 23, 2012

Anthony J. Navarro

Appointed: January 18, 2013

Karen M Ashby

Appointed: October 1, 2013

Michael H. Berger

Appointed: December 17, 2013

Elizabeth L. Harris

Appointment: July 23, 2015

Rebecca Rankin Freyre

Appointment: November 16, 2015

Craig R. Welling

Appointment: January 16, 2017

Ted C. Tow III

Appointment: February 13, 2018

*This list consists of Judges that served during Fiscal Year 2018.

Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. As of July 1, 2008, the Court consists of 22 judges who are retained by the public to serve eight-year terms. The Court sits in three-member divisions to decide cases. The Chief Judge, appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, assigns judges to the divisions and rotates their assignments.

The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial, and timely resolutions of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law. The Court of Appeals was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to the areas specified by state statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts.

Established under Section 1 of Article VI of the [Colorado Constitution](#) and Section 13-4-101, et seq., C.R.S. ([Colorado Revised Statutes](#)), the Court of Appeals has initial jurisdiction, with exceptions, over appeals from the Colorado District Courts, Denver Probate Court, and Denver Juvenile Court. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Reviews of the Court of Appeals' decisions are directed to the Colorado Supreme Court.

Court of Appeals Webpage:

http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court_of_Appeals/Index.cfm).

Table 8 and Chart A Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings by Case Type Fiscal Year 2018

	CRIMINAL			CIVIL			INDUSTRIAL CLAIMS			JUVENILE			MONTH TOTAL			YTD TOTAL		
	FISCAL YR		%	FISCAL YR		%	FISCAL YR		%	FISCAL YR		%	FISCAL YR		%	FISCAL YR		%
	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG
JUL	52	81	55.8%	67	68	1.5%	11	7	-36.4%	21	23	9.5%	151	179	18.5%	151	179	18.5%
AUG	106	101	-4.7%	82	76	-7.3%	19	13	-31.6%	30	31	3.3%	237	221	-6.8%	388	400	3.1%
SEP	77	63	-18.2%	74	79	6.8%	24	16	-33.3%	27	30	11.1%	202	188	-6.9%	590	588	-0.3%
OCT	81	88	8.6%	66	72	9.1%	9	8	-11.1%	26	32	23.1%	182	200	9.9%	772	788	2.1%
NOV	100	92	-8.0%	64	86	34.4%	14	27	92.9%	38	36	-5.3%	216	241	11.6%	988	1029	4.1%
DEC	81	87	7.4%	73	93	27.4%	12	8	-33.3%	23	35	52.2%	189	223	18.0%	1177	1252	6.4%
JAN	87	90	3.4%	71	86	21.1%	7	5	-28.6%	33	32	-3.0%	198	213	7.6%	1375	1465	6.5%
FEB	69	80	15.9%	67	77	14.9%	12	9	-25.0%	29	23	-20.7%	177	189	6.8%	1552	1654	6.6%
MAR	77	94	22.1%	77	75	-2.6%	16	17	6.3%	37	41	10.8%	207	227	9.7%	1759	1881	6.9%
APR	70	84	20.0%	74	83	12.2%	10	10	0.0%	24	27	12.5%	178	204	14.6%	1937	2085	7.6%
MAY	95	82	-13.7%	96	68	-29.2%	10	10	0.0%	27	25	-7.4%	228	185	-18.9%	2165	2270	4.8%
JUN	94	79	-16.0%	63	97	54.0%	7	6	-14.3%	26	30	15.4%	190	212	11.6%	2355	2482	5.4%
YTD TOTAL	989	1021	3.2%	874	960	9.8%	151	136	-9.9%	341	365	7.0%	2355	2482	5.4%			

FY2018 FILINGS* -

2,482




Table 9 and Chart B: Colorado Court of Appeals Dispositions Fiscal Year 2018


	PUBLISHED OPINIONS			UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS			DISMISSED			TRANSFERS			MONTH TOTAL			YTD TOTAL		
	FISCAL YR	%		FISCAL YR	%		FISCAL YR	%		FISCAL YR	%		FISCAL YR	%		FISCAL YR	%	
	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG	2017	2018	CHG
JUL	8	13	62.5%	115	80	-30.4%	47	48	2.1%	1	0		171	141	-17.5%	171	141	-17.5%
AUG	9	10	11.1%	133	131	-1.5%	68	55	-19.1%	0	0		210	196	-6.7%	381	337	-11.5%
SEP	13	9	-30.8%	93	126	35.5%	56	59	5.4%	0	0		162	194	19.8%	543	531	-2.2%
OCT	18	12	-33.3%	119	123	3.4%	33	48	45.5%	0	1		170	184	8.2%	713	715	0.3%
NOV	14	18	28.6%	94	129	37.2%	48	58	20.8%	0	0		156	205	31.4%	869	920	5.9%
DEC	18	7	-61.1%	131	107	-18.3%	54	61	13.0%	0	1		203	176	-13.3%	1072	1096	2.2%
JAN	12	9	-25.0%	83	69	-16.9%	59	68	15.3%	1	0		155	146	-5.8%	1227	1242	1.2%
FEB	13	15	15.4%	111	124	11.7%	42	56	33.3%	1	0		167	195	16.8%	1394	1437	3.1%
MAR	12	15	25.0%	135	183	35.6%	65	44	-32.3%	1	0		213	242	13.6%	1607	1679	4.5%
APR	16	14	-12.5%	118	127	7.6%	72	72	0.0%	0	0		206	213	3.4%	1813	1892	4.4%
MAY	20	21	5.0%	121	125	3.3%	56	58	3.6%	0	0		197	204	3.6%	2010	2096	4.3%
JUN	16	10	-37.5%	114	125	9.6%	72	77	6.9%	0	0		202	212	5.0%	2212	2308	4.3%
YTD TOTAL	169	153	-9.5%	1367	1449	6.0%	672	704	4.8%	4	2	-50.0%	2212	2308	4.3%	2212	2308	4.3%

Total Change in Opinions:

4.3%

FY2018 FILINGS* -

2,308





**Table 10:
COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS PENDING TABLE
Fiscal Year 2018**

	CASES			MONTHLY CHANGE		RELATIVE TO July 2001
	NEW	CLOSED	PEND	#	%	%
Jul-06	209	189	3,064	20	0.7%	40.1%
Aug-06	248	249	3,063	-1	0.0%	40.1%
Sep-06	245	180	3,128	65	2.1%	43.0%
Oct-06	204	249	3,083	-45	-1.4%	41.0%
Nov-06	203	253	3,033	-50	-1.6%	38.7%
Dec-06	213	156	3,090	57	1.9%	41.3%
Jan-07	217	181	3,126	36	1.2%	42.9%
Feb-07	163	240	3,049	-77	-2.5%	39.4%
Mar-07	249	339	2,959	-90	-3.0%	35.3%
Apr-07	204	258	2,905	-54	-1.8%	32.8%
May-07	189	290	2,804	-101	-3.5%	28.2%
Jun-07	205	227	2,782	-22	-0.8%	27.2%
Jul-07	230	210	2,802	20	0.7%	28.1%
Aug-07	268	290	2,780	-22	-0.8%	27.1%
Sep-07	183	222	2,741	-39	-1.4%	25.3%
Oct-07	237	204	2,774	33	1.2%	26.8%
Nov-07	206	266	2,714	-60	-2.2%	24.1%
Dec-07	230	242	2,702	-12	-0.4%	23.5%
Jan-08	222	195	2,729	27	1.0%	24.8%
Feb-08	207	238	2,698	-31	-1.1%	23.4%
Mar-08	248	236	2,710	12	0.4%	23.9%
Apr-08	260	225	2,745	35	1.3%	25.5%
May-08	235	247	2,733	-12	-0.4%	25.0%
Jun-08	224	178	2,779	46	1.7%	27.1%
Jul-08	213	109	2,883	104	3.7%	31.8%
Aug-08	202	40	3,045	162	5.6%	39.2%
Sep-08	191	-29	3,265	220	7.2%	49.3%
Oct-08	180	-98	3,543	278	8.5%	62.0%
Nov-08	169	-167	3,879	336	9.5%	77.4%
Dec-08	158	-236	4,273	394	10.2%	95.4%
Jan-09	147	-305	4,725	452	10.6%	116.0%
Feb-09	136	-374	5,235	510	10.8%	139.4%
Mar-09	125	-443	5,803	568	10.9%	165.3%
Apr-09	114	-512	6,429	626	10.8%	194.0%

Change in Pending Caseload FY 2018

Month	CASES			MONTHLY CHANGE	
	NEW	CLOSED	PEND	#	%
Jul-17	179	141	2,243	38	1.7%
Aug-17	221	196	2,268	25	1.1%
Sep-17	188	194	2,262	-6	-0.3%
Oct-17	200	184	2,278	16	0.7%
Nov-17	241	205	2,314	36	1.6%
Dec-17	223	176	2,361	47	2.0%
Jan-18	213	146	2,428	67	2.8%
Feb-18	189	195	2,422	-6	-0.2%
Mar-18	227	242	2,407	-15	-0.6%
Apr-18	204	213	2,398	-9	-0.4%
May-18	185	204	2,379	-19	-0.8%
Jun-18	190	202	2,367	-12	-0.5%
Total	2,460	2,298		162	7.2%

The figures reported for the most recent 2 month period may be subject to revision






**Table 11:
Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings-Dispositions
Fiscal Year 2018**

ROLLING FILINGS/DISPOSITIONS AND PENDING CASELOAD

	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18
Begin. Pending	2,329	2,337	2,348	2,341	2,371	2,456	2,476	2,548	2,570	2,584	2,582	2,569
New Filings	179	221	188	200	241	223	213	189	227	204	184	190
Dispos	171	210	195	170	156	203	141	167	213	206	197	202
Ending Pending	2,337	2,348	2,341	2,371	2,456	2,476	2,548	2,570	2,584	2,582	2,569	2,557
Prior 12 mo. Filings	2,204	2,187	2,226	2,243	2,253	2,335	2,381	2,401	2,409	2,442	2,455	2,447
	106.0%	107.4%	105.2%	105.7%	109.0%	106.0%	107.0%	107.0%	107.3%	105.7%	104.6%	104.5%

Charts E and F: COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS NEW FILINGS-DISPOSITIONS Fiscal Year 2018





**Section III:
District Court**

District Court Judges

This list contains the names of Judges that served during FY2018.

First Judicial District

Philip J. McNulty, Chief Judge
Randall C. Arp
Christie Bachmeyer
Margie Enquist
Dennis Hall
Diego Hunt
Ann Gail Meinster
Lily W. Oeffler
Jeffrey R. Pilkington
Tamara Russell
Laura A. Tighe
Todd L. Vriesman
Christopher Zenisek

Second Judicial District

Michael A. Martinez, Chief Judge
Christopher Baumann
Karen L. Brody
Edward D. Bronfin
Ross Buchanan
Martin F. Egelhoff
J. Eric Elliff
Kandace Gerdes
Shelley I. Gilman
David Goldberg
Jay S. Grant
Morris B. Hoffman
A. Bruce Jones
Kenneth M. Laff
Catherine A. Lemon
John W. Madden, IV
Andrea P. McCallin
Robert L. McCahey, Jr.
Sheila A. Rappaport
William D. Robbins
Elizabeth A. Starrs
Jennifer B. Torrington
Michael J. Vallejos
Brian Whitney

Denver Juvenile Court

David Brett Woods, Presiding Judge
Laurie A. Clark
Donna J. Schmalberger

Denver Probate Court

Elizabeth D. Leith, Presiding Judge

Third Judicial District

Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge
Leslie J. Gerbracht

Fourth Judicial District

William B. Bain Chief Judge
Gilbert Martinez, (Chief Judge)
Eric Bentley
Linda Billings Vela
Jill Brady
Robin Chittum
Theresa M. Cisneros
Jann DuBois
David A. Gilbert
Deborah J. Grohs
Barbara L. Hughes
Thomas K. Kane
Robert L. Lowrey
Michael P. McHenry
G. David Miller
David Prince
Marla Prudek
Timothy Schutz
Larry E. Schwartz
Scott A. Sells
David L. Shakes
Erin Lynn Sokol
Gregory R. Werner

Fifth Judicial District

Mark D. Thompson, Chief Judge
Paul R. Dunkelmann
Frederick W. Gannett
Russell H. Granger
D. Wayne Patton
Karen A. Romeo

Sixth Judicial District

Jeffrey R. Wilson, Chief Judge
Suzanne F. Carlson
William L. Herringer
Todd P. Norvell

Seventh Judicial District

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge
Mary E. Deganhart
Cory Jackson
Steven L. Schultz
David S. Westfall
Keri Yoder

Eighth Judicial District

Stephen E. Howard, Chief Judge
Susan Blanco
Carroll Michelle Brinegar
Julie K. Field
Thomas R. French
Stephen Jouard
Gregory M. Lammons
Deven R. Odell

Ninth Judicial District

James B. Boyd, Chief Judge
Denise K. Lynch
John F. Neiley
Anne Norrdin
Christopher Seldin

Tenth Judicial District

Deborah R. Eyler, Chief Judge
William Alexander
Allison Ernst
Thomas B. Flesher
Kim Karn
Jill S. Mattoon
Larry C. Schwartz

Eleventh Judicial District

Patrick W. Murphy, Chief Judge
Stephen A. Groome
Ramsey L. Lama
Lynette M. Wenner

Twelfth Judicial District

Pattie P. Swift, Chief Judge
Martin A. Gonzales
Michael A. Gonzales
Patrick Hayes

Thirteenth Judicial District

Michael K. Singer, Chief Judge
Charles M. Hobbs
Kevin Hoyer
Carl S. McGuire, III

Fourteenth Judicial District

Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge
Shelley A. Hill
Mary C. Hoak

Fifteenth Judicial District

Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge
Michael Davidson

Sixteenth Judicial District

Mark A. MacDonnell, Chief Judge
Michael A. Schiferl

Seventeenth Judicial District

Patrick T. Murphy, Chief Judge
Emily Anderson
Jaclyn Brown
Tomee Crespin
Katherine R. Delgado
Thomas R. Ensor
Sean Finn
F. Michael Goodbee
Sharon Holbrook
Robert W. Kiesnowski, Jr.
Priscilla Jeffrey Loew
Edward C. Moss
Donald S. Quick
Roberto Ramirez
Ted C. Tow
Mark D. Warner

Eighteenth Judicial District

Carlos Armando Samour, Jr., Chief Judge
Michelle Amico
Andrea C. Baum
Natalie Chase
F. Stephen Collins
Phillip Douglass
Patricia Herron
Jeffrey K. Holmes
Kurt A. Horton
Gary M. Kramer
Paul A. King
Ben Leutwyler
Robert Lung
Cynthia Mares
Fredrick Martinez
Bonnie H. McLean
Peter Michaelson
Charles M. Pratt
Teresa Slade
Michael Spear
David Stevens
Elizabeth B. Volz
Elizabeth A. Weishaupl
John Wheeler
Shay Whitaker

Nineteenth Judicial District

James F. Hartmann, Chief Judge
W. Troy Hause
Julie Hoskins
Timothy Kerns
Marcelo A. Kopcow
Shannon Lyons
Thomas J. Quammen
Elizabeth B. Strobel
Todd Taylor

Twentieth Judicial District

Ingrid S. Bakke, Chief Judge
Maria Berkenkotter, Chief Judge
Patrick Butler
Andrew Hartman
Judith LaBuda
Bruce Langer
Andrew MacDonald
Thomas F. Mulvahill
Nancy Salomone
Norma A. Sierra

Twenty-First Judicial District

Brian J. Flynn, Chief Judge
Dick Gurley
Gretchen Larson
Valerie J. Robinson
Lance Timbreza

Twenty-Second Judicial District

Douglas S. Walker, Chief Judge
Todd J. Plewe


District Court

Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven counties. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 188 district judges that served during Fiscal Year 2018.



District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado’s municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2018, there were 232,803 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado’s district courts were able to terminate 228,041 cases during that same 12-month period. Compared to Fiscal Year 2017, district court filings in Fiscal Year 2018 have increased by 8.09 percent. The greatest area of increase was in Civil filings, which increased by 19.28 percent. Alternatively, Domestic Relations filings decreased by 2.00 percent.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at <https://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/District/Choose.cfm>.



**Chart 1 and Chart 2:
District Case Filings
Proportion of Cases by Class - Ten Year Comparison**




**Table 12:
District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018**

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY17	FY18	Percent Change
Civil	80,632	96,176	19.28%
Criminal	51,775	54,479	5.22%
Domestic Relations	35,057	34,357	-2.00%
Juvenile	23,339	23,120	-0.94%
Mental Health	7,947	7,933	-0.18%
Probate	16,619	16,738	0.72%
Total	215,369	232,803	8.09%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY17	FY18	Percent Change
Civil	80,917	95,618	18.17%
Criminal	47,998	51,258	6.79%
Domestic Relations	34,799	34,348	-1.30%
Juvenile	21,722	22,072	1.61%
Mental Health	7,905	7,994	1.13%
Probate	16,699	16,751	0.31%
Total	210,040	228,041	8.57%


**TABLE 12 CHART
NEW CASE FILINGS BY CASE CLASS
FISCAL YEAR 2017 VERSUS FISCAL YEAR 2018**



**Table 13:
District Court Caseload
Fiscal Year 2009 to Fiscal Year 2018**

Case Class	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	67,480	116,346	125,597	169,055	108,634	96,325	101,112	88,277	80,632	96,176
Cases Terminated	65,909	117,836	126,804	169,186	111,606	97,728	101,355	88,758	80,917	95,618
CRIMINAL										
New Cases Filed	39,464	36,993	35,966	35,551	37,888	37,966	40,903	46,004	51,775	54,479
Cases Terminated	40,169	37,905	36,324	34,957	37,293	37,615	39,343	42,730	47,998	51,258
DOMESTIC RELATIONS										
New Cases Filed	33,190	35,624	36,009	35,434	34,630	34,907	34,841	34,966	35,057	34,357
Cases Terminated	32,426	34,965	35,748	35,683	34,593	35,067	34,352	34,877	34,799	34,348
JUVENILE										
New Cases Filed	32,165	30,360	29,958	28,731	27,296	24,600	24,681	24,324	23,339	23,120
Cases Terminated	30,170	29,855	29,326	26,462	26,951	23,866	23,274	22,518	21,722	22,072
MENTAL HEALTH										
New Cases Filed	4,795	5,159	5,543	6,064	6,480	7,072	7,326	7,689	7,947	7,933
Cases Terminated	4,865	5,127	5,483	5,744	6,531	7,072	7,408	7,731	7,905	7,994
PROBATE										
New Cases Filed	11,443	12,189	13,655	14,042	15,553	15,203	15,728	16,309	16,619	16,738
Cases Terminated	11,780	12,777	14,067	17,387	15,578	15,387	15,718	16,151	16,699	16,751
TOTAL										
<i>New Cases Filed</i>	<i>188,537</i>	<i>236,671</i>	<i>246,728</i>	<i>288,877</i>	<i>230,481</i>	<i>216,073</i>	<i>224,591</i>	<i>217,569</i>	<i>215,369</i>	<i>232,803</i>
<i>Cases Terminated</i>	<i>185,319</i>	<i>238,465</i>	<i>247,752</i>	<i>289,419</i>	<i>232,552</i>	<i>216,735</i>	<i>221,450</i>	<i>212,765</i>	<i>210,040</i>	<i>228,041</i>

Table 13 Chart
District Court New Cases Filed
Fiscal Year 2009 through 2018




**Table 14:
District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY17	FY18	Percent Change	FY17	FY18	Percent Change
1	19,738	21,117	6.99%	19,559	20,586	5.25%
2	30,709	36,896	20.15%	30,521	37,034	21.34%
Juvenile-2	3,326	2,869	-13.74%	3,051	2,881	-5.57%
Probate-2	3,652	3,668	0.44%	3,976	3,808	-4.23%
3	1,163	1,316	13.16%	1,104	1,238	12.14%
4	28,848	29,994	3.97%	27,236	28,869	6.00%
5	3,682	3,779	2.63%	3,570	3,592	0.62%
6	2,730	2,799	2.53%	2,733	2,801	2.49%
7	3,700	3,890	5.14%	3,544	3,866	9.09%
8	10,796	11,705	8.42%	10,427	11,271	8.09%
9	3,251	3,389	4.24%	3,106	3,327	7.12%
10	8,436	8,960	6.21%	8,275	8,956	8.23%
11	3,817	3,624	-5.06%	3,704	3,670	-0.92%
12	2,749	2,802	1.93%	2,697	2,897	7.42%
13	3,276	3,500	6.84%	3,171	3,302	4.13%
14	1,910	2,074	8.59%	1,831	2,138	16.77%
15	954	967	1.36%	918	896	-2.40%
16	1,388	1,628	17.29%	1,346	1,510	12.18%
17	20,471	22,813	11.44%	19,937	22,193	11.32%
18	30,914	33,405	8.06%	30,320	32,702	7.86%
19	11,143	12,322	10.58%	10,682	11,649	9.05%
20	9,893	10,300	4.11%	9,735	10,005	2.77%
21	7,612	7,693	1.06%	7,428	7,533	1.41%
22	1,211	1,293	6.77%	1,169	1,317	12.66%
State Total^(a)	215,369	232,803	8.09%	210,040	228,041	8.57%

^(a) Totals do not include Water Court.

Table 14 Chart
District Court-New Case Filings by Judicial District
Comparison FY 2017 and FY 2018



**Table 15:
District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile		Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	270	226	116	111	30	35	19	13	1	1	23	26	459	412
	Jefferson	4,777	4,501	8,010	7,892	3,158	3,059	2,091	2,077	722	730	1,900	1,915	20,658	20,174
	Total	5,047	4,727	8,126	8,003	3,188	3,094	2,110	2,090	723	731	1,923	1,941	21,117	20,586
2	Denver	6,736	6,900	25,577	25,612	4,583	4,522	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36,896	37,034
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,869	2,881	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,869	2,881
	Denver Probate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,475	1,474	2,193	2,334	3,668	3,808
	Total	6,736	6,900	25,577	25,612	4,583	4,522	2,869	2,881	1,475	1,474	2,193	2,334	43,433	43,723
3	Huerfano	207	175	100	92	52	46	68	69	4	3	43	43	474	428
	Las Animas	292	243	224	234	109	134	142	120	16	16	59	63	842	810
	Total	499	418	324	326	161	180	210	189	20	19	102	106	1,316	1,238
4	El Paso	7,281	6,895	9,629	9,617	5,791	5,722	3,214	2,687	1,284	1,283	1,887	1,838	29,086	28,042
	Teller	309	263	281	268	121	107	79	73	27	27	91	89	908	827
	Total	7,590	7,158	9,910	9,885	5,912	5,829	3,293	2,760	1,311	1,310	1,978	1,927	29,994	28,869
5	Clear Creek	131	129	141	140	46	50	101	97	7	7	33	18	459	441
	Eagle	354	296	995	976	228	235	90	90	16	16	151	147	1,834	1,760
	Lake	116	105	100	96	45	28	28	29	5	5	34	29	328	292
	Summit	371	327	538	526	140	148	43	39	14	10	52	49	1,158	1,099
	Total	972	857	1,774	1,738	459	461	262	255	42	38	270	243	3,779	3,592
6	Archuleta	159	137	219	231	80	79	42	45	14	14	74	68	588	574
	La Plata	682	667	704	695	305	307	118	127	179	188	174	188	2,162	2,172
	San Juan	8	8	34	35	3	4	2	4	0	0	2	4	49	55
	Total	849	812	957	961	388	390	162	176	193	202	250	260	2,799	2,801
7	Delta	337	281	403	396	222	245	133	133	9	14	135	178	1,239	1,247
	Gunnison	142	124	215	211	63	65	44	43	8	7	38	34	510	484
	Hinsdale	2	3	13	11	2	1	2	3	0	0	9	9	28	27
	Montrose	493	495	471	485	293	308	242	221	7	8	167	173	1,673	1,690
	Ouray	28	24	61	55	17	23	4	3	2	2	17	16	129	123
	San Miguel	68	46	167	174	40	45	19	11	0	0	17	19	311	295
	Total	1,070	973	1,330	1,332	637	687	444	414	26	31	383	429	3,890	3,866
8	Jackson	13	12	17	20	9	9	6	4	0	0	17	15	62	60
	Larimer	3,282	3,029	3,806	3,774	1,822	1,811	1,350	1,216	481	496	902	885	11,643	11,211
	Total	3,295	3,041	3,823	3,794	1,831	1,820	1,356	1,220	481	496	919	900	11,705	11,271
9	Garfield	639	620	954	970	421	384	239	250	22	21	141	133	2,416	2,378
	Pitkin	102	90	395	382	95	96	29	30	15	16	80	79	716	693
	Rio Blanco	76	74	89	92	41	42	29	24	0	0	22	24	257	256
	Total	817	784	1,438	1,444	557	522	297	304	37	37	243	236	3,389	3,327
10	Pueblo	2,715	2,757	2,684	2,644	1,337	1,305	1,256	1,294	302	312	666	644	8,960	8,956
11	Chaffee	273	261	239	218	106	116	75	85	16	17	100	95	809	792
	Custer	52	61	64	70	30	33	19	19	1	1	24	26	190	210
	Fremont	597	687	622	608	305	284	250	255	49	46	172	173	1,995	2,053
	Park	138	124	260	247	80	86	37	36	16	16	99	106	630	615
	Total	1,060	1,133	1,185	1,143	521	519	381	395	82	80	395	400	3,624	3,670

**Table 15:
District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile		Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	580	709	260	271	187	170	151	156	39	38	57	58	1,274	1,402
	Conejos	198	154	91	89	53	45	49	46	4	4	37	44	432	382
	Costilla	81	63	63	65	24	27	26	28	6	6	75	85	275	274
	Mineral	4	4	13	15	3	3	1	3	0	0	8	10	29	35
	Rio Grande	203	222	125	123	77	79	78	70	16	18	63	73	562	585
	Saguache	69	47	61	59	47	42	23	30	8	7	22	34	230	219
	Total	1,135	1,199	613	622	391	366	328	333	73	73	262	304	2,802	2,897
13	Kit Carson	139	126	77	74	46	42	32	27	20	20	35	34	349	323
	Logan	465	361	234	249	117	142	141	122	43	44	97	101	1,097	1,019
	Morgan	487	369	359	355	186	200	142	132	28	26	128	170	1,330	1,252
	Phillips	25	29	43	41	24	27	17	12	4	4	16	14	129	127
	Sedgwick	30	33	34	37	20	20	5	6	4	4	9	8	102	108
	Washington	46	41	51	48	36	40	19	18	4	1	33	30	189	178
	Yuma	49	50	107	108	43	42	34	26	13	13	58	56	304	295
Total	1,241	1,009	905	912	472	513	390	343	116	112	376	413	3,500	3,302	
14	Grand	126	146	238	241	46	77	13	13	6	4	50	52	479	533
	Moffat	311	300	220	237	109	111	72	69	6	6	74	75	792	798
	Routt	176	178	368	373	132	124	35	38	5	5	87	89	803	807
	Total	613	624	826	851	287	312	120	120	17	15	211	216	2,074	2,138
15	Baca	32	20	36	41	18	22	9	10	2	2	36	38	133	133
	Cheyenne	26	22	24	20	7	13	4	0	3	3	19	18	83	76
	Kiowa	12	15	18	18	6	10	12	15	0	0	15	17	63	75
	Prowers	282	227	143	136	107	102	104	94	3	4	49	49	688	612
	Total	352	284	221	215	138	147	129	119	8	9	119	122	967	896
16	Bent	163	117	99	84	39	41	30	18	8	5	26	30	365	295
	Crowley	105	113	86	84	21	21	35	38	2	1	16	9	265	266
	Otero	384	331	213	216	140	133	165	163	11	14	85	92	998	949
	Total	652	561	398	384	200	195	230	219	21	20	127	131	1,628	1,510
17	Adams	5,242	4,782	9,160	9,042	2,903	2,966	1,889	1,891	516	548	1,007	974	20,717	20,203
	Broomfield	486	381	880	871	350	355	173	182	47	49	160	152	2,096	1,990
	Total	5,728	5,163	10,040	9,913	3,253	3,321	2,062	2,073	563	597	1,167	1,126	22,813	22,193
18	Arapahoe	3,746	3,342	11,393	11,212	3,346	3,429	2,440	2,498	938	904	1,686	1,629	23,549	23,014
	Douglas	1,350	1,305	3,805	3,834	1,695	1,599	1,063	1,048	239	246	619	616	8,771	8,648
	Elbert	145	143	287	278	145	142	72	54	34	35	62	63	745	715
	Lincoln	153	141	97	97	33	33	30	28	3	3	24	23	340	325
	Total	5,394	4,931	15,582	15,421	5,219	5,203	3,605	3,628	1,214	1,188	2,391	2,331	33,405	32,702
19	Weld	3,063	2,773	4,210	4,120	1,997	2,043	1,753	1,443	269	278	1,030	992	12,322	11,649
20	Boulder	2,687	2,394	3,737	3,772	1,430	1,464	872	813	566	573	1,008	989	10,300	10,005
21	Mesa	2,520	2,322	2,121	2,136	1,201	1,239	910	911	354	355	587	570	7,693	7,533
22	Dolores	19	26	40	36	17	19	7	4	0	0	16	15	99	100
	Montezuma	425	412	355	354	178	197	74	88	40	44	122	122	1,194	1,217
	Total	444	438	395	390	195	216	81	92	40	44	138	137	1,293	1,317
State Total		54,479	51,258	96,176	95,618	34,357	34,348	23,120	22,072	7,933	7,994	16,738	16,751	232,803	228,041


**Table 16:
Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials						
1	Gilpin	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Jefferson	2	126	23	17	14	1	39	144
	<i>Total</i>	2	132	23	17	14	1	39	150
2	Denver	2	129	42	66	0	0	44	195
	Denver Juvenile	0	0	0	0	41	4	41	4
	<i>Total</i>	2	129	42	66	41	4	85	199
3	Huerfano	0	2	3	0	2	0	5	2
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	3	0	2	0	5	2
4	El Paso	4	205	13	28	27	2	44	235
	Teller	0	11	2	0	0	0	2	11
	<i>Total</i>	4	216	15	28	27	2	46	246
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
	Eagle	0	5	7	2	1	0	8	7
	Lake	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	2
	Summit	0	10	0	2	0	1	0	13
	<i>Total</i>	0	18	8	4	2	1	10	23
6	Archuleta	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	5
	La Plata	1	12	3	2	1	1	5	15
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	17	4	2	1	1	6	20
7	Delta	0	3	4	0	1	0	5	3
	Gunnison	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	5	1	0	5	1	6	6
	Ouray	0	3	2	0	0	0	2	3
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	11	8	0	6	1	16	12
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	5	48	15	14	15	0	35	62
	<i>Total</i>	5	48	15	14	15	0	35	62
9	Garfield	0	8	3	1	2	3	5	12
	Pitkin	0	1	2	2	0	0	2	3
	Rio Blanco	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	11	5	4	2	3	7	18
10	Pueblo	0	34	6	3	12	0	18	37
11	Chaffee	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
	Custer	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Fremont	2	6	0	1	3	0	5	7
	Park	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	7	5	1	3	0	10	8

**Table 16:
Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials						
12	Alamosa	0	9	1	1	1	0	2	10
	Conejos	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Costilla	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	20	2	2	2	0	4	22
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	3
	Logan	0	12	1	0	1	0	2	12
	Morgan	0	4	3	0	1	0	4	4
	Phillips	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	1
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Yuma	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
<i>Total</i>	0	23	6	1	3	2	9	26	
14	Grand	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Moffat	0	3	3	0	1	0	4	3
	Routt	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	6	0	1	0	7	5
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	1	0	2	0	3	1
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
	Otero	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	1	1	1	0	2	7
17	Adams	1	77	16	21	24	4	41	102
	Broomfield	0	6	1	2	2	2	3	10
	<i>Total</i>	1	83	17	23	26	6	44	112
18	Arapahoe	1	102	28	26	27	2	56	130
	Douglas	0	22	9	10	3	2	12	34
	Elbert	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
	Lincoln	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	1	132	38	37	30	4	69	173
19	Weld	3	49	15	8	16	2	34	59
20	Boulder	1	35	9	17	6	1	16	53
21	Mesa	5	73	5	7	11	3	21	83
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	3
<i>State Total</i>		29	1,055	235	235	223	31	487	1,321

**TABLE 16 CHART
NUMBER OF COURT AND JURY TRIALS HELD IN DISTRICT COURT
FISCAL YEAR 2018**



**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easments	County Court or Municipal Appeal	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Disclaimer	Distrain Warrant	Employment Discrimination	Foreclosure Other Than Rule 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	0	0	59	0	2	0
	Jefferson	228	4	0	4	2	0	94	27	3	0	5,746	2	84	43
	Total	231	4	0	4	2	1	101	28	3	0	5,805	2	86	43
2	Denver	562	17	28	16	102	0	110	133	0	0	20,202	21	58	87
3	Huerfano	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	0	1	1
	Las Animas	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	1	1	1
	Total	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	178	1	2	2
4	El Paso	297	4	24	2	2	0	82	31	3	0	6,366	5	56	31
	Teller	7	0	1	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	170	0	0	0
	Total	304	4	25	2	2	0	93	31	3	0	6,536	5	56	31
5	Clear Creek	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	91	0	1	1
	Eagle	46	1	2	2	1	0	10	5	1	0	672	0	48	4
	Lake	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	0	2	0
	Summit	25	0	0	2	0	0	6	4	0	0	316	0	42	4
	Total	76	1	2	7	1	0	17	10	1	0	1,152	0	93	9
6	Archuleta	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	123	0	19	0
	La Plata	15	0	1	0	0	0	13	7	2	0	482	0	8	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	32	0	0	0
	Total	18	0	1	1	0	0	16	9	2	0	637	0	27	2
7	Delta	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	227	0	3	1
	Gunnison	12	0	2	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	125	0	1	2
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	0	0	3	0	0	6	3	0	0	325	0	2	1
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	35	0	1	1
	San Miguel	5	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	116	2	4	1
Total	28	0	2	4	0	0	18	15	1	0	837	0	11	6	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	1
	Larimer	162	1	1	6	0	0	30	15	3	0	2,546	2	16	14
	Total	162	1	1	6	0	0	31	15	3	0	2,554	2	16	15
9	Garfield	35	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	702	1	3	2
	Pitkin	35	0	0	0	1	0	7	9	0	0	219	0	4	1
	Rio Blanco	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	0	2	0
	Total	73	0	0	0	1	0	12	13	0	0	979	1	9	3
10	Pueblo	60	0	1	1	0	0	15	12	1	0	1,740	0	10	17
11	Chaffee	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	125	0	2	2
	Custer	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	38	0	1	0
	Fremont	9	0	0	0	0	0	14	3	0	0	348	0	2	4
	Park	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	0	0	143	0	12	1
	Total	21	0	0	0	1	0	26	12	0	0	654	0	17	7

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Foreign Judgment	Fraud	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena
1	Gilpin	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	0	2	2	0	6	0
	Jefferson	26	14	2	20	4	1	11	36	425	11	37	46	7	142	38
	Total	28	14	2	20	4	1	12	38	433	11	39	48	7	148	38
2	Denver	54	34	133	137	46	5	78	108	351	31	88	71	152	161	138
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5	2	1	1	0	9	0
	Las Animas	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	0	1	0	1	7	2
	Total	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	17	2	2	1	1	16	2
4	El Paso	41	16	20	29	0	4	27	24	621	18	3	56	8	138	34
	Teller	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	10	2	1	2	0	10	3
	Total	42	16	20	33	0	4	27	25	631	20	4	58	8	148	37
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	2	2
	Eagle	10	4	0	4	0	4	1	5	40	0	1	11	1	17	4
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	2	0
	Summit	4	1	2	2	0	4	0	2	19	0	0	18	0	2	3
	Total	14	5	2	6	0	8	1	7	65	0	5	31	1	23	9
6	Archuleta	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	2	0	3	2
	La Plata	4	2	1	6	0	0	1	0	31	3	1	5	1	26	4
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	5	3	1	8	0	0	1	1	43	3	1	7	1	29	6
7	Delta	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	24	5	2	2	0	7	3
	Gunnison	1	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	2	0	7	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Montrose	2	3	1	2	0	0	0	1	16	2	3	1	3	13	2
	Ouray	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	1
	San Miguel	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	6	0
Total	4	7	1	13	0	0	0	2	56	3	5	8	3	35	6	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	0
	Larimer	19	5	3	18	2	2	16	13	221	4	24	25	6	67	22
	Total	19	5	3	18	2	2	16	13	223	4	24	26	6	70	22
9	Garfield	9	0	1	6	0	1	2	2	58	4	3	7	1	6	5
	Pitkin	10	3	0	10	2	0	2	0	17	0	1	5	0	8	5
	Rio Blanco	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Total	21	4	1	17	2	1	4	2	78	4	5	12	1	15	10
10	Pueblo	13	2	4	38	0	0	6	3	192	6	16	9	2	30	6
11	Chaffee	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	21	1	0	4	0	3	3
	Custer	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	0
	Fremont	4	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	24	1	0	4	0	6	1
	Park	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	6	0
	Total	8	5	1	8	0	3	1	0	60	2	1	9	0	18	4

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Personal Injury	Personal Injury -	Petition to Seal	Petition to Seal	Prohibition	Property Damage	Protection Order	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin	Review -	Rule 105	Rule 106 -	Rule 120
			Motor Vehicle	Criminal Conviction	Criminal Record							Other Local Government / Person		Writs / Contempt	Deed of Trust
1	Gilpin	7	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4
	Jefferson	111	313	35	67	0	3	0	4	0	28	16	26	9	325
	Total	118	315	35	72	0	3	0	4	0	28	16	28	9	329
2	Denver	251	918	103	221	0	6	11	98	2	60	59	21	38	404
3	Huerfano	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	1	14
	Las Animas	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	5	38
	Total	0	8	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	9	6	52
4	El Paso	104	490	16	132	0	2	0	41	0	34	20	24	26	742
	Teller	4	7	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	7	1	30
	Total	108	497	18	135	0	3	0	42	0	35	21	31	27	772
5	Clear Creek	3	3	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	13
	Eagle	17	4	7	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	10	4	32
	Lake	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	5
	Summit	13	8	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	3	37
	Total	34	15	12	30	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	25	10	87
6	Archuleta	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	14	1	18
	La Plata	10	9	11	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	10	0	32
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	11	12	11	13	0	0	0	2	0	6	2	25	1	77
7	Delta	3	6	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	3	73
	Gunnison	4	1	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	9
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	5	3	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	6	3	51
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	7
	Total	17	12	9	21	0	1	1	0	0	4	2	17	8	148
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	54	146	21	39	0	2	0	34	0	30	10	16	7	177
	Total	54	146	21	39	0	2	0	34	0	30	10	16	7	178
9	Garfield	3	6	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	4	2	57
	Pitkin	6	8	4	9	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	6	1	15
	Rio Blanco	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	13
	Total	10	14	8	18	0	1	0	0	0	9	4	11	4	85
10	Pueblo	19	99	13	30	0	4	1	0	0	6	4	14	4	297
11	Chaffee	0	4	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	26	11
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5
	Fremont	4	10	2	6	0	1	0	0	0	6	2	15	35	79
	Park	2	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	23	2	27
	Total	6	18	4	13	0	1	0	0	0	11	2	45	63	122

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total	Total minus Distrant and Rule 120 Cases
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116	53
	Jefferson	0	1	2	0	0	7	0	1	3	2	8,010	1,939
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	2	0	0	7	0	1	3	2	8,126	1,992
2	Denver	173	1	3	5	2	37	185	24	18	14	25,577	4,971
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	39
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	224	55
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	324	94
4	El Paso	5	0	9	2	0	7	0	19	12	2	9,629	2,521
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	281	81
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	9	2	0	7	0	19	12	2	9,910	2,602
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	141	37
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	995	291
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	22
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	538	185
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1,774	535
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	219	78
	La Plata	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	704	190
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	957	270
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	403	103
	Gunnison	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	215	81
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	4
	Montrose	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	471	95
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	19
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	167	43
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	11	3	0	1,330	338
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	8
	Larimer	0	0	19	2	0	2	1	0	2	1	3,806	1,083
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	19	2	0	2	1	0	2	1	3,823	1,091
9	Garfield	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	954	195
	Pitkin	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	395	161
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89	18
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1,438	374
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	2	2,684	647
11	Chaffee	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	239	103
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	21
	Fremont	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	622	195
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	260	90
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	38	0	1	1,185	409

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	County Court				Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easments	County Court or Municipal Appeal	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Disclaimer	Distraint Warrant	Employment Discrimination	Foreclosure Other Than Rule 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer
		Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	Counter Claim	Condemnation										
12	Alamosa	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	164	0	1	0
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	54	0	0	1
	Costilla	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	35	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	80	0	2	0
	Saguache	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	24	0	0	1
	Total	13	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	362	0	4	2
13	Kit Carson	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	36	0	0	0
	Logan	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	139	0	1	1
	Morgan	5	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	253	1	0	1
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	27	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	20	0	0	1
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	30	0	1	0
	Yuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	0	0	1
Total	21	0	0	1	0	0	10	8	1	1	573	1	2	4	
14	Grand	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	2	0	153	0	21	1
	Moffat	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	150	0	0	2
	Routt	8	0	0	1	0	0	1	7	0	0	238	0	6	0
	Total	12	0	0	2	0	0	5	12	3	0	541	0	27	3
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
	Prowers	7	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	76	0	0	0
	Total	8	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	131	0	0	0
16	Bent	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	47	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	31	0	1	1
	Otero	3	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	129	1	3	0
	Total	5	0	0	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	207	1	4	1
17	Adams	223	4	13	5	0	0	59	27	2	0	6,945	1	73	23
	Broomfield	21	2	1	0	68	0	5	6	1	0	562	0	2	5
	Total	244	6	14	5	68	0	64	33	3	0	7,507	1	75	28
18	Arapahoe	296	5	0	4	3	0	105	41	3	0	8,137	3	172	55
	Douglas	156	1	0	3	0	0	13	10	1	0	2,546	1	72	26
	Elbert	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	183	0	6	4
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	44	0	0	1
	Total	460	6	0	7	3	0	120	56	4	0	10,910	4	250	86
19	Weld	106	1	2	22	0	0	36	17	6	0	3,033	1	25	5
20	Boulder	199	5	8	3	2	0	45	16	2	0	2,392	4	18	16
21	Mesa	41	2	4	0	2	0	24	11	0	0	1,378	0	3	1
22	Dolores	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0
	Montezuma	10	1	0	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	235	0	3	0
	Total	11	1	0	1	0	0	7	2	0	0	265	0	3	0
State Total		2,663	48	88	87	184	1	760	440	33	0	68,573	44	796	368
Percent of State Total		3%	0.05%	0.09%	0.09%	0.19%	0.001%	1%	0.46%	0.03%	0%	71%	0.046%	1%	0.38%

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Foreign Judgment	Fraud	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	0	0	9	1
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	0
	Saguache	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	3	1
	Total	1	1	0	5	0	0	1	5	20	0	11	0	0	14	2
13	Kit Carson	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	3	0
	Logan	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	1	0	14	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	17	1	2	3	0	8	1
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0
	Yuma	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	1	0	1	4	1
Total	2	1	0	10	1	0	0	0	43	1	11	5	1	37	3	
14	Grand	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	6	0	0	1	1	7	0
	Moffat	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	4	0
	Routt	7	0	0	0	2	0	1	4	19	0	0	3	1	4	6
	Total	10	0	0	4	2	1	1	8	38	1	0	4	2	15	6
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	2	5	0
	Total	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	17	0	1	0	3	9	0
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	15	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	4	0	0	4	0
	Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	0	4	2	0	19	0
17	Adams	25	9	3	8	1	1	13	13	451	13	41	32	11	71	15
	Broomfield	6	3	0	4	0	0	0	5	49	1	1	3	1	8	6
	Total	31	12	3	12	1	1	13	18	500	14	42	35	12	79	21
18	Arapahoe	62	18	10	18	8	1	26	33	551	18	45	66	25	166	63
	Douglas	28	6	11	11	2	5	7	28	269	5	12	24	10	50	33
	Elbert	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	23	0	0	2	0	4	2
	Lincoln	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	16	0
	Total	91	25	24	32	10	6	33	62	847	23	57	92	35	236	98
19	Weld	12	4	1	16	1	0	1	10	229	5	11	24	6	45	10
20	Boulder	36	9	2	16	1	1	13	9	152	2	9	48	4	53	29
21	Mesa	9	3	1	6	0	1	4	3	121	2	22	4	4	43	9
22	Dolores	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	20	2	1	2	0	6	0
	Total	5	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	22	2	1	2	0	7	0
State Total		408	151	200	405	70	34	215	315	4,150	136	359	496	249	1,250	456
Percent of State Total		0.424%	0.16%	0.21%	0.42%	0.07%	0.04%	0.22%	0.33%	4%	0.14%	0.37%	0.52%	0.26%	1.30%	0.47%

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Prohibition	Property Damage	Protection Order	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin	Review - Other Local Government / Person	Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust
12	Alamosa	3	6	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	9	0	27
	Conejos	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	14
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	0	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	20
	Saguache	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	10
	Total	7	12	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	4	33	0	75
13	Kit Carson	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	12
	Logan	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	9	20
	Morgan	0	3	1	6	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	8	0	31
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	6
	Washington	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	16
	Total	3	8	2	8	0	0	0	1	0	12	1	14	9	93
14	Grand	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	15
	Moffat	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	1	0	30
	Routt	9	6	6	9	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	5	1	18
	Total	11	7	7	12	0	2	1	0	0	9	2	11	1	63
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Prowers	0	5	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	21
	Total	0	6	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	24
16	Bent	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	9
	Crowley	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	25	7
	Otero	4	7	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	31
	Total	6	9	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	39	47
17	Adams	95	317	21	40	0	1	0	27	0	56	14	16	5	456
	Broomfield	9	38	14	22	0	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	1	21
	Total	104	355	35	62	0	1	0	32	0	59	15	16	6	477
18	Arapahoe	149	512	55	97	0	7	0	9	1	38	35	21	14	484
	Douglas	40	137	15	34	0	1	0	4	0	27	0	7	17	176
	Elbert	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	3	25
	Lincoln	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	8	8
	Total	189	651	71	135	0	8	0	15	1	67	35	34	42	693
19	Weld	33	114	7	25	1	3	0	0	0	52	4	24	4	273
20	Boulder	82	235	18	120	0	3	1	10	0	16	11	11	2	118
21	Mesa	15	42	14	42	0	3	2	0	0	7	0	12	2	279
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
	Montezuma	5	3	1	10	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	2	31
	Total	5	3	1	10	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	4	2	32
State Total		1,083	3,496	395	1,036	1	41	18	238	3	435	203	410	284	4,725
Percent of State Total		1%	4%	0.41%	1%	0.00%	0.04%	0.02%	0.25%	0.00%	0.45%	0.21%	0.43%	0.30%	5%

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total	Total minus Distant and Rule 120 Cases
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	260	69
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	91	23
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	63	24
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	8
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	125	25
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	27
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	613	176
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	29
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	1	234	75
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	359	75
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	43	12
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	8
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	51	17
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	107	23
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	1	4	905	239
14	Grand	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	238	70
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	220	40
	Routt	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	368	112
		<i>Total</i>	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	826	221
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	36	9
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	8
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	3
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	143	46
		<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	221	66
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	99	43
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	86	48
	Otero	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	213	53
		<i>Total</i>	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	398
17	Adams	2	0	13	1	0	4	1	0	6	3	9,160	1,759
	Broomfield	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	880	297
		<i>Total</i>	2	0	17	3	0	4	1	0	6	3	10,040
18	Arapahoe	5	0	10	3	0	6	0	4	5	4	11,393	2,772
	Douglas	5	1	3	1	0	2	1	0	3	1	3,805	1,083
	Elbert	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	287	79
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	97	45
		<i>Total</i>	10	1	20	4	0	8	1	7	8	5	15,582
19	Weld	1	0	32	0	0	1	0	0	3	4	4,210	904
20	Boulder	2	0	2	0	0	3	1	3	2	3	3,737	1,227
21	Mesa	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2,121	464
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	9
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	355	89
		<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	395	98
<i>State Total</i>		195	4	116	19	2	78	189	134	67	44	96,176	22,897
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0.20%	0.004%	0.12%	0.02%	0.002%	0.08%	0.20%	0.14%	0.07%	0.05%	100%	

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Driving Under the Influence	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive from Justice
1	Gilpin	0	15	0	0	9	0	7	0	15	121	0	6	4	11
	Jefferson	5	448	17	29	228	13	74	5	161	1,568	193	136	47	126
	Total	5	463	17	29	237	13	81	5	176	1,689	193	142	51	137
2	Denver	26	727	15	36	405	15	108	8	60	2,778	311	112	56	88
	Huerfano	4	23	1	0	26	2	2	0	12	67	0	2	4	7
3	Las Animas	0	13	0	0	32	1	6	0	0	79	0	9	2	28
	Total	4	36	1	0	58	3	8	0	12	146	0	11	6	35
	El Paso	9	734	4	242	541	27	135	4	127	1,637	265	93	90	268
4	Teller	1	25	0	0	15	1	6	1	15	93	1	6	4	24
	Total	10	759	4	242	556	28	141	5	142	1,730	266	99	94	292
	Clear Creek	1	15	2	0	4	1	1	0	13	34	0	5	0	11
5	Eagle	1	39	7	1	7	1	9	0	21	102	4	5	2	16
	Lake	1	18	9	0	5	1	2	0	7	14	0	1	1	2
	Summit	1	49	29	3	25	2	14	2	11	66	1	4	5	19
	Total	4	121	47	4	41	5	26	2	52	216	5	15	8	48
	Archuleta	2	26	29	0	11	0	3	1	5	7	1	0	0	9
6	La Plata	0	69	24	1	32	3	10	1	30	124	16	5	3	97
	San Juan	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	97	53	1	43	3	13	2	35	132	17	5	3	106
	Delta	5	18	9	0	44	0	11	0	11	102	0	4	1	2
7	Gunnison	0	15	16	0	4	1	6	0	4	23	1	5	0	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	3	36	11	0	24	1	10	0	28	174	10	7	0	11
	Montrose-Nucla	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	9	1	0	5	5	1	1	0	12	0	0	0	5
	Total	9	86	38	0	78	7	29	1	46	318	11	16	1	21
	Jackson	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
	8	Larimer	9	207	159	18	175	6	40	2	120	1,126	87	55	16
Total		9	208	162	18	175	6	40	2	121	1,128	87	55	16	96
Garfield		4	58	3	0	40	2	15	0	24	199	3	16	1	26
9	Pitkin	1	11	2	0	7	0	1	0	7	16	0	3	0	7
	Rio Blanco	1	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	4	25	0	1	1	7
	Total	6	74	6	0	53	2	16	0	35	240	3	20	2	40
	Pueblo	7	237	77	3	245	9	53	2	28	632	118	40	17	81
11	Chaffee	0	55	3	5	13	1	13	0	2	65	6	5	3	12
	Custer	1	5	0	0	2	1	3	0	3	5	0	0	0	4
	Fremont	2	83	0	0	42	2	10	0	2	174	6	15	6	25
	Park	0	12	0	0	5	0	0	0	11	23	1	4	0	11
	Total	3	155	3	5	62	4	26	0	18	267	13	24	9	52

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Gambling	Habitual Criminal	Habitual Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Obstruction of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	1	4	0	0	3	0	6	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	3	52	85	1	29	149	14	186	0	0
	Total	3	0	3	53	89	1	29	152	14	192	0	0
2	Denver	3	0	0	80	45	2	56	220	49	130	0	0
	Huerfano	0	0	0	4	3	0	2	6	1	12	0	0
3	Las Animas	0	0	0	6	4	0	2	24	0	25	0	2
	Total	0	0	0	10	7	0	4	30	1	37	0	2
	El Paso	0	0	1	114	52	0	85	355	45	400	0	0
4	Teller	3	0	0	3	10	0	0	18	1	28	0	0
	Total	3	0	1	117	62	0	85	373	46	428	0	0
	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	9	0	8	0	0
5	Eagle	0	0	4	7	5	0	1	18	4	14	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	1	7	0	2	4	1	6	0	0
	Summit	0	0	0	1	13	0	2	31	4	10	0	0
	Total	0	0	4	9	27	0	6	62	9	38	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	15	2	9	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	7	40	0	2	30	2	46	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	7	46	0	3	47	4	55	0	0
7	Delta	0	0	0	2	12	0	0	10	0	9	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	11	2	11	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	5	13	0	0	20	4	16	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	9	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	11	26	0	0	54	6	50	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	0	17	166	0	27	99	21	169	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	17	166	0	27	99	21	171	0	0
9	Garfield	0	0	0	4	45	0	1	37	0	27	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	6	0	12	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	3	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	4	51	0	2	45	0	42	0	0
10	Pueblo	3	0	0	17	147	0	23	146	17	104	0	0
	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	6	0	3	13	1	19	0	0
11	Custer	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	7	0	3	1	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	14	12	0	1	29	2	58	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	17	0	20	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	14	23	0	7	66	3	100	1	0

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Prostitution	Public Indecency	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	44	16	0	0	2	270
	Jefferson	4	3	0	44	86	199	8	650	129	20	5	60	4,777
	Total	4	3	0	44	86	202	8	694	145	20	5	62	5,047
2	Denver	4	3	0	42	214	221	0	565	158	28	8	163	6,736
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	11	8	0	0	3	207
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	7	5	0	17	19	0	0	11	292
	Total	0	0	0	1	10	8	0	28	27	0	0	14	499
4	El Paso	3	0	1	101	182	308	7	955	279	32	6	179	7,281
	Teller	0	0	0	2	1	10	1	27	5	3	0	5	309
	Total	3	0	1	103	183	318	8	982	284	35	6	184	7,590
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	2	2	6	1	5	4	1	0	3	131
	Eagle	0	0	0	5	0	18	0	34	21	3	0	5	354
	Lake	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	21	5	0	0	1	116
	Summit	0	0	0	6	2	12	0	34	17	4	0	4	371
	Total	0	0	0	15	6	37	3	94	47	8	0	13	972
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	1	9	2	0	8	9	0	0	2	159
	La Plata	0	0	0	2	3	15	3	70	32	1	2	12	682
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
	Total	0	0	1	3	12	18	3	80	41	1	2	14	849
7	Delta	0	0	0	16	0	6	0	50	18	1	1	5	337
	Gunnison	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	14	9	1	0	5	142
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	0	0	0	12	3	16	0	45	14	3	0	10	476
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	17
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	28
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	3	0	0	3	68
	Total	0	0	0	32	3	27	0	120	51	5	1	23	1,070
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	13
	Larimer	2	1	0	56	34	97	9	323	91	11	4	39	3,282
	Total	2	1	0	56	34	98	9	324	92	12	4	39	3,295
9	Garfield	0	1	0	6	5	20	3	64	20	1	1	13	639
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	16	5	1	0	1	102
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	3	0	0	3	76
	Total	0	1	0	6	5	25	3	88	28	2	1	17	817
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	21	73	72	1	389	90	1	1	61	2,715
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	1	0	8	2	23	10	2	0	2	273
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	0	0	2	52
	Fremont	0	1	0	2	5	10	1	64	18	1	1	11	597
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	11	2	2	0	5	138
	Total	0	1	0	3	5	25	5	102	38	5	1	20	1,060

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Driving Under the Influence	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive from Justice
12	Alamosa	3	18	3	1	51	3	3	0	17	209	42	10	3	23
	Conejos	0	12	3	0	39	0	0	0	1	41	3	6	4	6
	Costilla	0	6	0	0	13	0	1	0	2	9	1	0	0	5
	Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	17	2	0	18	0	3	0	2	48	4	6	3	11
	Saguache	0	13	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	9	1	2	0	3
	Total	3	67	8	1	125	3	9	0	22	316	51	24	10	48
13	Kit Carson	1	8	4	0	3	1	2	0	5	55	1	9	0	17
	Logan	2	62	25	12	14	1	2	1	2	147	19	2	2	36
	Morgan	1	43	55	0	24	1	1	0	9	92	1	18	4	27
	Phillips	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	1	1	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	6	0	3
	Washington	0	5	3	0	1	0	1	1	1	12	0	1	1	10
	Yuma	1	8	5	0	5	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	2	2
	Total	5	129	97	12	48	3	8	2	20	320	21	37	10	97
14	Grand	0	12	1	2	8	0	1	0	13	36	4	3	0	6
	Moffat	0	18	26	8	26	0	2	1	11	108	8	7	1	11
	Routt	0	23	6	1	24	2	1	0	9	44	0	1	2	9
	Total	0	53	33	11	58	2	4	1	33	188	12	11	3	26
15	Baca	1	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	7	0	2	1	4
	Cheyenne	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	0	18	4	3	21	1	3	0	4	114	2	7	3	17
	Total	1	25	6	3	28	1	4	0	5	127	2	9	4	22
16	Bent	0	12	2	6	9	2	1	0	5	40	21	4	0	3
	Crowley	0	12	0	0	11	0	0	0	3	32	26	0	0	2
	Otero	1	41	8	0	47	2	6	0	5	114	10	14	3	4
	Total	1	65	10	6	67	4	7	0	13	186	57	18	3	9
17	Adams	12	529	19	10	263	14	94	2	159	1,742	158	95	12	155
	Broomfield	2	31	1	0	29	2	4	1	11	115	0	15	1	16
	Total	14	560	20	10	292	16	98	3	170	1,857	158	110	13	171
18	Arapahoe	10	423	30	9	218	14	45	3	88	1,123	138	63	21	160
	Douglas	1	124	23	47	63	11	24	1	28	419	8	34	16	58
	Elbert	0	10	4	0	13	0	1	0	6	38	1	2	0	8
	Lincoln	0	19	1	3	8	1	0	0	3	28	43	2	0	16
	Total	11	576	58	59	302	26	70	4	125	1,608	190	101	37	242
19	Weld	8	301	9	71	180	9	37	4	87	1,020	107	54	7	81
20	Boulder	9	282	70	42	220	4	51	2	56	624	42	64	14	93
21	Mesa	3	204	46	6	156	5	30	0	49	895	24	44	53	56
22	Dolores	0	1	4	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	3	34	21	0	18	0	4	0	19	129	2	3	6	39
	Total	3	35	25	0	21	0	5	0	19	130	2	3	6	39
State Total		143	5,260	805	559	3,450	168	864	43	1,324	16,547	1,690	1,014	423	1,880
Percent of State Total		0.26%	10%	1%	1.03%	6%	0.31%	2%	0.08%	2.43%	30%	3%	2%	1%	3%

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Obstruction of Public Justice											
		Gambling	Habitual Criminal	Habitual Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Pornography	
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	7	45	2	2	15	0	27	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	3	8	0	2	13	0	22	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	2	0	8	0	0	6	0	10	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	1	8	0	3	12	1	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	3	0	2	7	0	4	0	0
	Total	0	0	5	11	73	2	9	53	1	63	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	8	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	10	6	0	2	18	5	1	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	1	7	16	0	3	26	0	54	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	5	0	0
	Total	0	0	1	19	24	0	6	51	5	76	0	0
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	11	0	3	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	1	8	0	2	12	2	10	0	1
	Routt	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	5	19	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	1	11	0	5	30	7	32	0	1
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	2	4	0	3	9	5	11	1	0
	Total	0	0	0	2	6	0	3	12	5	14	1	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	10	4	12	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	6	12	0	4	10	11	7	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	9	14	0	5	22	17	19	0	0
17	Adams	0	0	3	60	84	3	35	203	13	288	0	1
	Broomfield	0	0	1	1	25	0	3	21	0	18	0	0
	Total	0	0	4	61	109	3	38	224	13	306	0	1
18	Arapahoe	0	0	2	78	32	0	21	129	11	139	0	0
	Douglas	0	0	0	2	22	0	8	39	9	78	0	1
	Elbert	0	0	0	1	3	2	3	4	0	15	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	5	0	0
	Total	0	0	2	82	57	2	32	174	22	237	0	1
19	Weld	0	0	4	20	70	0	22	131	43	179	0	0
20	Boulder	0	0	3	8	62	0	20	131	13	114	0	0
21	Mesa	0	0	2	10	100	1	15	119	15	132	1	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	2	13	0	0	17	4	11	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	2	13	0	0	21	4	13	0	0
State Total		12	0	29	564	1,228	11	397	2,262	315	2,532	3	5
Percent of State Total		0.02%	0.00%	0%	1%	2%	0.02%	1%	4%	0.58%	5%	0.01%	0.01%


**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Prostitution	Public Indecency	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	5	13	6	41	14	1	0	15	580
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	13	11	0	1	4	198
	Costilla	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	8	6	1	0	0	81
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	16	7	2	1	13	14	4	1	6	203
	Saguache	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	5	4	1	0	2	69
	Total	0	0	0	18	13	26	8	81	49	7	2	27	1,135
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	11	3	0	0	1	139
	Logan	0	0	0	17	3	18	0	30	20	1	2	5	465
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	56	19	1	0	9	487
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	1	0	0	25
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	30
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	46
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	2	0	0	0	49
	Total	0	0	0	18	3	46	3	113	46	3	2	16	1,241
14	Grand	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	8	8	0	2	1	126
	Moffat	0	0	0	2	1	7	2	14	15	0	0	7	311
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	14	3	0	0	1	176
	Total	0	0	0	4	1	10	3	36	26	0	2	9	613
15	Baca	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	32
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	2	0	0	0	26
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	12
	Prowers	0	0	0	6	3	6	1	24	6	0	0	4	282
	Total	0	0	0	7	3	7	1	37	11	0	0	6	352
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	4	6	0	0	6	163
	Crowley	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	1	6	0	0	0	105
	Otero	0	1	0	13	4	6	0	25	16	1	0	13	384
	Total	0	1	0	14	10	17	0	30	28	1	0	19	652
17	Adams	0	1	0	42	77	162	11	684	173	20	5	113	5,242
	Broomfield	0	0	0	1	6	13	2	137	21	2	0	7	486
	Total	0	1	0	43	83	175	13	821	194	22	5	120	5,728
18	Arapahoe	8	0	0	10	114	159	10	467	86	21	8	106	3,746
	Douglas	0	0	0	2	19	36	2	214	37	4	2	18	1,350
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	16	2	2	0	6	145
	Lincoln	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	8	1	0	0	4	153
	Total	8	0	0	16	133	203	14	705	126	27	10	134	5,394
19	Weld	0	1	0	25	37	77	11	302	94	14	4	54	3,063
20	Boulder	0	0	0	63	30	99	5	371	158	15	0	22	2,687
21	Mesa	0	0	0	38	17	70	31	183	152	7	1	55	2,520
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	19
	Montezuma	0	0	0	11	3	14	0	54	10	3	1	4	425
	Total	0	0	0	11	3	15	0	55	11	3	1	4	444
State Total		21	12	2	583	964	1,796	129	6,200	1,896	216	56	1,076	54,479
Percent of State Total		0.04%	0.02%	0.00%	1.07%	2%	3%	0.24%	11%	3%	0.40%	0.10%	2%	100%

**Table 19:
DUI-Felony Filings by District
Fiscal Year 2016 to Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	FY16	FY17	FY18
1	111	137	176
2	88	90	60
3	3	12	11
4	112	133	142
5	45	48	52
6	17	40	35
7	31	42	46
8	80	80	121
9	28	39	35
10	29	32	28
11	13	22	18
12	17	15	22
13	24	38	20
14	10	16	33
15	10	10	5
16	5	3	13
17	169	164	170
18	185	141	125
19	55	112	87
20	58	74	56
21	34	49	49
22	8	19	19
<i>State Totals</i>	1,132	1,316	1,323

Table 19 Chart
DUI-Felony Filings by District
Fiscal Year 2016 through Fiscal Year 2018



**Table 20:
District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody Allocation of Parental Rsp	Civil Union Dissolution	Civil Union Invalidity	Civil Union Legal Separation	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
		IV-D												
1	Gilpin	0	3	1	1	0	21	0	1	0	0	0	3	30
	Jefferson	41	479	8	0	1	2,290	32	21	104	117	0	65	3,158
	Total	41	482	9	1	1	2,311	32	22	104	117	0	68	3,188
2	Denver	1	837	7	1	1	3,249	124	52	98	197	0	16	4,583
3	Huerfano	0	18	0	0	0	30	0	1	1	1	0	1	52
	Las Animas	0	25	0	0	0	68	0	2	4	0	0	10	109
	Total	0	43	0	0	0	98	0	3	5	1	0	11	161
4	El Paso	7	776	9	1	0	4,287	8	49	159	154	0	341	5,791
	Teller	0	24	0	0	0	90	0	1	3	2	0	1	121
	Total	7	800	9	1	0	4,377	8	50	162	156	0	342	5,912
5	Clear Creek	1	4	0	0	0	36	0	0	3	0	0	2	46
	Eagle	0	30	0	0	0	187	2	0	5	1	0	3	228
	Lake	0	10	0	0	0	31	0	1	2	1	0	0	45
	Summit	4	22	0	0	0	103	0	1	3	0	0	7	140
	Total	5	66	0	0	0	357	2	2	13	2	0	12	459
6	Archuleta	0	14	0	0	0	65	0	1	0	0	0	0	80
	La Plata	0	45	0	0	0	245	1	3	5	0	0	6	305
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	0	60	0	0	0	312	1	4	5	0	0	6	388
7	Delta	0	42	0	0	0	164	7	1	4	0	0	4	222
	Gunnison	0	10	0	0	0	51	0	0	1	0	0	1	63
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	0	65	2	0	0	201	13	2	5	0	0	5	293
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	14	1	0	1	0	0	0	17
	San Miguel	0	3	0	0	0	33	1	1	0	0	0	2	40
Total	0	121	2	0	0	465	22	4	11	0	0	12	637	
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	1	1	0	0	0	9
	Larimer	0	344	3	0	0	1,383	2	21	48	5	0	16	1,822
	Total	0	345	3	0	0	1,389	2	22	49	5	0	16	1,831
9	Garfield	0	84	0	0	0	298	16	5	14	0	0	4	421
	Pitkin	0	10	0	0	0	81	0	3	1	0	0	0	95
	Rio Blanco	1	6	0	0	0	30	3	0	0	0	0	1	41
	Total	1	100	0	0	0	409	19	8	15	0	0	5	557
10	Pueblo	0	372	0	0	0	791	90	20	32	0	0	32	1,337
11	Chaffee	0	22	0	0	0	78	0	1	1	1	0	3	106
	Custer	1	8	0	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	30
	Fremont	0	62	1	0	0	219	14	1	4	1	0	3	305
	Park	2	7	0	0	0	61	1	2	4	1	0	2	80
	Total	3	99	1	0	0	378	15	4	10	3	0	8	521

**Table 20:
District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order IV-D	Child Custody Allocation of Parental Rsp	Civil Union Dissolution	Civil Union Invalidity	Civil Union Legal Separation	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
12	Alamosa	0	47	1	0	0	125	4	1	2	0	0	7	187
	Conejos	0	26	0	0	0	22	1	1	1	0	0	2	53
	Costilla	0	13	1	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
	Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
	Rio Grande	0	20	1	0	0	48	0	1	4	1	0	2	77
	Saguache	0	10	0	0	0	34	0	0	3	0	0	0	47
	Total	0	117	3	0	0	240	5	3	11	1	0	11	391
13	Kit Carson	3	15	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	3	46
	Logan	0	31	0	0	0	79	1	0	4	0	0	2	117
	Morgan	0	49	0	0	0	128	3	0	4	0	0	2	186
	Phillips	0	5	0	0	0	18	0	0	1	0	0	0	24
	Sedgwick	3	1	0	0	0	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	20
	Washington	0	3	0	0	0	29	0	0	1	0	0	3	36
	Yuma	1	10	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
	Total	7	114	0	0	0	326	4	1	10	0	0	10	472
	14	Grand	0	6	0	0	0	37	1	0	1	0	0	1
Moffat		0	25	0	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	0	2	109
Routt		8	12	0	0	0	105	3	0	3	0	0	1	132
Total		8	43	0	0	0	224	4	0	4	0	0	4	287
15	Baca	0	6	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	18
	Cheyenne	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Prowers	1	29	0	0	0	71	5	0	1	0	0	0	107
	Total	1	39	0	0	0	89	7	0	1	0	0	1	138
16	Bent	1	8	0	0	0	24	4	1	1	0	0	0	39
	Crowley	0	5	0	0	0	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	21
	Otero	1	36	0	0	0	93	5	2	1	0	0	2	140
	Total	2	49	0	0	0	130	12	3	2	0	0	2	200
17	Adams	0	777	5	0	0	1,999	1	18	59	25	0	19	2,903
	Broomfield	1	42	0	0	0	268	3	2	14	14	0	6	350
	Total	1	819	5	0	0	2,267	4	20	73	39	0	25	3,253
18	Arapahoe	0	593	6	0	0	2,460	32	19	73	19	0	144	3,346
	Douglas	0	175	1	2	0	1,349	44	16	77	4	0	27	1,695
	Elbert	1	19	0	0	0	106	9	1	7	0	0	2	145
	Lincoln	0	11	1	0	0	18	2	0	0	0	0	1	33
	Total	1	798	8	2	0	3,933	87	36	157	23	0	174	5,219
19	Weld	97	426	2	0	0	1,404	2	14	31	7	0	14	1,997
20	Boulder	2	197	7	0	1	1,099	30	9	57	9	0	19	1,430
21	Mesa	0	198	2	0	1	889	0	20	25	0	0	66	1,201
22	Dolores	0	2	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	Montezuma	0	44	0	0	0	126	1	2	2	0	0	3	178
	Total	0	46	0	0	0	141	1	2	2	0	0	3	195
State Total		177	6,171	58	5	4	24,878	471	299	877	560	0	857	34,357
Percent of State Total		0.52%	18%	0.17%	0.01%	0.01%	72%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0.000%	2%	100%

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Adoption		Consent	Dependency	D&N	Grandparent	Juvenile	Juvenile	Juvenile	Paternity
			Confidential Intermediary	for Marriage	& Neglect	Expedited Placement	Visitation	Expungement	Other	Relinquishment	
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	276	0	0	118	172	0	18	1	48	18
	Total	279	0	0	122	176	0	18	1	48	18
2	Denver Juvenile	264	6	0	115	187	1	0	16	6	26
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	5	10	0	0	1	0	1
	Las Animas	16	0	0	8	13	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	16	0	0	13	23	0	0	1	0	1
4	El Paso	353	0	1	232	349	2	50	0	23	27
	Teller	11	0	0	7	8	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	364	0	1	239	357	2	50	0	23	28
5	Clear Creek	46	0	0	10	2	0	0	1	26	3
	Eagle	10	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	5
	Lake	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	1
	Summit	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	59	0	0	19	7	0	0	1	26	9
6	Archuleta	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	5	0
	La Plata	10	0	0	4	10	1	0	2	4	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	15	0	0	6	12	1	0	2	9	2
7	Delta	19	0	0	21	22	0	0	0	2	5
	Gunnison	4	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	18	0	0	14	33	1	0	1	6	0
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	2	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	44	0	0	45	63	1	0	1	8	5
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	138	0	0	60	125	0	4	3	27	21
	Total	138	0	0	61	125	0	4	3	27	21
9	Garfield	20	0	0	4	9	0	0	2	0	9
	Pitkin	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Total	25	0	0	9	9	0	0	2	1	10
10	Pueblo	98	0	0	19	98	2	0	0	4	21
11	Chaffee	10	0	0	5	10	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	4	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	26	0	0	25	9	0	2	0	0	14
	Park	4	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	44	0	0	34	23	0	2	0	0	16

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 21 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	IV-D Paternity Order	Petition for Review of Need for Placement	Support	Warrant	IV-D Support Order	Truancy	Non-JD Juvenile Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Non-JD+JD Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	3	0	14	5	19
	Jefferson	118	0	0	0	384	157	1,310	781	2,091
	Total	118	0	0	0	387	157	1,324	786	2,110
2	Denver Juvenile	297	1	3	0	417	547	1,886	983	2,869
3	Huerfano	9	0	0	0	22	0	48	20	68
	Las Animas	13	0	1	0	26	15	92	50	142
	Total	22	0	1	0	48	15	140	70	210
4	El Paso	257	0	2	0	449	249	1,994	1,220	3,214
	Teller	3	0	4	0	19	1	54	25	79
	Total	260	0	6	0	468	250	2,048	1,245	3,293
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	7	0	96	5	101
	Eagle	4	0	2	0	17	0	46	44	90
	Lake	2	0	1	0	6	3	19	9	28
	Summit	1	0	0	0	7	3	14	29	43
	Total	8	0	3	0	37	6	175	87	262
6	Archuleta	6	0	0	0	18	0	38	4	42
	La Plata	14	2	1	0	32	0	82	36	118
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Total	21	2	1	0	50	0	121	41	162
7	Delta	5	0	0	0	40	0	114	19	133
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	2	0	16	28	44
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Montrose	20	4	0	0	61	0	158	84	242
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	7	0	16	3	19
Total	25	4	0	0	110	0	306	138	444	
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	6
	Larimer	67	1	0	0	272	7	725	625	1,350
	Total	68	1	0	0	273	7	728	628	1,356
9	Garfield	6	1	22	0	33	1	107	132	239
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	27	29
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	1	0	13	16	29
	Total	6	2	22	0	35	1	122	175	297
10	Pueblo	134	5	1	0	502	128	1,012	244	1,256
11	Chaffee	2	0	0	0	3	17	47	28	75
	Custer	0	0	0	0	1	3	13	6	19
	Fremont	16	0	5	0	72	13	182	68	250
	Park	4	0	0	0	3	0	16	21	37
	Total	22	0	5	0	79	33	258	123	381

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 21 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Adoption		Consent	Dependency	D&N	Grandparent	Juvenile	Juvenile	Juvenile	Paternity
			Confidential	for	& Neglect	Expedited	Visitation	Expungement	Other	Relinquishment	
12	Alamosa	13	0	0	12	26	0	0	1	0	2
	Conejos	4	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	0	1
	Costilla	1	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	3	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	9	0	0	3	15	0	0	0	1	0
	Saguache	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	28	0	0	24	54	0	0	1	4	3
13	Kit Carson	8	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	16	0	0	9	28	0	0	4	0	3
	Morgan	6	0	0	9	22	0	0	0	1	2
	Phillips	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	2	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	1
Total	36	0	0	22	67	0	0	4	1	6	
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Moffat	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Routt	6	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	2
	Total	11	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	8	4
15	Baca	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Kiowa	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	0
	Prowers	2	0	0	0	9	0	0	3	2	13
	Total	7	0	0	1	10	0	0	4	11	13
16	Bent	2	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	0	3
	Crowley	4	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	1	3
	Otero	17	0	0	9	10	0	0	1	1	6
	Total	23	0	0	16	17	0	0	2	2	12
17	Adams	227	0	0	103	277	0	29	76	9	43
	Broomfield	22	0	0	5	9	0	23	4	2	10
	Total	249	0	0	108	286	0	52	80	11	53
18	Arapahoe	251	0	0	82	160	0	30	12	16	84
	Douglas	140	0	0	47	37	0	71	4	24	15
	Elbert	14	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	1	1
	Lincoln	4	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	409	0	0	139	209	0	101	16	41	100
19	Weld	147	0	1	73	139	4	1	49	16	13
20	Boulder	68	0	0	24	59	1	1	109	12	2
21	Mesa	120	2	1	32	83	1	53	2	13	12
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	8	0	0	4	5	0	0	1	2	3
	Total	8	0	0	4	6	0	0	1	2	4
State Total		2,452	8	3	1,129	2,014	13	282	295	273	379
Percent of State Total		11%	0%	0%	5%	9%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 21 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	IV-D	Petition for				IV-D	Non-JD	Juvenile	Non-JD+JD Total
		Paternity Order	Review of Need for Placement	Support	Warrant	Support Order	Truancy	Juvenile Total	Delinquency Total*	
12	Alamosa	13	0	1	0	44	19	131	20	151
	Conejos	2	0	1	0	14	6	38	11	49
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	8	1	21	5	26
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Rio Grande	5	0	0	0	16	10	59	19	78
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	4	4	13	10	23
	Total	20	0	2	0	86	40	262	66	328
	Kit Carson	3	0	0	0	4	2	21	11	32
	Logan	11	0	1	0	38	1	111	30	141
	Morgan	14	0	3	0	31	14	102	40	142
13	Phillips	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	11	17
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5
	Washington	3	0	0	0	2	0	12	7	19
	Yuma	3	2	0	0	7	0	24	10	34
	Total	34	2	4	0	84	17	277	113	390
	14	Grand	2	0	0	0	4	0	9	4
Moffat		2	0	0	0	13	14	43	29	72
Routt		0	0	0	0	5	1	20	15	35
Total		4	0	0	0	22	15	72	48	120
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4	9
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Kiowa	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	12
	Prowers	6	0	13	0	20	5	73	31	104
	Total	8	0	13	0	21	5	93	36	129
16	Bent	1	0	1	0	7	4	26	4	30
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	10	4	29	6	35
	Otero	1	0	56	0	22	14	137	28	165
	Total	2	0	57	0	39	22	192	38	230
17	Adams	115	0	4	0	342	153	1,378	511	1,889
	Broomfield	5	0	2	0	31	8	121	52	173
	Total	120	0	6	0	373	161	1,499	563	2,062
18	Arapahoe	122	0	39	4	473	162	1,435	1,005	2,440
	Douglas	14	0	0	0	167	45	564	499	1,063
	Elbert	2	0	1	0	10	0	40	32	72
	Lincoln	1	0	0	0	9	0	25	5	30
	Total	139	0	40	4	659	207	2,064	1,541	3,605
19	Weld	49	0	0	1	344	270	1,107	646	1,753
20	Boulder	47	0	0	7	95	32	457	415	872
21	Mesa	56	0	0	2	238	11	626	284	910
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	3	7
	Montezuma	1	0	8	1	1	0	34	40	74
	Total	1	0	8	1	3	0	38	43	81
State Total		1,461	17	172	15	4,370	1,924	14,807	8,313	23,120
Percent of State Total		6%	0%	1%	0%	19%	8%	64%	36%	100%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 21 of this report.

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court	Driving Under the Influence	Drugs
1	Gilpin	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	8	167	0	30	1	1	24	1	0	0	53
	Total	8	169	0	31	1	1	25	1	0	0	53
2	Denver Juvenile	3	135	0	72	0	2	23	0	0	2	87
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Las Animas	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
	Total	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	13
4	El Paso	7	227	0	85	10	2	80	2	0	2	41
	Teller	0	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Total	7	231	0	86	10	2	82	2	0	2	42
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	4
	Lake	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Summit	0	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	0	14	1	5	0	1	3	0	0	0	11
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	2	5	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	6
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	2	6	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	7
7	Delta	0	6	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Gunnison	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	19	0	2	1	0	5	0	0	0	1
	Ouray	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	32	0	6	1	0	7	0	0	0	4	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	6	87	0	24	1	0	66	0	0	11	78
	Total	6	87	0	24	1	0	66	0	0	11	78
9	Garfield	2	11	0	32	0	0	5	0	0	0	15
	Pitkin	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	15	0	32	0	0	13	0	0	0	17
10	Pueblo	4	46	4	36	0	1	7	1	0	0	8
11	Chaffee	0	3	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	2
	Custer	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	15	0	4	0	0	9	0	0	1	5
	Park	0	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
	Total	0	25	0	5	0	0	19	0	0	1	10

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	12	1	0	0	29	5	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	12	1	0	0	29	5	0	7
2	Denver Juvenile	2	0	0	1	18	11	5	2
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
4	El Paso	7	4	3	0	83	20	3	2
	Teller	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	7	4	3	3	84	20	3	2
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Summit	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	0	4	0	2	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	0	0	57	0	5	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	58	0	5	2
9	Garfield	0	1	0	0	6	0	2	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	0	9	0	2	0
10	Pueblo	1	1	0	0	8	2	1	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	0	0	0	6	1	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	0	8	1	2	0

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	1	11	138	0	0	1	0	2	26	0
	Total	1	11	138	0	0	1	0	2	26	0
2	Denver Juvenile	2	35	166	0	0	0	0	2	84	8
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	2	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	0	3	24	0	0	6	0	1	0	0
4	El Paso	2	54	99	0	1	4	0	19	52	0
	Teller	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	56	103	0	1	4	0	19	52	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	3	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
7	Delta	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	2	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	20	11	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	24	15	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	13	71	0	0	15	0	14	0	0
	Total	0	13	72	0	0	16	0	14	0	0
9	Garfield	1	4	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	4	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Pueblo	1	14	16	0	0	0	1	4	4	0
11	Chaffee	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Park	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	5	6	0	0	1	0	5	0	0

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Jefferson	44	4	125	56	0	0	34	781
	Total	45	4	125	56	0	0	34	786
2	Denver Juvenile	77	0	120	48	1	0	77	983
3	Huerfano	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	20
	Las Animas	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	50
	Total	2	0	4	0	0	0	1	70
4	El Paso	84	2	212	71	0	0	42	1,220
	Teller	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	25
	Total	89	2	214	71	0	0	42	1,245
5	Clear Creek	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5
	Eagle	2	3	6	3	0	0	1	44
	Lake	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	9
	Summit	1	0	6	3	1	0	0	29
	Total	3	3	14	7	1	0	2	87
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	La Plata	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	36
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	41
7	Delta	1	0	3	2	0	0	0	19
	Gunnison	7	0	4	0	0	0	0	28
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	4	0	7	4	0	0	3	84
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	Total	12	0	16	6	0	0	4	138
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Larimer	32	3	90	42	0	0	8	625
	Total	32	3	90	42	0	0	8	628
9	Garfield	10	0	18	14	0	0	0	132
	Pitkin	3	0	1	5	0	0	0	27
	Rio Blanco	3	0	0	8	0	0	0	16
	Total	16	0	19	27	0	0	0	175
10	Pueblo	16	1	38	14	0	0	15	244
11	Chaffee	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	28
	Custer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Fremont	8	0	9	1	0	0	2	68
	Park	3	0	2	1	0	0	1	21
	Total	11	1	14	5	0	0	3	123

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court	Driving Under the Influence	Drugs
12	Alamosa	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Conejos	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Total	0	12	0	8	0	0	5	0	0	0	6
13	Kit Carson	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	1	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	2
	Morgan	1	6	2	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
	Phillips	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	3	14	2	11	0	0	12	0	0	1	3
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	5	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
		Total	0	6	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	2	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	2
		Total	0	2	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Crowley	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	4	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
		Total	0	8	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	0
17	Adams	2	56	0	62	1	0	21	0	0	0	38
	Broomfield	0	9	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
		Total	2	65	0	66	1	0	22	0	0	0
18	Arapahoe	5	171	4	58	0	3	59	0	0	2	56
	Douglas	4	65	3	13	0	0	22	1	0	1	138
	Elbert	0	8	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
		Total	9	244	7	76	0	3	83	1	0	3
19	Weld	13	131	1	28	3	1	34	0	0	0	50
20	Boulder	4	65	3	18	5	1	30	0	0	1	43
21	Mesa	3	64	0	13	0	0	18	0	0	1	24
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	0	17	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
		Total	0	17	0	3	0	1	4	0	0	0
State Total		66	1,391	18	539	22	14	468	5	0	22	709
Percent of State Total		1%	17%	0.22%	6%	0.26%	0.17%	6%	0.06%	0.00%	0%	9%

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest
12	Alamosa	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	0	1	0	0	9	0	0	0
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
		Total	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
		Total	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0
		Total	0	0	0	0	2	3	0
17	Adams	0	2	0	0	10	4	4	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
		Total	0	2	0	0	13	4	4
18	Arapahoe	43	1	0	0	44	3	6	3
	Douglas	0	0	1	0	15	0	1	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total	43	1	1	0	60	3	7
19	Weld	4	0	0	0	38	1	1	0
20	Boulder	0	2	0	0	29	1	3	1
21	Mesa	4	0	1	0	14	0	4	1
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
		Total	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
State Total		78	16	5	4	404	54	43	18
Percent of State Total		1%	0.19%	0.06%	0.05%	5%	0.65%	1%	0.22%

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
12	Alamosa	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Conejos	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	5	6	0	0	2	0	5	2	0
13	Kit Carson	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Morgan	0	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	7	15	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Routt	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Total	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	2	1	7	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
	Total	2	1	7	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
16	Bent	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	2	24	33	0	0	0	0	15	26	2
	Broomfield	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	3	26	36	0	0	0	0	15	27	2
18	Arapahoe	2	36	108	0	0	1	0	34	53	1
	Douglas	0	8	40	0	1	8	0	18	7	0
	Elbert	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	46	152	0	1	9	0	52	60	1
19	Weld	0	16	75	0	0	7	0	1	14	0
20	Boulder	1	12	28	0	0	0	0	21	10	0
21	Mesa	0	16	23	0	0	0	0	3	4	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Total	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
State Total		15	316	913	0	2	48	1	162	287	11
Percent of State Total		0.18%	4%	11%	0.00%	0.02%	1%	0.01%	2%	3%	0.13%

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	20
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Costilla	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	19
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
	Total	3	0	6	1	0	0	1	66
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11
	Logan	3	0	3	2	0	0	1	30
	Morgan	6	0	1	4	0	0	0	40
	Phillips	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	11
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Washington	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Yuma	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10
	Total	14	0	6	10	0	0	1	113
14	Grand	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
	Moffat	1	0	6	2	0	0	0	29
	Routt	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	15
	Total	2	0	11	3	0	0	0	48
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	2	0	4	1	0	0	0	31
	Total	3	0	5	1	0	0	0	36
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Otero	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	28
	Total	1	0	2	3	0	0	1	38
17	Adams	40	2	85	37	0	3	42	511
	Broomfield	4	0	11	4	0	0	3	52
	Total	44	2	96	41	0	3	45	563
18	Arapahoe	42	5	171	55	0	0	39	1,005
	Douglas	48	3	62	25	0	0	15	499
	Elbert	0	0	8	1	0	0	1	32
	Lincoln	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	91	8	242	81	0	0	55	1,541
19	Weld	50	1	127	38	0	0	12	646
20	Boulder	42	1	58	30	0	0	6	415
21	Mesa	15	1	39	26	0	0	10	284
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	Montezuma	1	0	2	2	1	0	1	40
	Total	1	0	2	2	2	0	1	43
State Total		569	27	1,248	513	4	3	318	8,313
Percent of State Total		7%	0.32%	15%	6%	0.05%	0.04%	4%	100%

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	Short Term COV	72 Hour Hold	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Jefferson	26	5	6	0	5	0	0	679	1	0	722
	Total	26	5	6	0	5	0	0	679	1	1	723
2	Denver Probate	15	4	7	0	34	12	0	1,400	3	0	1,475
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	16
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	20
4	El Paso	4	4	4	0	1	0	0	10	0	1,261	1,284
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	27
	Total	4	4	4	0	1	0	0	10	0	1,288	1,311
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	7
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	16
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	5
	Summit	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	11	14
	Total	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	12	0	29	42
6	Archuleta	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	10	14
	La Plata	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	47	0	126	179
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	49	0	136	193
7	Delta	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	9
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	8
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	7
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	17	1	7	26	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	470	1	4	481
	Total	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	470	1	4	481
9	Garfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	1	22
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	15
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	13	37
10	Pueblo	2	3	16	127	6	0	0	145	0	3	302
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	16
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	46	0	1	49
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	14	16
	Total	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	49	0	30	82

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	Short Term COV	72 Hour Hold	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	32	39
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	14	16
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	8
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>73</i>
13	Kit Carson	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	12	20
	Logan	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	18	0	20	43
	Morgan	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14	0	13	28
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	4
	Washington	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	4
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	13
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>116</i>
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
	Routt	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>17</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>8</i>
16	Bent	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	8
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	Otero	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	11
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>21</i>
17	Adams	8	10	0	0	7	0	0	491	0	0	516
	Broomfield	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	19	0	25	47
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>510</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>563</i>
18	Arapahoe	7	4	14	0	12	1	0	900	0	0	938
	Douglas	3	1	1	0	2	0	0	232	0	0	239
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	34
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1,134</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>1,214</i>
19	Weld	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	265	0	0	269
20	Boulder	1	3	10	0	1	3	0	548	0	0	566
21	Mesa	2	5	2	0	1	0	0	344	0	0	354
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	38	40
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>80</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>5,767</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1,740</i>	<i>7,933</i>
<i>Percent State Total</i>		<i>1%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>0.20%</i>	<i>0.09%</i>	<i>73%</i>	<i>0.06%</i>	<i>22%</i>	<i>100%</i>

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Conservator/ Guardian-Adult							Disclaimer	Foreign Protective Proceeding
		Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/Adult	Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/Minor	Conservatorship/Guardian-Minor	Determination of Heirship			
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Jefferson	13	38	61	28	2	31	0	2	
	<i>Total</i>	14	38	61	29	2	31	0	2	
2	Denver Probate	9	49	51	10	0	6	0	5	
3	Huerfano	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	Las Animas	9	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	22	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	
4	El Paso	25	25	70	46	1	2	0	0	
	Teller	10	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	35	26	72	47	1	2	0	0	
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Eagle	35	1	1	6	0	1	0	0	
	Lake	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
	Summit	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	54	1	2	7	0	2	0	0	
6	Archuleta	21	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	
	La Plata	28	5	7	1	0	1	0	0	
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	51	5	7	4	0	2	0	0	
7	Delta	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	
	Gunnison	4	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	
	Hinsdale	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	Montrose	2	8	4	2	0	2	0	0	
	Ouray	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	San Miguel	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>Total</i>	18	8	12	5	1	5	0	0		
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	Larimer	16	11	18	10	1	2	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	17	11	18	10	1	3	0	0	
9	Garfield	7	1	3	11	0	0	0	0	
	Pitkin	10	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	
	Rio Blanco	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	18	4	4	12	0	4	0	2	
10	Pueblo	15	10	23	15	0	4	0	0	
11	Chaffee	6	2	4	4	0	4	0	0	
	Custer	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	Fremont	3	0	3	3	0	8	0	0	
	Park	14	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	
<i>Total</i>	27	3	7	8	0	15	0	0		

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other	Public Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single* Transaction	Special Administrator Formal	Special Administrator Informal
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Jefferson	71	116	21	280	6	2	0	53	9	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>116</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>287</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>2</i>
2	Denver Probate	108	60	73	350	18	81	5	106	12	11
3	Huerfano	3	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	1	4	11	0	0	0	1	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
4	El Paso	176	155	44	306	2	0	0	68	3	2
	Teller	12	4	4	25	0	0	0	2	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>331</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Eagle	6	1	2	20	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Lake	2	3	0	3	1	0	0	3	0	0
	Summit	2	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
6	Archuleta	10	4	2	18	0	0	0	1	0	0
	La Plata	8	11	4	17	0	0	0	2	1	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
7	Delta	4	3	7	38	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Gunnison	2	1	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	10	5	3	42	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	46	28	14	133	4	0	0	21	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
9	Garfield	5	10	4	19	2	0	1	2	1	0
	Pitkin	1	1	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	5	1	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	46	63	36	138	0	5	1	17	1	0
11	Chaffee	6	2	2	14	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Custer	1	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	18	6	5	31	1	0	0	2	0	0
	Park	2	10	2	32	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Testate Formal	Testate Informal	Trust	Trust Registrations	Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act	Will Transfer	Total
1	Gilpin	1	11	0	1	0	0	23
	Jefferson	84	863	34	179	0	5	1,900
	Total	85	874	34	180	0	5	1,923
2	Denver Probate	111	737	74	312	0	5	2,193
3	Huerfano	2	11	0	0	0	0	43
	Las Animas	1	23	0	1	0	1	59
	Total	3	34	0	1	0	1	102
4	El Paso	55	720	34	149	0	4	1,887
	Teller	3	21	1	4	0	0	91
	Total	58	741	35	153	0	4	1,978
5	Clear Creek	1	17	1	3	0	0	33
	Eagle	2	50	3	22	0	0	151
	Lake	1	11	2	3	0	0	34
	Summit	3	14	0	3	0	0	52
	Total	7	92	6	31	0	0	270
6	Archuleta	1	12	0	1	0	0	74
	La Plata	7	69	1	11	0	0	174
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	8	81	1	12	0	0	250
7	Delta	4	61	4	4	0	0	135
	Gunnison	1	12	1	0	0	0	38
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	0	0	0	9
	Montrose	4	72	1	11	0	0	167
	Ouray	1	5	0	0	0	0	17
	San Miguel	1	8	0	1	0	0	17
	Total	11	160	6	16	0	0	383
8	Jackson	0	9	0	3	0	0	17
	Larimer	33	445	22	93	0	3	902
	Total	33	454	22	96	0	3	919
9	Garfield	4	53	2	16	0	0	141
	Pitkin	1	30	5	14	0	0	80
	Rio Blanco	1	5	1	1	0	0	22
	Total	6	88	8	31	0	0	243
10	Pueblo	41	222	6	21	0	2	666
11	Chaffee	4	43	2	5	0	0	100
	Custer	1	7	0	3	0	0	24
	Fremont	6	82	0	4	0	0	172
	Park	6	28	0	1	0	0	99
	Total	17	160	2	13	0	0	395

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservator/Guardian				Determination of Heirship	Disclaimer	Foreign Protective Proceeding
			Conservatorship/Adult	Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/Minor	Conservatorship/Guardian-Minor			
12	Alamosa	6	1	1	3	0	2	0	0
	Conejos	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	30	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Mineral	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	11	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Total	60	9	1	5	0	6	0	0
13	Kit Carson	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Logan	4	2	6	1	1	4	0	0
	Morgan	5	0	7	2	3	9	0	0
	Phillips	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	0	1	1	1	5	0	0
	Total	18	2	15	10	5	18	0	0
14	Grand	8	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Moffat	13	0	1	0	0	4	0	0
	Routt	12	1	4	2	0	3	0	0
	Total	33	1	6	2	0	8	0	0
15	Baca	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Kiowa	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Total	10	1	0	0	1	4	0	0
16	Bent	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Otero	2	0	2	0	0	5	0	0
	Total	5	0	3	0	0	9	0	0
17	Adams	6	10	45	48	3	7	0	1
	Broomfield	1	0	11	6	0	0	0	0
	Total	7	10	56	54	3	7	0	1
18	Arapahoe	16	16	44	36	4	9	0	0
	Douglas	6	9	20	38	2	1	0	0
	Elbert	2	0	1	3	0	1	0	0
	Lincoln	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	Total	28	25	65	77	8	12	0	0
19	Weld	52	2	21	10	2	125	0	0
20	Boulder	16	20	31	28	2	0	0	1
21	Mesa	5	17	32	7	1	7	0	0
22	Dolores	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	4	1	3	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	6	1	4	0	0	1	0	0
State Total		520	243	492	340	27	277	0	11
Percent of State Total		3%	1%	3%	2%	0.16%	2%	0.00%	0.07%

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**


Judicial District	Court Location	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other	Public Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single* Transaction	Special Administrator Formal	Special Administrator Informal
12	Alamosa	4	6	2	8	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Conejos	5	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	2	0	13	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	4	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	2	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		15	10	20	39	0	0	4	0	0
13	Kit Carson	3	1	1	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	5	2	3	17	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	5	8	3	21	1	0	0	2	1	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	3	2	0	10	0	0	0	1	0	0
Total		19	13	11	64	3	0	3	1	0	
14	Grand	2	3	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Moffat	6	6	2	16	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Routt	2	0	2	12	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	10	9	4	37	1	0	0	2	0	3
15	Baca	2	1	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	2	1	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	2	8	3	7	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Total	8	10	4	29	1	0	0	2	1	0
16	Bent	1	2	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	13	1	15	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Total	2	18	2	25	0	0	0	2	0	0
17	Adams	35	51	36	210	0	1	0	47	3	2
	Broomfield	3	3	5	20	0	0	0	7	0	0
	Total	38	54	41	230	0	1	0	54	3	2
18	Arapahoe	95	87	38	303	2	7	1	80	5	0
	Douglas	37	28	6	74	5	0	1	20	1	0
	Elbert	3	4	1	10	1	0	0	5	1	1
	Lincoln	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	135	119	45	392	8	7	2	105	7	1
19	Weld	39	94	16	187	3	0	0	37	2	1
20	Boulder	49	22	17	130	2	0	2	25	3	2
21	Mesa	49	84	28	59	1	4	0	6	1	0
22	Dolores	2	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	5	11	3	20	1	0	0	3	0	0
	Total	7	11	4	25	2	0	0	3	0	0
State Total		910	931	425	2,776	59	100	13	525	48	29
Percent of State Total		5%	6%	3%	17%	0.35%	1%	0.08%	3%	0.29%	0.17%

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Testate		Trust	Trust Registrations	Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act	Will Transfer	Total
		Formal	Informal					
12	Alamosa	4	13	0	3	0	0	57
	Conejos	4	8	0	0	0	0	37
	Costilla	13	7	0	0	0	0	75
	Mineral	0	0	1	1	0	0	8
	Rio Grande	4	26	0	4	0	0	63
	Saguache	2	3	0	0	0	0	22
	Total	27	57	1	8	0	0	262
13	Kit Carson	1	11	1	5	0	0	35
	Logan	1	41	1	8	0	0	97
	Morgan	6	49	1	5	0	0	128
	Phillips	0	11	0	0	0	0	16
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	0	0	9
	Washington	3	9	0	3	0	0	33
	Yuma	2	26	4	4	0	0	58
Total	13	149	7	25	0	0	376	
14	Grand	0	16	1	6	0	0	50
	Moffat	2	22	0	1	0	0	74
	Routt	3	28	2	14	0	0	87
	Total	5	66	3	21	0	0	211
15	Baca	2	9	0	3	0	0	36
	Cheyenne	1	4	0	1	0	0	19
	Kiowa	0	7	0	0	0	0	15
	Prowers	0	20	0	1	0	0	49
	Total	3	40	0	5	0	0	119
16	Bent	0	8	0	1	0	0	26
	Crowley	1	6	0	0	0	0	16
	Otero	1	40	0	4	0	0	85
	Total	2	54	0	5	0	0	127
17	Adams	65	368	9	59	0	1	1,007
	Broomfield	8	66	11	19	0	0	160
	Total	73	434	20	78	0	1	1,167
18	Arapahoe	81	654	28	176	0	4	1,686
	Douglas	26	225	9	109	0	2	619
	Elbert	2	25	0	2	0	0	62
	Lincoln	1	11	0	0	0	0	24
Total	110	915	37	287	0	6	2,391	
19	Weld	53	346	5	35	0	0	1,030
20	Boulder	44	400	47	166	0	1	1,008
21	Mesa	51	192	5	38	0	0	587
22	Dolores	0	4	0	0	0	0	16
	Montezuma	1	63	0	6	0	0	122
	Total	1	67	0	6	0	0	138
State Total		762	6,363	319	1,540	0	28	16,738
Percent of State Total		5%	38%	2%	9%	0.00%	0.17%	100%

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.



**Section IV:
County Court**

County Court Judges

*This list contains the names of Judges that served during FY2018.

First Judicial District

Gilpin: David C. Taylor
Jefferson: Bradley A. Burbach
Verna L. Carpenter
Susan L. Fisch
Harold Sargent
Karolyn Moore
Mark M. Randall
Harold Sargent
Ryan Stuart
Thomas E. Vance
Jean Woodford

Denver County Court

Theresa Spahn, Presiding Judge
Johnny Barajas
Dianne Briscoe
Doris E. Burd
Brian T. Campbell
Robert B. Crew, Jr.
Andrea Eddy
Adam Espinoza
Beth Faragher
Olympia Fay
Clarisse M. Gonzales-Magnall
Gary Jackson
Kerri Lombardi
Chelsea Malone
John M. Marcucci
Isabel Pallares
Nicole Rodarte
Andre L. Rudolph
Barry Schwartz
Fran Simonet

Third Judicial District

John "Clay" McKisson
Las Animas: Bruce Billings

Fourth Judicial District

El Paso: Christopher E. Acker
Laura Findorff
Shannon Gerhart
Karla J. Hansen
Larry D. Martin
Douglas J. Miles
Ann M. Rotolo
Stephen J. Sletta
Regina Walter
Jessica Curtis
Daniel S. Wilson
Teller: Theresa Kilgore

Fifth Judicial District

Clear Creek: Cynthia Jones
Clear Creek/Eagle Rachel Olguin-
Fresquez
Eagle: Katharine T. Sullivan
Lake: Johnathan Shamis
Summit: Edward J. Casias

Sixth Judicial District

Archuleta: Justin Fay
La Plata: Dondi Osborne
La Plata: Martha T. Minot
San Juan: Anthony D. Edwards

Seventh Judicial District

Delta: Jin Ho Pak
Sandra K. Miller
Gunnison: Ashley M. Burgemeister
Hinsdale: Alvin K. Lutz
Montrose: Bennett A. Morris
Julie J. Huffman
Ouray: Zachary Martin
Donald Cory Jackson
San Miguel: Susanne Ross

Eighth Judicial District

Jackson: Cindy L. Wilson
Larimer: Mary Joan Berenato
Kraig S. Ecton
Joshua B. Lehman
Thomas L. Lynch
Peter E. Schoon, Jr.

Ninth Judicial District

Garfield: Paul Metzger
Jonathan B. Pototsky
Pitkin: Erin Fernandez-Ely
Rio Blanco: Laurie A. Noble

Tenth Judicial District

Pueblo: Steve Fieldman
Valerie Haynes
David Lobato

Eleventh Judicial District

Chaffee: William P. Alderton
Custer: Amanda Hunter
Fremont: Norman C. Cooling
Park: Brian L. Green

Twelfth Judicial District

Alamosa: Daniel Walzl
Conejos: Kimberly Cortez-Rodriguez
Susan Broyles
Costilla: Kimberly Wood
Mineral: Ruth M. Acheson
Rio Grande: Barbara A. Zollars
Saguache: Anna N. Ulrich

Thirteenth Judicial District

Kit Carson: Michael Grinnan
Logan: Ray Ann Brammer
Morgan: Michael K. Schingle
Phillips: Kimbra Killin
Sedgwick: James Craig Dolezal
Washington: Kelly Hansen
Yuma: Sara Marie Wagers-Johnson

Fourteenth Judicial District

Grand: Nicholas Catanzarite
Moffat: Sandra Gardner
Routt: James H. Garrecht

Fifteenth Judicial District

Baca: Debra M. Gunkel
Cheyenne: Gerald Keefe
Kiowa: Gary W. Davis
Prowers: Lane Porter

Sixteenth Judicial District

Bent: Samuel S. Vigil
Crowley: Richard Medina
Otero: Douglas R. Manley

Seventeenth Judicial District

Adams: Brian N. Bowen
Michael A. Cox
Martin (M.J.) Flaum
Cindy Hoang-Ha Thi Dang
Bryon L. Howell
Leroy D. Kirby
Sabino E. Romano
Dianna Roybal
Broomfield: Amy Bockman

Eighteenth Judicial District

Arapahoe: Colleen Clark
Kelly LaFave
Michael Roche
Anne M. Ollada
John Scipione
Cheryl Rowles-Stokes
Darren L. Vahle
Joshua Williford
Douglas: Lawrence R. Bowling
Monica J. Gomez
Susanna Meissner-Cutler
Elbert: Palmer L. Boyette
Lincoln: Truston Lee Fisher

Nineteenth Judicial District

Weld: John J. Briggs
Michele L. Meyer
Dana J. Nichols
Charles S. Unfug

Twentieth Judicial District

Boulder: David A. Archuleta
Noel E. Blum
Elizabeth Brodsky
Jonathon Martin
Karolyn Moore

Twenty-First Judicial District

Mesa: Michael J. Grattan
Craig P. Henderson
Bruce R. Raam

Twenty-Second Judicial District

Dolores: E. Dale Boyd
Montezuma: JenniLynn E. Lawrence


County Court

Colorado’s county courts serve the citizens of each of the state’s 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than \$15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.

Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2018, there were 413,894 case filings at the county court level. Colorado’s county courts were able to terminate 410,939 cases during that same 12-month period. Compared to Fiscal Year 2017, county court filings in Fiscal Year 2018 have increased by 0.86 percent to the current level of filings. The greatest area of increase was in Felony Complaint filings, which increased by 10.07 percent.

Specific information regarding each county court is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/County/Choose.cfm>.



**Chart 3 and Chart 4:
County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type
Ten Year Comparison**





Table 25:
County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018
 (Numbers do not include Denver County Court)

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY17	FY18	Percent Change
Civil	140,462	143,591	2.23%
Infractions	66,561	65,344	-1.83%
Misdemeanors	61,298	62,589	2.11%
Small Claims	7,118	6,990	-1.80%
Traffic	115,370	113,865	-1.30%
Felony Complaints	19,546	21,515	10.07%
Total	410,355	413,894	0.86%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY17	FY18	Percent Change
Civil	138,286	142,319	2.92%
Infractions	66,823	65,996	-1.24%
Misdemeanors	59,396	60,748	2.28%
Small Claims	6,896	6,713	-2.65%
Traffic	114,885	113,648	-1.08%
Felony Complaints	19,546	21,515	10.07%
Total with Felony Complaints	405,832	410,939	1.26%
Total without Felony Complaints	386,286	389,424	0.81%

**TABLE 25 CHART
FISCAL YEAR 2017 VERSUS FISCAL YEAR 2018**

FY17 FY18





Table 26:
County Court Caseload
Fiscal Year 2009 to Fiscal Year 2018
(Numbers do not include Denver County Court)

Case Class	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	202,958	206,954	200,250	193,282	174,466	158,525	144,868	138,631	140,462	143,591
Cases Terminated	200,895	205,545	199,308	192,635	174,554	132,170	144,018	137,744	138,286	142,319
INFRACTIONS										
New Cases Filed	100,804	95,557	84,610	75,464	67,581	69,515	70,375	69,782	66,561	65,344
Cases Terminated	99,055	95,786	87,072	76,228	68,033	67,854	71,664	70,107	66,823	65,996
MISDEMEANORS										
New Cases Filed	73,605	69,695	67,137	70,068	62,740	60,585	62,131	60,682	61,298	62,589
Cases Terminated	74,147	69,232	68,187	67,482	65,310	57,193	59,852	59,799	59,396	60,748
SMALL CLAIMS										
New Cases Filed	12,266	11,097	9,629	9,117	8,171	7,589	7,404	7,309	7,118	6,990
Cases Terminated	12,337	11,010	9,707	9,244	8,357	6,710	7,245	7,266	6,896	6,713
TRAFFIC										
New Cases Filed	155,235	141,493	126,788	121,112	115,465	117,389	124,922	118,215	115,370	113,865
Cases Terminated	160,307	146,373	135,046	124,842	115,706	114,112	114,989	116,252	114,885	113,648
FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)	17,235	16,795	16,851	15,328	17,832	16,794	16,247	18,095	19,546	21,515
TOTAL										
<i>New Cases Filed</i>	562,103	541,591	505,265	484,371	446,255	430,397	425,947	412,714	410,355	413,894
<i>Cases Terminated (b)</i>	546,741	527,946	499,320	470,431	431,960	431,960	397,768	397,768	386,286	389,424

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

Table 26 Chart
County Court New Case Filings
Fiscal Years 2009 through 2018




**Table 27:
County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Filings			Terminations ^(a)		
	FY17	FY18	Percent Change	FY17	FY18	Percent Change
1	47,781	48,707	1.94%	43,335	44,444	2.56%
2	137,031	141,307	3.12%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	4,122	4,370	6.02%	3,946	4,063	2.97%
4	60,954	58,063	-4.74%	61,959	57,299	-7.52%
5	12,696	11,808	-6.99%	11,262	10,927	-2.97%
6	6,673	6,232	-6.61%	6,020	5,672	-5.78%
7	10,473	10,274	-1.90%	9,663	9,387	-2.86%
8	23,156	23,544	1.68%	23,113	22,754	-1.55%
9	7,817	7,959	1.82%	7,536	7,681	1.92%
10	15,494	15,384	-0.71%	14,953	14,802	-1.01%
11	7,454	8,230	10.41%	7,125	7,980	12.00%
12	7,569	7,836	3.53%	6,462	6,470	0.12%
13	8,375	7,987	-4.63%	8,267	7,303	-11.66%
14	5,434	5,815	7.01%	5,044	5,213	3.35%
15	3,783	3,805	0.58%	3,668	3,505	-4.44%
16	3,604	3,356	-6.88%	3,808	3,332	-12.50%
17	54,316	54,562	0.45%	50,947	50,284	-1.30%
18	75,252	73,242	-2.67%	69,904	69,056	-1.21%
19	23,028	27,247	18.32%	22,326	26,447	18.46%
20	16,950	17,184	1.38%	15,138	14,777	-2.38%
21	14,647	15,053	2.77%	14,070	15,237	8.29%
22	3,136	3,236	3.19%	2,602	2,791	7.26%
State Total Without Denver County Court	412,714	413,894	0.29%	391,148	389,424	-0.44%
State Total	549,745	555,201	0.99%	n/a	n/a	n/a

(a) Does not include felony complaints.

Table 27 Chart
County Court- New Case Filings by Judicial District
Comparison FY 2017 and FY 2018



**Table 28:
County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions		Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term. ^(c)
1	Gilpin	109	113	210	210	703	700	248	246	10	11	763	668	2,043	1,738
	Jefferson	15,951	16,041	4,186	4,186	6,456	6,372	6,829	6,960	791	795	12,451	12,538	46,664	42,706
	Total	16,060	16,154	4,396	4,396	7,159	7,072	7,077	7,206	801	806	13,214	13,206	48,707	44,444
2	Denver ^(a)	26,507	n/a ^(b)	9,185	n/a ^(b)	21,327	n/a ^(b)	n/a ^(c)	n/a ^(b)	1,260	n/a ^(b)	83,028	n/a ^(b)	141,307	n/a ^(b)
	Total	26,507	n/a	9,185	n/a	21,327	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,260	n/a	83,028	n/a	141,307	n/a
3	Huerfano	134	133	15	15	208	213	569	551	16	18	544	502	1,486	1,417
	Las Animas	427	420	17	17	517	471	1,015	1,012	31	24	877	719	2,884	2,646
	Total	561	553	32	32	725	684	1,584	1,563	47	42	1,421	1,221	4,370	4,063
4	El Paso	24,423	24,203	328	328	8,019	7,844	7,412	7,613	1,105	789	14,849	14,951	56,136	55,400
	Teller	406	401	31	31	463	450	262	284	34	35	731	729	1,927	1,899
	Total	24,829	24,604	359	359	8,482	8,294	7,674	7,897	1,139	824	15,580	15,680	58,063	57,299
5	Clear Creek	161	149	79	79	271	322	674	685	20	22	1,096	949	2,301	2,127
	Eagle	405	427	148	148	682	641	1,500	1,514	107	103	1,618	1,639	4,460	4,324
	Eagle-Basalt	26	21	0	0	62	59	109	109	14	14	106	101	317	304
	Lake	234	222	107	107	210	184	203	197	16	17	348	290	1,118	910
	Total	1,096	1,098	667	667	1,741	1,723	3,663	3,654	213	213	4,428	4,239	11,808	10,927
6	Archuleta	246	224	137	137	332	309	310	312	16	18	317	290	1,358	1,153
	La Plata	1,178	1,119	123	123	821	722	955	919	95	97	1,630	1,596	4,802	4,453
	Total	1,431	1,346	260	260	1,164	1,043	1,288	1,253	111	115	1,978	1,915	6,232	5,672
7	Delta	760	713	312	312	488	467	829	863	54	40	790	827	3,233	2,910
	Gunnison	234	223	126	126	359	363	365	361	22	25	409	424	1,515	1,396
	Hinsdale	11	11	1	1	23	19	17	16	3	3	33	32	88	81
	Montrose	949	976	459	459	803	793	781	801	70	71	963	979	4,025	3,620
	Montrose-Nucla	39	42	10	10	55	58	35	37	6	7	36	43	181	187
	Ouray	54	50	17	17	99	98	313	318	8	7	230	242	721	715
	Total	2,117	2,093	976	976	1,938	1,901	2,452	2,511	178	172	2,613	2,710	10,274	9,387
8	Jackson	14	16	0	0	52	36	30	29	3	3	57	48	156	132
	Larimer	5,137	5,101	81	81	3,583	3,322	3,014	2,995	353	370	3,663	3,429	15,831	15,217
	Total	7,787	7,788	81	81	5,532	5,173	3,044	3,024	447	464	6,653	6,305	23,544	22,754
9	Garfield	590	570	74	74	409	408	553	531	90	91	1,227	1,261	2,943	2,861
	Garfield-Rifle	793	728	84	84	664	706	557	573	49	43	1,045	1,042	3,192	3,092
	Pitkin	158	152	7	7	218	156	220	214	45	49	430	418	1,078	989
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	83	87	1	1	87	84	145	143	6	5	181	163	503	482
	Total	1,659	1,579	166	166	1,452	1,426	1,517	1,500	199	196	2,966	2,980	7,959	7,681
10	Pueblo	6,524	6,480	90	90	2,723	2,565	2,042	1,939	247	270	3,758	3,548	15,384	14,802
	Total	6,524	6,480	90	90	2,723	2,565	2,042	1,939	247	270	3,758	3,548	15,384	14,802
11	Chaffee	345	295	26	26	614	617	435	457	33	32	357	350	1,810	1,751
	Custer	54	57	3	3	82	79	207	220	5	9	177	143	528	508
	Fremont	1,154	1,131	51	51	874	879	794	740	89	81	937	945	3,899	3,776
	Park	323	321	5	5	325	308	664	629	17	18	659	669	1,993	1,945
	Total	1,876	1,804	85	85	1,895	1,883	2,100	2,046	144	140	2,130	2,107	8,230	7,980

(a) Termination numbers are not available.
(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.
(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 28:
County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions		Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term. ^(c)
12	Alamosa	511	502	625	625	398	463	782	751	33	41	868	805	3,217	2,562
	Conejos	142	153	195	195	129	134	225	208	5	5	269	224	965	724
	Costilla	82	80	59	59	99	115	505	505	8	6	296	274	1,049	980
	Mineral	7	4	3	3	8	6	249	252	1	1	116	101	384	364
	Rio Grande	285	254	110	110	232	220	258	234	14	13	452	399	1,351	1,120
	Saguache	158	151	56	56	124	94	278	256	16	16	238	203	870	720
	Total	1,185	1,144	1,048	1,048	990	1,032	2,297	2,206	77	82	2,239	2,006	7,836	6,470
13	Kit Carson	143	149	15	15	160	134	364	320	26	23	310	257	1,018	883
	Logan	575	517	26	26	352	318	891	891	43	41	644	510	2,531	2,277
	Morgan	934	867	13	13	628	460	441	460	57	64	818	747	2,891	2,598
	Phillips	95	101	2	2	54	58	42	38	15	15	79	78	287	290
	Sedgwick	47	47	1	1	44	41	91	91	4	5	43	46	230	230
	Washington	98	92	1	1	63	80	179	173	6	5	137	145	484	495
	Yuma	183	167	4	4	92	108	68	64	30	30	169	161	546	530
	Total	2,075	1,940	62	62	1,393	1,199	2,076	2,037	181	183	2,200	1,944	7,987	7,303
14	Grand	154	152	127	127	279	315	535	554	35	31	521	553	1,651	1,605
	Moffat	416	411	299	299	288	298	364	354	24	23	365	398	1,756	1,484
	Routt	228	221	159	159	536	484	608	577	40	47	837	795	2,408	2,124
	Total	798	784	585	585	1,103	1,097	1,507	1,485	99	101	1,723	1,746	5,815	5,213
15	Baca	56	62	0	0	47	40	158	158	4	5	88	91	353	356
	Cheyenne	29	27	1	1	21	20	244	248	4	4	85	66	384	365
	Kiowa	15	14	0	0	24	16	272	264	4	3	93	93	408	390
	Prowers	336	332	21	21	376	331	825	815	45	41	1,057	875	2,660	2,394
	Total	436	435	22	22	468	407	1,499	1,485	57	53	1,323	1,125	3,805	3,505
16	Bent	109	106	13	13	134	137	247	258	6	3	136	135	645	639
	Crowley	93	83	7	7	94	113	123	131	4	5	89	95	410	427
	Otero	691	693	40	40	460	456	579	580	32	34	499	503	2,301	2,266
	Total	893	882	60	60	688	706	949	969	42	42	724	733	3,356	3,332
17	Adams	21,362	21,329	4,477	4,477	5,369	5,303	5,572	6,114	590	535	13,239	13,597	50,609	46,878
	Broomfield	1,556	1,486	395	395	570	484	353	343	71	69	1,008	1,024	3,953	3,406
	Total	22,918	22,815	4,872	4,872	5,939	5,787	5,925	6,457	661	604	14,247	14,621	54,562	50,284
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	895	892	3,262	3,262	4,301	4,087	5,693	5,757	0	6	12,306	12,786	26,457	23,528
	Arapahoe-Littleton	22,753	22,626	0	0	0	107	0	0	1,005	1,008	1	229	23,759	23,970
	Douglas	5,619	5,442	1,120	1,120	3,044	3,038	3,564	3,636	312	319	5,696	5,852	19,355	18,287
	Elbert	349	346	134	134	188	189	1,181	1,260	28	30	507	486	2,387	2,311
	Lincoln	93	100	156	156	161	140	338	344	10	10	526	366	1,284	960
	Total	29,709	29,406	4,672	4,672	7,694	7,561	10,776	10,997	1,355	1,373	19,036	19,719	73,242	69,056
19	Weld	9,845	9,652	119	119	3,916	3,670	3,998	3,896	337	368	9,032	8,861	27,247	26,447
20	Boulder	3,927	3,850	2,453	2,453	2,321	2,389	861	883	390	387	2,721	2,806	12,673	10,315
	Boulder-Longmont	1,859	1,842	0	0	984	942	301	302	0	0	1,367	1,376	4,511	4,462
	Total	5,786	5,692	2,453	2,453	3,305	3,331	1,162	1,185	390	387	4,088	4,182	17,184	14,777
21	Mesa	5,301	5,371	108	108	3,615	3,558	2,139	2,129	229	242	3,661	3,937	15,053	15,237
22	Dolores	36	35	20	20	30	36	67	80	3	3	34	33	190	187
	Montezuma	669	664	382	382	637	600	508	477	33	33	817	830	3,046	2,604
	Total	705	699	402	402	667	636	575	557	36	36	851	863	3,236	2,791
State Total Without Denver County Court		143,591	142,319	21,515	21,515	62,589	60,748	65,344	65,996	6,990	6,713	113,865	113,648	413,894	389,424
State Total With Denver County Court		170,098	n/a^(a)	30,700	n/a^(a)	83,916	n/a^(a)	n/a^(a)	n/a^(b)	8,250	n/a^(a)	196,893	n/a^(b)	555,201	n/a^(a)

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 29:
Court and Jury Trials for County Court
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims Court Trials	Traffic		Infractions Final Hearings	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials		Court Trials	Jury Trials		Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	8	6	3	2	12	11	10
	Jefferson	100	0	26	138	205	15	89	150	346	227
	Total	100	0	28	146	211	18	91	162	357	237
3	Huerfano	3	0	0	2	10	0	1	23	13	3
	Las Animas	8	0	1	1	9	2	0	12	20	1
	Total	11	0	1	3	19	2	1	35	33	4
4	El Paso	55	1	5	100	59	4	67	577	123	168
	Teller	32	0	4	8	24	1	11	35	61	19
	Total	87	1	9	108	83	5	78	612	184	187
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	25	8	0
	Eagle	4	0	0	3	18	5	3	81	27	6
	Eagle--Basalt	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	0
	Lake	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	5	0
	Summit	9	0	1	0	22	11	2	37	43	2
	Total	18	0	1	3	54	16	5	147	89	8
6	Archuleta	2	0	2	2	7	1	2	26	12	4
	La Plata	3	0	0	13	21	0	9	95	24	22
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
	Total	5	0	2	15	28	1	11	126	36	26
7	Delta	2	0	3	1	8	1	0	29	14	1
	Gunnison	1	0	1	1	8	2	1	40	12	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Montrose	4	1	4	16	49	1	1	76	58	18
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	2	5	1	0	1	6	2
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	13	3	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	1	6	1	2	9	8	3
	Total	7	1	9	21	79	6	5	169	101	27
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1
	Larimer	26	0	0	18	69	3	8	86	98	26
	Larimer-Loveland	8	0	1	12	15	1	16	0	25	28
	Total	34	0	1	31	85	4	24	88	124	55
9	Garfield	8	0	0	0	48	0	7	18	56	7
	Garfield-Rifle	3	0	0	5	20	0	4	25	23	9
	Pitkin	2	0	0	1	29	0	1	54	31	2
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	6	3	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	1
	Total	14	0	0	7	102	0	12	103	116	19
10	Pueblo	119	0	3	55	72	2	16	361	196	71
11	Chaffee	0	0	2	1	3	1	1	14	6	2
	Custer	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	50	6	1
	Fremont	28	0	1	5	14	0	7	45	43	12
	Park	3	0	0	2	5	1	0	6	9	2
	Total	31	0	3	8	28	2	9	115	64	17


*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 29:
Court and Jury Trials for County Court
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims Court Trials	Traffic		Infractions Final Hearings	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials		Court Trials	Jury Trials		Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	8	3	0	4	10	4	12
	Conejos	3	0	1	5	3	3	0	14	10	5
	Costilla	0	0	2	5	4	1	2	14	7	7
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	9	0	0	10	10	0
	Saguache	3	0	0	2	8	1	2	4	12	4
	Total	8	0	3	20	27	5	8	60	43	28
13	Kit Carson	1	0	0	3	12	2	0	4	15	3
	Logan	31	2	0	11	25	1	4	8	57	17
	Morgan	32	0	0	9	38	1	2	7	71	11
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	8	0	2	0	8	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	2	1
	Washington	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	1
	Yuma	3	0	0	1	5	0	1	5	8	2
Total	67	2	0	26	90	4	9	31	161	37	
14	Grand	3	0	1	1	15	0	2	15	19	3
	Moffat	11	0	0	9	10	0	1	4	21	10
	Routt	2	0	1	5	27	4	8	9	34	13
	Total	16	0	2	15	52	4	11	28	74	26
15	Baca	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
	Kiowa	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	5	2	1
	Prowers	4	0	1	0	15	2	2	2	22	2
	Total	6	0	1	3	18	3	2	9	28	5
16	Bent	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	22	6	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	11	2	2
	Otero	2	0	1	8	20	1	2	13	24	10
	Total	6	0	1	10	24	1	2	46	32	12
17	Adams	116	0	6	109	113	8	80	229	243	189
	Broomfield	3	0	0	19	23	0	8	2	26	27
	Total	119	0	6	128	136	8	88	231	269	216
18	Arapahoe	1	0	3	68	0	0	89	380	4	157
	Arapahoe-Littleton	64	1	0	0	125	0	0	0	189	1
	Douglas	48	1	7	20	52	12	30	232	119	51
	Elbert	4	0	0	2	3	0	3	126	7	5
	Lincoln	0	0	1	4	7	0	3	2	8	7
	Total	117	2	11	94	187	12	125	740	327	221
19	Weld	18	0	0	44	87	1	42	142	106	86
20	Boulder	20	0	2	18	70	4	9	27	96	27
	Boulder-Longmont	4	0	0	4	0	0	3	2	4	7
	Total	24	0	2	22	70	4	12	29	100	34
21	Mesa	41	0	5	36	53	0	24	144	99	60
22	Dolores	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
	Montezuma	3	0	0	4	6	0	1	6	9	5
	Total	5	0	0	4	7	0	1	6	12	5
State Total		853	6	88	799	1,512	98	576	3,384	2,551	1,381

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 29 Chart
 Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in County Court
 Fiscal Year 2018**




**Table 30:
DUI/DWAI Filings by District
Fiscal Year 2009 to Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18
1	2,659	2,218	2,152	1,902	1,982	2,054	2,068	1,997	1,987	2,110
Denver County ^(a)	4,283	3,249	3,064	2,932	2,692	2,371	2,597	2,612	1,940	1,940
3	207	242	219	147	164	155	137	137	127	116
4	4,129	3,530	3,067	2,871	2,757	2,572	2,768	2,390	2,381	2,597
5	1,016	936	910	927	869	874	889	963	884	888
6	536	504	475	477	473	458	472	585	547	454
7	749	697	572	532	522	504	509	557	562	502
8	2,173	2,008	1,656	1,623	1,811	1,778	1,539	1,464	1,419	1,502
9	907	672	654	687	584	604	494	653	647	672
10	965	887	828	671	629	634	542	559	432	483
11	393	377	328	319	344	340	357	344	350	396
12	463	391	550	420	336	320	300	269	203	239
13	453	415	325	376	388	378	361	298	269	266
14	540	531	469	403	360	399	372	405	392	496
15	157	127	136	151	128	101	107	146	79	80
16	199	178	195	137	128	168	177	89	114	141
17	3,347	3,252	3,121	3,164	3,021	3,029	2,718	2,487	2,267	2,264
18	3,792	3,930	3,547	3,605	4,053	4,016	3,866	3,298	3,023	2,428
19	1,663	1,533	1,334	1,139	1,118	1,205	1,178	1,067	1,077	1,252
20	1,614	1,587	1,364	1,341	1,518	1,250	1,204	1,117	951	909
21	994	987	866	651	587	775	751	638	550	520
22	233	188	178	212	212	139	138	143	196	169
State Totals Without Denver County Court	27,189	25,190	22,946	21,755	21,984	21,753	20,947	19,606	18,457	18,484
State Totals	31,472	31,442	26,010	31,055	30,958	31,472	23,544	22,218	20,397	20,424

(a) Filings do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal Case classes

Table 30 Chart
DUI/DWAI New Traffic Case Filings
Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 through Fiscal Year 2018



**Table 31:
County Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Forcible Entry and					Protection Order			Total
		Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Protection Order	Domestic Abuse	Replevin	
1	Gilpin	23	0	55	9	2	11	9	0	109
	Jefferson	4,345	4	9,871	237	158	828	464	44	15,951
	Total	4,368	4	9,926	246	160	839	473	44	16,060
3	Huerfano	28	0	67	1	1	30	5	2	134
	Las Animas	48	0	182	6	1	186	1	3	427
	Total	76	0	249	7	2	216	6	5	561
4	El Paso	7,171	3	14,197	332	21	404	2,223	72	24,423
	Teller	72	0	235	4	0	45	48	2	406
	Total	7,243	3	14,432	336	21	449	2,271	74	24,829
5	Clear Creek	42	0	82	3	2	29	3	0	161
	Eagle	84	0	229	15	2	75	0	0	405
	Eagle-Basalt	5	0	4	3	0	14	0	0	26
	Lake	66	0	145	1	1	21	0	0	234
	Summit	65	1	153	4	1	34	12	0	270
	Total	262	1	613	26	6	173	15	0	1,096
6	Archuleta	37	1	112	5	15	47	27	2	246
	La Plata	141	3	779	26	1	192	34	2	1,178
	San Juan	2	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	7
	Total	180	4	892	31	16	243	61	4	1,431
7	Delta	113	0	481	8	4	97	48	9	760
	Gunnison	17	0	170	5	0	35	7	0	234
	Hinsdale	0	0	7	1	0	2	1	0	11
	Montrose	203	1	561	15	6	65	91	7	949
	Montrose-Nucla	8	1	15	0	1	10	4	0	39
	Ouray	9	0	16	1	1	15	10	2	54
	San Miguel	10	0	39	3	0	11	5	2	70
Total	360	2	1,289	33	12	235	166	20	2,117	
8	Jackson	3	0	5	1	0	5	0	0	14
	Larimer	1,003	1	3,596	112	14	272	124	15	5,137
	Larimer-Loveland	279	0	2,214	19	2	45	73	4	2,636
	Total	1,285	1	5,815	132	16	322	197	19	7,787
9	Garfield	58	0	439	8	5	70	10	0	590
	Garfield-Rifle	147	0	567	3	4	31	41	0	793
	Pitkin	13	0	95	10	3	32	5	0	158
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	3	0	62	1	1	12	4	0	83
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	7	0	23	0	2	1	2	0	35
Total	228	0	1,186	22	15	146	62	0	1,659	
10	Pueblo	1,238	0	4,372	59	27	633	165	30	6,524
11	Chaffee	35	0	256	5	0	24	19	6	345
	Custer	8	0	35	0	0	11	0	0	54
	Fremont	191	0	728	10	2	108	106	9	1,154
	Park	27	0	195	7	32	43	18	1	323
	Total	261	0	1,214	22	34	186	143	16	1,876

**Table 31:
County Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Protection Order	Protection Order Domestic Abuse	Replevin	Total
12	Alamosa	87	0	302	11	0	91	17	3	511
	Conejos	17	0	86	6	0	24	6	3	142
	Costilla	8	0	41	2	0	27	4	0	82
	Mineral	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Rio Grande	36	0	202	3	0	34	10	0	285
	Saguache	20	0	71	3	25	30	7	2	158
	Total		168	0	709	25	25	206	44	8
13	Kit Carson	22	0	103	0	1	14	1	2	143
	Logan	115	1	419	6	2	28	0	4	575
	Morgan	126	0	733	12	1	50	7	5	934
	Phillips	2	0	80	3	0	6	3	1	95
	Sedgwick	0	0	44	0	0	2	1	0	47
	Washington	9	0	74	0	0	8	6	1	98
	Yuma	10	0	146	1	3	20	2	1	183
Total		284	1	1,599	22	7	128	20	14	2,075
14	Grand	43	1	67	2	0	34	7	0	154
	Moffat	81	0	306	3	1	12	13	0	416
	Routt	27	0	138	4	2	47	7	3	228
	Total	151	1	511	9	3	93	27	3	798
15	Baca	7	0	32	4	0	10	2	1	56
	Cheyenne	2	0	17	0	1	8	0	1	29
	Kiowa	0	0	11	0	1	2	1	0	15
	Prowers	28	0	217	2	5	70	13	1	336
	Total	37	0	277	6	7	90	16	3	436
16	Bent	17	0	70	1	0	20	0	1	109
	Crowley	16	0	53	1	1	6	15	1	93
	Otero	82	0	462	6	1	47	93	0	691
	Total	115	0	585	8	2	73	108	2	893
17	Adams	7,040	4	12,577	188	227	413	833	80	21,362
	Broomfield	581	0	823	46	2	53	49	2	1,556
	Total	7,621	4	13,400	234	229	466	882	82	22,918
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	3	0	0	0	15	700	177	0	895
	Arapahoe-Littleton	10,024	8	11,867	321	51	388	52	42	22,753
	Douglas	1,385	0	3,668	133	44	299	80	10	5,619
	Elbert	29	0	259	8	0	33	17	3	349
	Lincoln	7	0	53	3	0	16	14	0	93
Total	11,448	8	15,847	465	110	1,436	340	55	29,709	
19	Weld	1,511	2	7,197	79	153	348	522	33	9,845
20	Boulder	1,061	3	2,140	155	37	333	194	4	3,927
	Boulder-Longmont	177	0	1,356	38	14	199	60	15	1,859
	Total	1,238	3	3,496	193	51	532	254	19	5,786
21	Mesa	928	2	3,511	59	3	278	494	26	5,301
22	Dolores	2	1	15	0	0	17	0	1	36
	Montezuma	77	0	422	2	2	114	49	3	669
	Total	79	1	437	2	2	131	49	4	705
State Total		39,081	37	87,557	2,016	901	7,223	6,315	461	143,591
Percent of State Total		27%	0.03%	61%	1%	0.63%	5%	4%	0.32%	100%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Cruelty to Animals								
		Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	to Animals	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	12	24	0	15	0	2	2	0	0
	Jefferson	80	233	7	258	2	263	146	5	100
	Total	92	257	7	273	2	265	148	5	100
3	Huerfano	5	11	3	11	0	11	10	0	0
	Las Animas	9	4	0	16	0	24	23	0	0
	Total	14	15	3	27	0	35	33	0	0
4	El Paso	288	168	16	499	0	280	279	180	1
	Teller	4	17	0	15	0	7	12	4	0
	Total	292	185	16	514	0	287	291	184	1
5	Clear Creek	8	22	20	7	1	1	4	0	0
	Eagle	94	14	1	51	3	9	15	0	0
	Eagle-Basalt	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0
	Lake	17	29	5	7	6	0	9	0	0
	Summit	24	32	1	50	11	11	17	2	1
	Total	143	99	27	117	21	22	46	2	1
6	Archuleta	7	68	0	11	32	2	6	5	0
	La Plata	19	51	1	76	23	21	29	2	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	26	119	1	88	55	23	35	7	0
7	Delta	14	8	3	33	3	15	11	1	0
	Gunnison	14	12	0	14	14	2	7	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	28	34	1	34	5	17	13	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	1	1	0	4	1	0	0	3	0
	Ouray	3	6	0	7	1	5	2	0	0
	San Miguel	3	9	0	8	0	2	4	0	0
	Total	63	71	4	100	24	41	37	5	0
8	Jackson	1	2	0	2	1	3	0	0	0
	Larimer	228	88	2	204	23	40	120	8	0
	Larimer-Loveland	120	56	4	70	12	32	43	0	0
	Total	349	146	6	276	36	75	163	8	0
9	Garfield	54	14	0	24	1	9	9	0	0
	Garfield-Rifle	41	56	1	19	0	11	13	1	0
	Pitkin	5	6	0	19	1	4	6	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	7	0	0	4	1	5	3	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	7	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	0
	Total	114	77	1	69	3	30	32	1	0
10	Pueblo	26	135	2	110	2	71	26	3	2
11	Chaffee	27	12	0	20	2	4	9	0	0
	Custer	2	5	1	10	4	0	2	0	0
	Fremont	25	38	0	53	0	24	24	1	0
	Park	4	40	12	14	1	6	3	0	0
	Total	58	95	13	97	7	34	38	1	0

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Case Type								
		Domestic Violence	Drugs	Fare Escape	Fare Evasion	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Habitual Traffic	Harassment
1	Gilpin	73	33	0	0	5	179	94	30	17
	Jefferson	1,354	577	67	0	2	40	0	471	123
	Total	1,427	610	67	0	7	219	94	501	140
3	Huerfano	29	22	0	0	0	0	0	4	21
	Las Animas	69	26	2	0	1	0	0	9	19
	Total	98	48	2	0	1	0	0	13	40
4	El Paso	2,723	372	18	0	1	44	0	183	273
	Teller	68	17	0	0	1	6	113	3	31
	Total	2,791	389	18	0	2	50	113	186	304
5	Clear Creek	29	13	0	0	0	1	0	6	15
	Eagle	127	64	0	0	2	2	0	14	36
	Eagle-Basalt	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Lake	29	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	Summit	98	17	0	0	0	4	0	6	27
	Total	297	114	0	0	2	7	0	26	96
6	Archuleta	58	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
	La Plata	135	38	0	0	0	1	0	11	49
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	195	39	0	0	0	1	0	12	58
7	Delta	89	28	0	0	0	2	0	46	18
	Gunnison	59	9	0	0	1	1	0	6	10
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Montrose	199	36	1	0	0	2	0	40	16
	Montrose-Nucla	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1
	Ouray	12	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	San Miguel	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
	Total	385	84	1	0	1	6	0	101	64
8	Jackson	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Larimer	541	259	8	1	5	5	0	111	126
	Larimer-Loveland	303	190	0	0	0	4	0	88	55
Total	850	449	9	1	5	9	0	199	187	
9	Garfield	72	17	0	0	2	1	0	13	30
	Garfield-Rifle	185	18	0	0	0	1	0	16	20
	Pitkin	25	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	17
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	16	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	9	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	307	50	0	0	2	5	0	32	74
10	Pueblo	881	63	0	0	1	2	1	111	43
11	Chaffee	65	33	1	0	0	0	0	3	26
	Custer	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Fremont	165	38	0	0	0	14	0	3	42
	Park	40	24	0	0	0	0	0	9	17
	Total	271	96	1	0	0	14	0	15	87

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)					Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing		Other	Perjury	Prostitution			
1	Gilpin	0	0	61	0	0	53	3	1
	Jefferson	13	0	620	0	8	652	13	76
	Total	13	0	681	0	8	705	16	77
3	Huerfano	1	0	10	0	0	14	0	1
	Las Animas	7	0	23	0	0	45	1	61
	Total	8	0	33	0	0	59	1	62
4	El Paso	40	0	474	0	9	226	19	183
	Teller	3	0	44	0	0	31	0	5
	Total	43	0	518	0	9	257	19	188
5	Clear Creek	0	0	19	0	0	53	0	19
	Eagle	1	0	39	1	1	81	1	28
	Eagle-Basalt	1	0	9	0	0	15	0	0
	Lake	1	0	9	0	0	24	0	8
	Summit	3	0	22	0	0	54	0	25
Total	6	0	98	1	1	227	1	80	
6	Archuleta	2	0	37	0	0	55	0	4
	La Plata	4	0	78	1	0	139	0	5
	San Juan	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	6	0	117	1	0	195	0	9
7	Delta	0	0	24	0	0	82	0	16
	Gunnison	2	0	22	8	0	81	0	19
	Hinsdale	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	1	0	55	0	0	142	4	16
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0
	San Miguel	1	0	14	0	0	16	0	0
	Total	4	0	136	8	0	336	4	52
8	Jackson	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	3
	Larimer	13	0	294	0	30	244	29	186
	Larimer-Loveland	6	0	141	0	1	173	6	70
	Total	19	0	437	0	31	420	35	259
9	Garfield	3	0	27	0	0	64	5	12
	Garfield-Rifle	2	0	31	0	0	81	0	17
	Pitkin	1	0	22	0	0	33	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	5	0	0	14	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	7	0	0	12	0	3
	Total	6	0	92	0	0	204	5	32
10	Pueblo	3	0	62	6	0	625	5	11
11	Chaffee	6	0	217	0	0	47	0	37
	Custer	4	0	9	0	0	17	0	0
	Fremont	10	0	60	0	0	150	12	48
	Park	2	0	23	0	0	25	0	6
	Total	22	0	309	0	0	239	12	91

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age			Total
					Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
1	Gilpin	1	48	34	0	8	8	703
	Jefferson	88	697	101	183	87	190	6,456
	Total	89	745	135	183	95	198	7,159
3	Huerfano	2	22	14	11	0	6	208
	Las Animas	0	88	34	6	9	41	517
	Total	2	110	48	17	9	47	725
4	El Paso	98	984	254	247	112	48	8,019
	Teller	4	36	13	0	11	18	463
	Total	102	1,020	267	247	123	66	8,482
5	Clear Creek	2	10	3	3	2	33	271
	Eagle	10	24	24	28	9	3	682
	Eagle-Basalt	1	1	1	6	0	0	62
	Lake	1	9	11	1	3	11	210
	Total	14	65	81	78	20	49	1,741
6	Archuleta	1	13	3	10	0	7	332
	La Plata	6	40	51	10	13	18	821
	San Juan	0	1	4	0	0	0	11
	Total	7	54	58	20	13	25	1,164
7	Delta	4	35	12	31	5	8	488
	Gunnison	2	17	8	18	8	24	359
	Hinsdale	0	0	3	0	0	2	23
	Montrose	7	30	22	79	15	6	803
	Montrose-Nucla	1	2	1	12	1	0	55
	Ouray	0	8	5	16	0	7	99
	Total	16	97	53	162	29	54	1,938
8	Jackson	0	1	1	1	0	19	52
	Larimer	40	519	261	153	26	19	3,583
	Larimer-Loveland	11	280	42	30	15	145	1,897
	Total	51	800	304	184	41	183	5,532
9	Garfield	6	13	5	23	5	0	409
	Garfield-Rifle	4	18	10	81	3	35	664
	Pitkin	7	12	6	42	5	1	218
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	2	2	0	2	17	87
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	1	4	6	1	0	5	74
	Total	18	49	29	147	15	58	1,452
10	Pueblo	33	141	27	88	28	215	2,723
11	Chaffee	1	32	17	36	3	16	614
	Custer	0	5	2	13	0	4	82
	Fremont	4	97	30	2	7	27	874
	Park	0	7	8	0	11	73	325
	Total	5	141	57	51	21	120	1,895

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Cruelty to Animals								
		Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	to Animals	Curfew Violation
12	Alamosa	6	10	1	22	0	13	8	0	0
	Conejos	2	8	0	4	2	2	2	0	0
	Costilla	2	2	2	1	0	5	2	0	0
	Mineral	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	3	0	11	0	3	2	0	0
	Saguache	9	4	2	1	0	2	5	0	0
	Total	25	27	5	39	2	25	19	0	0
13	Kit Carson	7	5	0	9	1	5	3	0	0
	Logan	11	5	0	17	1	33	7	2	0
	Morgan	32	18	0	32	0	9	7	3	0
	Phillips	1	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	0
	Sedgwick	2	4	0	2	0	3	3	2	0
	Washington	4	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0
	Yuma	3	1	0	2	0	4	2	0	0
Total	60	35	0	67	2	59	24	7	0	
14	Grand	7	4	0	20	0	5	5	1	0
	Moffat	1	7	0	14	7	14	8	0	0
	Routt	17	10	0	19	3	9	9	1	0
	Total	25	21	0	53	10	28	22	2	0
15	Baca	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	1	0	0	5	0	0
	Prowers	14	5	0	15	0	6	12	1	0
	Total	15	8	0	19	1	6	18	1	0
16	Bent	2	4	0	7	3	4	7	0	0
	Crowley	3	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
	Otero	14	12	0	16	0	20	6	2	0
	Total	19	19	0	23	4	26	13	2	0
17	Adams	384	80	3	194	0	250	113	1	9
	Broomfield	17	0	0	32	0	20	10	0	0
	Total	401	80	3	226	0	270	123	1	9
18	Arapahoe	273	59	4	191	3	212	86	0	24
	Douglas	75	114	2	113	2	71	51	3	63
	Elbert	1	5	1	10	1	7	2	3	0
	Lincoln	6	0	0	9	4	3	2	2	0
	Total	355	178	7	323	10	293	141	8	87
19	Weld	78	56	3	182	1	110	86	7	0
20	Boulder	111	27	2	120	4	41	84	4	0
	Boulder-Longmont	31	18	1	71	4	33	30	2	0
	Total	142	45	3	191	8	74	114	6	0
21	Mesa	78	162	5	143	11	77	73	2	0
22	Dolores	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montezuma	22	15	3	64	12	33	10	2	0
	Total	22	15	5	64	12	33	11	2	0
State Total		2,397	1,845	111	3,001	211	1,884	1,493	254	200
Percent of State Total		4%	3%	0.18%	5%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0.32%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Domestic		Fare				Habitual		
		Violence	Drugs	Escape	Evasion	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Traffic	Harassment
12	Alamosa	104	20	0	0	0	6	0	27	14
	Conejos	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	21
	Costilla	22	5	1	0	0	0	0	6	6
	Mineral	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	56	18	0	0	0	10	0	10	10
	Saguache	26	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	2
	Total	241	60	3	0	0	16	0	56	53
13	Kit Carson	40	7	0	0	0	3	0	11	6
	Logan	67	14	0	0	0	1	0	13	10
	Morgan	168	19	0	0	2	1	0	18	22
	Phillips	10	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Sedgwick	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	Washington	15	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
	Yuma	21	2	0	0	0	1	0	8	8
Total	330	54	0	0	2	7	0	54	54	
14	Grand	55	7	0	0	0	1	0	4	17
	Moffat	65	5	1	0	1	3	0	2	8
	Routt	116	19	0	0	1	1	0	2	25
	Total	236	31	1	0	2	5	0	8	50
15	Baca	18	3	0	0	0	3	0	4	4
	Cheyenne	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
	Kiowa	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Prowers	71	16	0	0	0	3	0	12	47
	Total	95	20	0	0	0	6	0	19	55
16	Bent	19	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	15
	Crowley	31	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
	Otero	111	27	0	0	0	0	0	20	13
	Total	161	36	0	0	0	0	0	28	34
17	Adams	1,139	479	3	0	3	5	0	587	76
	Broomfield	182	22	0	0	0	1	0	41	15
	Total	1,321	501	3	0	3	6	0	628	91
18	Arapahoe	625	153	24	0	4	5	0	318	87
	Douglas	493	219	0	0	3	3	0	108	67
	Elbert	51	13	0	0	0	0	0	13	7
	Lincoln	23	8	0	0	0	1	0	8	2
	Total	1,192	393	24	0	7	9	0	447	163
19	Weld	865	241	49	0	2	2	1	466	91
20	Boulder	450	84	20	0	3	6	0	31	89
	Boulder-Longmont	245	39	11	0	0	2	0	30	25
	Total	695	123	31	0	3	8	0	61	114
21	Mesa	552	299	0	0	1	1	0	98	102
22	Dolores	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	104	29	0	0	0	7	0	21	13
	Total	107	32	0	0	0	7	0	21	14
State Total		13,297	3,732	209	1	41	380	209	3,082	1,914
Percent of State Total		21%	6%	0.33%	0.00%	0.07%	1%	0.33%	5%	3%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)					Protection Order	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing		Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Violation		
12	Alamosa	1	0	27	0	0	68	0	2
	Conejos	2	0	8	0	0	19	0	2
	Costilla	3	0	17	0	0	11	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	2	1	0	44	0	27
	Saguache	1	0	4	0	0	22	1	6
	Total	7	0	58	1	0	164	1	42
13	Kit Carson	0	0	21	0	1	23	1	0
	Logan	1	0	32	0	0	72	0	3
	Morgan	3	0	48	0	1	129	2	7
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
	Washington	1	0	2	0	0	6	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0
Total	5	0	112	0	2	243	3	12	
14	Grand	2	0	25	0	0	39	2	9
	Moffat	0	0	21	0	0	67	4	7
	Routt	2	0	17	0	0	55	0	12
	Total	4	0	63	0	0	161	6	28
15	Baca	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	2
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3
	Kiowa	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	13	0	1	25	0	44
	Total	1	0	20	0	1	30	0	49
16	Bent	1	0	5	0	0	25	1	5
	Crowley	0	0	12	0	0	21	0	0
	Otero	3	1	13	0	0	92	0	22
	Total	4	1	30	0	0	138	1	27
17	Adams	8	0	404	0	19	841	35	194
	Broomfield	3	0	8	0	4	98	3	18
	Total	11	0	412	0	23	939	38	212
18	Arapahoe	11	0	387	0	1	759	25	55
	Douglas	7	0	537	0	3	381	2	65
	Elbert	0	0	17	0	0	31	0	0
	Lincoln	1	0	7	0	0	20	0	7
	Total	19	0	948	0	4	1,191	27	127
19	Weld	8	0	280	0	0	469	5	37
20	Boulder	19	0	140	0	1	208	8	101
	Boulder-Longmont	8	0	62	0	2	176	3	32
	Total	27	0	202	0	3	384	11	133
21	Mesa	14	0	353	0	0	467	3	26
22	Dolores	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	4
	Montezuma	0	0	27	0	0	165	3	24
	Total	1	0	28	0	0	167	3	28
State Total		231	1	4,989	17	82	7,620	196	1,582
Percent of State Total		0.37%	0.002%	8%	0.03%	0.13%	12%	0.31%	3%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age Alcohol			Total
					Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
12	Alamosa	7	34	6	14	7	1	398
	Conejos	0	10	3	0	1	3	129
	Costilla	0	6	1	1	0	1	99
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	3	8
	Rio Grande	1	12	5	4	5	4	232
	Saguache	1	7	4	0	3	2	124
	Total	9	69	19	19	16	14	990
13	Kit Carson	0	8	2	4	3	0	160
	Logan	6	16	8	3	4	26	352
	Morgan	7	23	14	15	6	42	628
	Phillips	0	2	0	19	0	1	54
	Sedgwick	0	1	3	3	1	0	44
	Washington	1	1	0	4	0	10	63
	Yuma	2	7	3	10	0	6	92
Total	16	58	30	58	14	85	1,393	
14	Grand	0	13	14	16	6	27	279
	Moffat	1	19	7	7	8	11	288
	Routt	2	38	11	137	1	29	536
	Total	3	70	32	160	15	67	1,103
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	4	47
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	0	21
	Kiowa	0	1	2	4	0	0	24
	Prowers	1	49	23	14	4	0	376
	Total	1	51	25	18	5	4	468
16	Bent	2	11	3	4	1	4	134
	Crowley	1	3	2	0	2	1	94
	Otero	3	21	11	47	5	1	460
	Total	6	35	16	51	8	6	688
17	Adams	75	202	149	70	42	4	5,369
	Broomfield	6	83	5	0	2	0	570
	Total	81	285	154	70	44	4	5,939
18	Arapahoe	57	438	73	257	41	129	4,301
	Douglas	21	336	51	160	39	55	3,044
	Elbert	1	2	10	4	8	1	188
	Lincoln	4	12	7	33	0	2	161
	Total	83	788	141	454	88	187	7,694
19	Weld	50	368	100	250	55	54	3,916
20	Boulder	45	490	81	116	21	15	2,321
	Boulder-Longmont	11	57	34	33	16	8	984
	Total	56	547	115	149	37	23	3,305
21	Mesa	43	424	354	273	37	17	3,615
22	Dolores	0	6	2	0	0	4	30
	Montezuma	4	24	6	27	13	9	637
	Total	4	30	8	27	13	13	667
State Total		689	5,947	2,053	2,706	726	1,489	62,589
Percent of State Total		1%	10%	3%	4%	1%	2%	100%

**Table 33:
County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of					Total
		Contract	Covenant	Landlord Tenant	Money	Other	
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	10	0	10
	Jefferson	24	6	32	727	2	791
	<i>Total</i>	24	6	32	737	2	801
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	16	0	16
	Las Animas	0	0	0	31	0	31
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	47	0	47
4	El Paso	1	0	2	1,102	0	1,105
	Teller	0	0	2	32	0	34
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	4	1,134	0	1,139
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	20	0	20
	Eagle	7	0	12	88	0	107
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	1	13	0	14
	Lake	0	0	0	16	0	16
	Summit	0	0	0	56	0	56
	<i>Total</i>	7	0	13	193	0	213
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	16	0	16
	La Plata	0	0	9	86	0	95
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	9	102	0	111
7	Delta	0	0	0	54	0	54
	Gunnison	0	0	3	19	0	22
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Montrose	0	0	13	57	0	70
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	1	5	0	6
	Ouray	0	0	2	6	0	8
	San Miguel	0	0	1	14	0	15
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	20	158	0	178
8	Jackson	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Larimer	33	1	59	253	7	353
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	0	91	0	91
	<i>Total</i>	33	1	59	347	7	447
9	Garfield	9	0	16	47	18	90
	Garfield-Rifle	0	0	13	36	0	49
	Pitkin	0	0	5	40	0	45
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Rio Blanco-Rangley	0	0	0	9	0	9
	<i>Total</i>	9	0	34	138	18	199
10	Pueblo	5	0	6	235	1	247
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	33	0	33
	Custer	0	1	0	4	0	5
	Fremont	1	0	0	87	1	89
	Park	7	0	2	7	1	17
	<i>Total</i>	8	1	2	131	2	144

**Table 33:
County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of Contract		Landlord			Total
		Covenant	Tenant	Money	Other		
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	31	1	33
	Conejos	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Costilla	0	0	0	8	0	8
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	1	13	0	14
	Saguache	0	0	0	16	0	16
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>77</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	25	1	26
	Logan	3	0	1	39	0	43
	Morgan	0	0	1	55	1	57
	Phillips	0	0	0	15	0	15
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	3	0	4
	Washington	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Yuma	0	0	0	30	0	30
<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>173</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>181</i>	
14	Grand	0	0	2	33	0	35
	Moffat	0	0	0	24	0	24
	Routt	4	0	3	33	0	40
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>99</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Kiowa	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Prowers	0	0	0	45	0	45
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>57</i>
16	Bent	1	0	0	5	0	6
	Crowley	0	0	0	3	1	4
	Otero	0	0	0	32	0	32
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>42</i>
17	Adams	39	0	39	510	2	590
	Broomfield	0	1	0	68	2	71
	<i>Total</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>578</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>661</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Arapahoe-Littleton	58	2	112	744	89	1,005
	Douglas	51	5	47	191	18	312
	Elbert	0	0	0	28	0	28
	Lincoln	0	0	0	10	0	10
<i>Total</i>	<i>109</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>973</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>1,355</i>	
19	Weld	38	0	26	272	1	337
20	Boulder	54	3	59	253	21	390
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>253</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>390</i>
21	Mesa	0	0	1	227	1	229
22	Dolores	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Montezuma	0	0	1	32	0	33
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>335</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>474</i>	<i>5,994</i>	<i>168</i>	<i>6,990</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>5%</i>	<i>0.27%</i>	<i>7%</i>	<i>86%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>100%</i>


**Table 34:
County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
1	Gilpin	226	155	7	375	0	763
	Jefferson	4,193	1,903	45	6,310	0	12,451
	Total	4,419	2,058	52	6,685	0	13,214
3	Huerfano	156	44	3	341	0	544
	Las Animas	120	68	1	688	0	877
	Total	276	112	4	1,029	0	1,421
4	El Paso	3,953	2,376	40	8,480	0	14,849
	Teller	217	166	15	333	0	731
	Total	4,170	2,542	55	8,813	0	15,580
5	Clear Creek	293	114	12	677	0	1,096
	Eagle	287	330	13	988	0	1,618
	Eagle-Basalt	22	42	3	39	0	106
	Lake	96	62	2	188	0	348
	Summit	264	298	12	686	0	1,260
Total	962	846	42	2,578	0	4,428	
6	Archuleta	89	58	1	169	0	317
	La Plata	399	381	12	838	0	1,630
	San Juan	1	2	0	28	0	31
	Total	489	441	13	1,035	0	1,978
7	Delta	278	120	2	390	0	790
	Gunnison	39	116	11	243	0	409
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	31	0	33
	Montrose	342	153	10	458	0	963
	Montrose-Nucla	16	2	0	18	0	36
	Ouray	46	34	3	147	0	230
	San Miguel	28	47	2	75	0	152
Total	749	474	28	1,362	0	2,613	
8	Jackson	7	12	0	38	0	57
	Larimer	1,092	854	38	1,679	0	3,663
	Larimer-Loveland	1,060	576	22	1,275	0	2,933
	Total	2,159	1,442	60	2,992	0	6,653
9	Garfield	301	300	8	618	0	1,227
	Garfield-Rifle	349	147	7	542	0	1,045
	Pitkin	94	150	2	184	0	430
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	29	28	1	123	0	181
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	19	24	5	35	0	83
Total	792	649	23	1,502	0	2,966	
10	Pueblo	1,425	471	12	1,850	0	3,758
11	Chaffee	0	114	14	229	0	357
	Custer	39	54	1	83	0	177
	Fremont	252	134	5	546	0	937
	Park	154	72	2	431	0	659
	Total	445	374	22	1,289	0	2,130

**Table 34:
County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

Judicial District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
12	Alamosa	349	135	6	377	1	868
	Conejos	107	18	2	141	1	269
	Costilla	82	14	0	200	0	296
	Mineral	10	2	0	104	0	116
	Rio Grande	133	38	4	277	0	452
	Saguache	57	19	1	160	1	238
	Total	738	226	13	1,259	3	2,239
13	Kit Carson	68	27	3	212	0	310
	Logan	214	73	1	356	0	644
	Morgan	218	105	5	490	0	818
	Phillips	14	20	0	45	0	79
	Sedgwick	8	7	1	27	0	43
	Washington	36	7	1	93	0	137
	Yuma	45	14	2	108	0	169
Total	603	253	13	1,331	0	2,200	
14	Grand	104	179	5	233	0	521
	Moffat	79	80	2	204	0	365
	Routt	107	213	17	500	0	837
	Total	290	472	24	937	0	1,723
15	Baca	2	7	0	79	0	88
	Cheyenne	14	6	0	65	0	85
	Kiowa	10	3	0	80	0	93
	Prowers	121	62	2	872	0	1,057
	Total	147	78	2	1,096	0	1,323
16	Bent	26	25	1	84	0	136
	Crowley	18	26	1	44	0	89
	Otero	163	83	5	247	1	499
	Total	207	134	7	375	1	724
17	Adams	4,785	2,092	4	6,358	0	13,239
	Broomfield	474	164	4	366	0	1,008
	Total	5,259	2,256	8	6,724	0	14,247
18	Arapahoe	3,792	1,671	10	6,834	0	12,307
	Douglas	1,447	611	24	3,614	0	5,696
	Elbert	105	70	0	332	0	507
	Lincoln	65	42	1	418	0	526
	Total	5,409	2,394	35	11,198	0	19,036
19	Weld	3,474	1,225	27	4,306	0	9,032
20	Boulder	624	609	19	1,469	0	2,721
	Boulder-Longmont	426	272	9	660	0	1,367
	Total	1,050	881	28	2,129	0	4,088
21	Mesa	1,357	512	8	1,784	0	3,661
22	Dolores	9	4	0	21	0	34
	Montezuma	203	162	3	449	0	817
	Total	212	166	3	470	0	851
State Total		34,632	18,006	479	60,744	4	113,865
Percent of State Total		30%	16%	0%	53%	0.00%	100%

Section V: Water Court



Water Court Judges

Water Division One – Greeley
South Platte River Basin
James Hartmann, Jr.

Water Division Two – Pueblo
Arkansas River Basin
Larry C. Schwartz

Water Division Three – Alamosa
Rio Grande River Basin
Pattie P. Swift

Water Division Four – Montrose
Gunnison River Basin
J. Steven Patrick

Water Division Five – Glenwood Springs
Colorado River Basin
James B. Boyd

Water Division Six – Steamboat Springs
White River Basin
Michael A. O'Hara, III

Water Division Seven – Durango
San Juan River Basin
Jeffrey R. Wilson

Water Court

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: the South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan rivers. A division engineer appointed by the state engineer; a water judge appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk assigned by the district court staff each water division.


Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Water/Index.cfm>.

**Table 35:
Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018**

Division	County		FY2017	FY2018	Percent Change
One	Weld County, Greeley	Filings	263	261	-1%
		Claims	1,961	2,199	12%
Two	Pueblo County, Pueblo	Filings	139	94	-32%
		Claims	744	504	-32%
Three	Alamosa County, Alamosa	Filings	41	48	17%
		Claims	188	125	-34%
Four	Montrose County, Montrose	Filings	148	135	-9%
		Claims	444	349	-21%
Five	Garfield County, Glenwood Springs	Filings	312	223	-29%
		Claims	1,227	1,013	-17%
Six	Routt County, Steamboat Springs	Filings	77	59	-23%
		Claims	197	191	-3%
Seven	La Plata County, Durango	Filings	88	59	-33%
		Claims	176	128	-27%
State Total		Filings	1,068	879	-17.70%
		Claims	4,937	4,509	-8.67%



**Section VI:
Office of
Dispute Resolution**

The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. The ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. The courts were encouraged to expand use of the Dispute Resolution Act by HJR 97-1020.

ODR assists the court in designing, implementing, and administering dispute resolution programs, which assist court customers to match cases with an appropriate dispute resolution process. ODR provides services, including mediation, early neutral assessment, parenting coordination/decision-making, parenting plan facilitation, family group conferencing, and settlement conferences. ODR also manages the federal Child Access and Visitation Grant for the State of Colorado providing support and funding for dispute resolution programs targeting never-married parents with the goal of increasing parenting time.

In addition to providing services, ODR helps establish Colorado's dispute resolution policies; provides information to the public; collaborates with other individuals and organizations, including government agencies, state and local bar associations, community mediation centers, schools, private and public dispute resolution programs, and others to increase access to dispute resolution services; consults with state and local governments regarding the design, implementation, and administration of dispute resolution programs; and provides dispute resolution education and training.

ODR neutrals first began mediating cases in fiscal year 1985, with 54 domestic relations cases. In fiscal year 2018 (FY18), ODR saw an uptick in its cases as 8,506 cases were reported from Colorado's twenty-two judicial districts, up almost 400 cases over last fiscal year. These cases included domestic relations, civil, criminal, juvenile, dependency and neglect, child support enforcement, county court, small claims, and probate. Mediation services constituted approximately 98.5% percent of ODR's services in FY18. The remaining 1.5% of ODR's caseload included limited ADR services in select districts including early neutral assessment, parenting coordination/decision-making and parenting plan facilitation.

ODR receives a General Fund appropriation for administrative and program costs. Parties pay the ODR contract mediator or neutral directly for services. In FY18, the Chief Justice raised ODR fees for domestic relations cases from \$60 per party per hour to \$75 per party per hour (the rate charged by ODR contractors in other district court cases). This was the first and only time ODR fees have been increased since program creation. ODR continues to provide reduced-fee services for qualifying indigent parties based on its General Fund appropriation and continued receipt of the federal Child Access and Visitation Program grant funds. Those qualifying for reduced fees, pay \$15 per party per hour for mediation services. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at www.colroadoODR.org.

**Table 36:
ADR Services by Case Type and Disposition Percentage for FY2018**

Case Type	Cases completely or partially resolved	Cases not resolved ¹	Cases served left with proposal ²	Cases inappropriate for ADR	Cases ongoing	ADR referred, session not held ³	Total # of cases reported
Domestic - Initial Filings	2,410	1,015	220	28	131	269	4,073
Percentage	59.2%	24.9%	5.4%	3.0%	3.2%	6.6%	
Domestic - Modifications	1,149	963	143	14	68	84	2,421
Percentage	47.5%	39.8%	5.9%	0.6%	2.8%	3.5%	
Dependency & Neglect	228	94	40	1	26	22	411
Percentage	55.5%	22.9%	9.7%	0.2%	6.3%	5.4%	
Child Support Enforcement	83	13	11	0	6	22	135
Percentage	61.5%	9.6%	8.1%	0.0%	4.4%	16.3%	
Juvenile	93	27	5	0	2	10	140
Percentage	66.4%	19.3%	3.6%	0.0%	1.4%	7.1%	
District Civil	58	58	20	0	3	9	148
Percentage	39.2%	39.2%	13.5%	0.0%	2.0%	6.1%	
County Civil	303	131	42	4	10	57	546
Percentage	55.5%	24.0%	7.7%	0.7%	1.8%	10.4%	
Criminal	4	1	1	0	0	0	6
Percentage	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Probate	6	6	3	0	0	1	16
Percentage	37.5%	37.5%	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	
Collections	6	2	0	0	0	1	9
Percentage	66.7%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	
Small Claims	327	141	6	1	1	70	546
Percentage	59.9%	25.8%	1.1%	0.2%	0.2%	12.8%	
Restraining Orders	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
Percentage	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
HOA	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Percentage	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	29	17	0	0	2	1	54
Percentage	53.7%	31.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	1.9%	
Total Cases Reported	4,703	2,469	491	48	249	546	8,506
Percentage	55.3%	29.0%	5.8%	0.6%	2.9%	6.4%	100%

¹ No agreement was reached at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service; this information is not available.

² Parties left with proposed agreement. Information is not available as to whether this agreement was finalized.


³ ADR session was not held for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to ADR service, refusal by one party to attend, decision to use another provider, and other.

**Table 37:
ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2018**

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	Child Support Services	D&N (EPP & Non-EPP)	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Protection Orders	HOA	Other	Total Cases Served
1	381	294	0	2	11	22	29	2	0	1	0	1	0	744
2	264	139	0	168	19	16	29	0	4	0	0	0	0	639
3	11	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	17
4	821	452	0	234	24	20	152	0	0	2	0	0	4	1709
5	48	47	0	0	1	3	9	4	0	0	0	0	2	114
6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
7	28	7	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
8	233	104	3	0	6	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	354
9	53	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	87
10	311	96	0	2	2	12	1	0	8	0	0	0	3	435
11	102	32	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	141
12	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
13	47	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
14	37	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	63
15	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
16	10	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	16
17	383	365	28	4	28	5	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	820
18	758	499	101	1	36	31	283	2	0	541	5	1	7	2265
19	233	86	2	0	9	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	337
20	97	85	0	0	4	17	29	0	1	0	0	0	0	233
21	245	122	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	32	400
22	8	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
State Total	4073	2421	135	411	140	148	546	9	16	546	5	2	54	8506

**Table 38:
Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2018**

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	Child Support Services	D&N (EPP & Non EPP)	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Protection Orders	HOA	Other	Total Cases Served
1	369	294	0	2	11	22	29	2	0	1	0	1	0	731
2	236	138	0	168	17	16	29	0	4	0	0	0	0	608
3	11	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	17
4	821	452	0	234	24	20	152	0	0	2	0	0	4	1709
5	48	47	0	0	1	3	9	4	0	0	0	0	2	114
6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
7	28	7	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
8	201	98	3	0	5	6	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	315
9	53	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	87
10	311	96	0	2	2	12	1	0	8	0	0	0	4	436
11	102	32	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	141
12	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
13	47	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
14	37	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	63
15	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
16	9	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15
17	356	362	28	4	28	5	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	790
18	758	499	101	1	36	31	283	2	0	541	5	1	7	2265
19	229	86	2	0	9	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	333
20	87	85	0	0	4	17	29	0	1	0	0	0	0	223
21	245	122	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	32	400
22	8	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
State Total	3959	2411	135	200	137	148	547	9	16	546	5	2	49	8381

A large, modern, metallic spiral sculpture, possibly made of stainless steel, dominates the center of the image. It is a complex, three-dimensional helix that spirals upwards. The sculpture is set within a multi-level atrium with white walls and a high ceiling. In the background, there are balconies with glass railings and a skylight with blue-tinted glass panels. The lighting is bright and even, highlighting the reflective surfaces of the sculpture.

Section VII: Financial Information

Financial Information

In Fiscal Year 2018, the Judicial Branch (Courts and Probation) had a budget of \$549.6 million (\$348.5 million in General Fund, \$160.9 million in cash, \$35.7 million reappropriated funds and \$4.4 million federal funds) and 3,778.7 full-time equivalent staff. The change from FY 2017 reflects a \$3.77 million increase in statewide common policy adjustments and an increase of \$10.0 million in salary and benefit adjustments and annualization of FY2017 budget requests.

In Fiscal Year 2018, personnel costs of \$279.9 million comprised the largest portion of the budget. Benefits accounted for \$55.8 million, operating costs \$20.7 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs \$30.4 million, and \$15.7 million was appropriated to mandated/interpreter costs for court appointed counsel, jury, interpreter and court costs. The remaining amounts were appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, offender services and treatment, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

FY 2018 Courts and Probation Budget Appropriation

Personal Services (non-Judge)	\$ 224,389,137	40.8%
Personal Services (Judge)	\$ 55,551,824	10.1%
Benefits	\$ 55,809,461	10.2%
Operating	\$ 20,724,107	3.8%
Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs	\$ 15,717,796	2.9%
Victims Comp/Assistance	\$ 30,425,000	5.5%
Offender Treatment and Services Funding	\$ 51,702,803	9.4%
Federal Funds & Other Grants	\$ 8,500,000	1.5%
Other	\$ 86,819,001	15.8%
	\$ 549,639,129	100%

The Judicial Branch's FY 2018 appropriation (including the Courts and Probation, Office of the State Public Defender, Office of the Alternate Defense Counsel, Office of the Child's Representative, Independent Ethics Commission and District Attorney Mandated Costs) represented 2.5% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget. The Courts and Probation's FY 2018 appropriation represented 1.9% of the State's total appropriated budget.

**Chart G: FY 2018 Total Appropriation
(All Funds)**




Chart H: FY 2018 Judicial Appropriation by Agency




Chart I: FY 2018 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Category





Chart J: FY 2018 Judicial Appropriation Major Function



Collections/Revenue

In Fiscal Year 2017-18, the state courts throughout Colorado brought in \$212.7 million. Over fifty different statutory funds and purposes are supported by these revenues, including the General Fund, Highway Users Trust Fund, Judicial Stabilization Fund, Correctional Treatment Cash Fund, Offender Services Fund, and many others. Approximately \$58.1 million (27%) of the total went to crime victim related programs, including \$34.8 million in restitution and interest for victims.

Collection efforts are concentrated in Collection Investigator offices in the local courts and probation departments. Under this statewide program, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive strategies and procedures. Examples include: investigation of offenders' assets and earnings; establishing payment plans based on court orders balanced with the offender's ability to pay; working with offenders to improve budgeting and employment skills; issuing liens and attachments; locating offenders who are attempting to avoid their obligations; intercepting state income tax refunds, unclaimed property, and lottery and gambling winnings; and other escalating measures to encourage payments and compliance. In addition to the efforts of Collections Investigators, other Department personnel play key roles in the collection process, including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators, district attorneys, as well as other State and local criminal justice agencies.

Helping make victims whole through the recovery of restitution remains a major area of emphasis for the Colorado courts. Included in this annual report are tables that show, by judicial district, restitution collections over the last four years, as well as total amounts assessed in restitution and funds paid to-date toward those obligations for sentences during Fiscal Years 2014 through 2018 (5 years).

Table 39: Collections and Revenue

CATEGORY	FY18
GENERAL FUND	
Civil Action Tax and General Fund Civil Fees	\$ 321,055
Miscellaneous Criminal Costs, Forfeitures, and Related	\$ 3,281,017
Miscellaneous Fees/Revenue	\$ 296,326
Public Defender Fees	\$ 505,662
Seized Asset Forfeitures: 1% Statutory Share	\$ 16,547
Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion)	\$ 121,504
Subtotal	\$ 4,542,112
Percentage of Total	2.1%
HIGHWAY USERS TRUST FUND	
D.U.I. Fines (HUTF Portion)	\$ 1,931,079
Highway Construction Workers Safety Fund	\$ 40,019
Traffic Fines & Forfeits	\$ 8,728,530
Wildlife Crossing Zones Safety Account	\$ 521
Subtotal	\$ 10,700,148
Percentage of Total	5.0%
VICTIM RESTITUTION AND PROGRAM FUNDS	
Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 33,056,826
Interest on Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 1,809,620
Victim Address Confidentiality Surcharges (for Department of Personnel & Admin)	\$ 156,775
Victim Assistance Surcharges* (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs)	\$ 14,470,319
Victim Compensation Costs* (for Local Victims Compensation Programs)	\$ 8,667,335
Subtotal	\$ 58,160,874
Percentage of Total	27.3%
OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS	
Adolescent Substance Abuse Surcharges (for Div. of Alcohol & Drug Abuse)	\$ 41,437
Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees	\$ 3,832,638
Animal Cruelty Surcharges (for Dept. of Agriculture)	\$ 1,120
Child Abuse Investigation Surcharge (for Div. of Criminal Justice)	\$ 273,296
Collaborative Management Incentive Fund (for Dept. of Human Services; divorce fees; formerly "Family Stabilization")	\$ 2,880,362
Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 374,470
Commercial Vehicle Enterprise Tax Fund (for Dept. of Revenue - Share of Excess Vehicle Wgt Penalties)	\$ 106,544
Correctional Treatment Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 5,351,014
Court Security Fund	\$ 2,241,145
Crimes Against At-Risk Persons Surcharge (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 28,012
Disabled Parking Education and Enforcement Fund (for Dept. of Revenue)	\$ 9,880
Discovery Sharing Surcharges (for Colorado District Attorneys Council)	\$ 106,838
Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment)	\$ 109,060
Domestic Abuse Program Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 158,715
Family Friendly Courts Surcharge	\$ 246,854
Family Violence Justice Fund	\$ 154,662
Felony and Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund)	\$ 1,365,231
Fines - Parks and Outdoor Recreation Fund	\$ 18,021
Fines - Wildlife Cash Fund	\$ 95,276
Illegal Alien - Bond Forfeitures (for Dept. of Corrections and County Jails)	\$ 5,600
Interstate Compact Probation Transfer Cash Fund	\$ 197,405
Judicial Information Technology Fund	\$ 16,038,854
Judicial Performance Fund	\$ 524,105
Judicial Stabilization Fund	\$ 31,419,366
Justice Center Fund	\$ 17,030,584
Law Enforcement Assistance Fund (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation Safety, Human Services)	\$ 1,476,869
Misc. Cost Recoveries (Various Trial Court and Probation costs recovered, incl. court share of OJW)	\$ 2,953,236
Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected, DMV's share of OJW	\$ 8,863,596
Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety and Judicial Dept.)	\$ 951,768
Office of Dispute Resolution Fund	\$ 204
Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services)	\$ 1,843,384
Probation Supervision Fees (Judicial Offender Services Fund)	\$ 18,608,725
Restorative Justice Surcharge	\$ 960,141
Rural Alcohol and Substance Abuse Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 110,656
Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 588,121
Substance-Affected Driving Data (Est. FY18)	\$ 6,311
Supreme Court Committee Fund (Combined: Attorney Reg., CLE, Law Examiner Bd.)	\$ 11,972,269
Supreme Court Law Library Fund	\$ 496,098
Tax - Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 80,443
Time Payment, Late Fees, Collection Costs (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund)	\$ 6,815,014
Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 829,938
Underfunded Facilities Fund Interest	\$ 56,575
Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs only)	\$ 150,686
Subtotal	\$ 139,374,520
Percentage of Total	65.5%
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	\$ 212,777,653

* Victim Assistance and Victim Comp. totals exclude Federal grant funds and restitution received in these funds.

Chart K:

Restitution Collections

(Principal Only)

District	County / Loc	FY18	FY17	FY16	FY15
1	Gilpin (Central City)	\$83,377	\$ 54,429	\$ 117,142	\$ 82,546
	Jefferson (Golden)	\$2,826,651	\$ 2,664,753	\$ 3,034,463	\$ 2,246,805
2	Denver (District)	\$4,408,700	\$ 4,510,970	\$ 5,056,163	\$ 4,249,895
	Denver (Juvenile)	\$220,396	\$ 222,274	\$ 138,926	\$ 112,134
3	Huerfano (Walsenburg)	\$43,704	\$ 18,845	\$ 13,967	\$ 11,027
	Las Animas (Trinidad)	\$68,938	\$ 76,562	\$ 59,162	\$ 70,988
4	El Paso (Colo. Springs)	\$5,101,706	\$ 4,844,687	\$ 4,015,961	\$ 3,889,352
	Teller (Cripple Creek)	\$151,411	\$ 156,959	\$ 138,281	\$ 104,056
5	Clear Creek (Georgetown)	\$37,794	\$ 45,060	\$ 40,286	\$ 39,279
	Eagle	\$447,865	\$ 688,208	\$ 451,313	\$ 251,922
	Eagle (Basalt)	\$68,849	\$ 3,454	\$ 10,135	\$ 22,964
	Lake (Leadville)	\$339,489	\$ 51,557	\$ 64,100	\$ 74,285
	Summit (Breckenridge)	\$606	\$ 209,408	\$ 346,817	\$ 150,978
6	Archuleta (Pagosa Springs)	\$79,903	\$ 122,971	\$ 64,107	\$ 101,763
	La Plata (Durango)	\$278,993	\$ 343,617	\$ 430,268	\$ 393,976
	San Juan (Silverton)	\$2,671	\$ 8,076	\$ 4,679	\$ 2,488
7	Delta	\$83,803	\$ 130,241	\$ 74,202	\$ 92,353
	Gunnison	\$114,203	\$ 100,405	\$ 102,848	\$ 77,862
	Hinsdale (Lake City)	\$464	\$ 494	\$ 6,989	\$ 139
	Montrose	\$194,151	\$ 167,768	\$ 194,447	\$ 137,217
	Montrose (Nucla)	\$8,546	\$ 4,462	\$ 10,236	\$ 1,825
	Ouray	\$29,170	\$ 10,955	\$ 15,274	\$ 12,208
	San Miguel (Telluride)	\$4,620	\$ 24,235	\$ 43,316	\$ 28,144
8	Jackson (Walden)	\$14,702	\$ 12,961	\$ 15,241	\$ 9,114
	Larimer (Ft. Collins)	\$2,346,940	\$ 2,157,938	\$ 2,139,590	\$ 2,464,631
	Larimer (Loveland)	\$115,106	\$ 77,601	\$ 86,243	\$ 79,483
9	Garfield (Glenwood Springs)	\$327,532	\$ 282,152	\$ 171,668	\$ 164,298
	Garfield (Rifle)	\$102,499	\$ 19,400	\$ 9,133	\$ 20,996
	Pitkin (Aspen)	\$29,605	\$ 152,595	\$ 290,759	\$ 84,704
	Rio Blanco (Meeker)	\$11,626	\$ 34,140	\$ 28,324	\$ 20,735
	Rio Blanco (Rangely)	\$5,957	\$ 2,367	\$ 7,749	\$ 9,067
10	Pueblo	\$625,543	\$ 647,029	\$ 731,201	\$ 581,028
11	Chaffee (Salida)	\$141,814	\$ 168,459	\$ 113,239	\$ 127,442
	Custer (Westcliffe)	\$19,389	\$ 25,000	\$ 16,688	\$ 16,440
	Fremont (Canon City)	\$216,918	\$ 273,499	\$ 252,783	\$ 269,337
	Park (Fairplay)	\$70,562	\$ 89,567	\$ 118,728	\$ 86,216
12	Alamosa	\$157,623	\$ 104,078	\$ 144,907	\$ 106,430
	Conejos	\$45,464	\$ 47,640	\$ 34,481	\$ 22,042
	Costilla (San Luis)	\$14,100	\$ 15,670	\$ 20,396	\$ 9,812
	Mineral (Creede)	\$2,885	\$ 27,924	\$ 43,524	\$ 1,032
	Rio Grande (Del Norte)	\$71,475	\$ 137,319	\$ 80,285	\$ 70,286
	Saguache	\$81,699	\$ 42,171	\$ 20,955	\$ 36,818
13	Kit Carson (Burlington)	\$22,432	\$ 21,421	\$ 52,609	\$ 34,730
	Logan (Sterling)	\$151,325	\$ 140,724	\$ 116,283	\$ 87,577
	Morgan (Ft. Morgan)	\$177,436	\$ 207,413	\$ 238,065	\$ 205,133
	Phillips (Holyoke)	\$15,664	\$ 11,720	\$ 4,912	\$ 14,935
	Sedgwick (Julesburg)	\$6,136	\$ 5,412	\$ 9,014	\$ 3,972
	Washington (Akron)	\$27,211	\$ 18,078	\$ 19,689	\$ 17,493
	Yuma (Wray)	\$21,985	\$ 24,099	\$ 38,919	\$ 28,454
14	Grand (Hot Sulphur Springs)	\$179,112	\$ 94,669	\$ 167,508	\$ 81,873
	Moffat (Craig)	\$84,618	\$ 98,306	\$ 81,534	\$ 171,917
	Routt (Steamboat)	\$114,948	\$ 80,865	\$ 125,206	\$ 118,464
15	Baca (Springfield)	\$35,567	\$ 49,603	\$ 16,325	\$ 169,507
	Cheyenne (Cheyenne Wells)	\$1,463	\$ 8,049	\$ 13,446	\$ 11,166
	Kiowa (Eads)	\$3,954	\$ 2,724	\$ 1,706	\$ 4,694
	Prowers (Lamar)	\$95,532	\$ 114,753	\$ 83,119	\$ 48,220
16	Bent (Las Animas)	\$18,362	\$ 45,142	\$ 14,134	\$ 9,860
	Crowley (Ordway)	\$16,582	\$ 5,947	\$ 13,465	\$ 7,487
	Otero (Lá Junta)	\$92,925	\$ 94,810	\$ 96,623	\$ 100,549
17	Adams (Brighton)	\$3,144,244	\$ 2,990,358	\$ 2,639,979	\$ 2,428,027
	Broomfield County	\$206,072	\$ 212,459	\$ 244,680	\$ 271,874
18	Arapahoe	\$3,408,138	\$ 3,588,379	\$ 3,293,795	\$ 2,884,982
	Douglas (Castle Rock)	\$1,466,125	\$ 1,564,357	\$ 1,495,292	\$ 1,265,528
	Elbert (Kiowa)	\$105,235	\$ 89,251	\$ 107,412	\$ 85,527
	Lincoln (Hugo)	\$39,229	\$ 25,173	\$ 27,730	\$ 12,928
19	Weld (Greeley)	\$1,763,247	\$ 1,641,320	\$ 1,341,868	\$ 1,278,815
20	Boulder	\$1,362,125	\$ 1,252,799	\$ 1,542,444	\$ 1,728,528
	Boulder (Longmont)	\$95,557	\$ 94,112	\$ 118,709	\$ 96,253
21	Mesa (Grand Junction)	\$1,184,097	\$ 1,114,233	\$ 1,063,051	\$ 923,554
22	Dolores (Dove Creek)	\$11,051	\$ 8,287	\$ 7,749	\$ 3,386
	Montezuma (Cortez)	\$209,006	\$ 161,074	\$ 134,387	\$ 97,482
Total		\$33,056,826	\$ 32,543,435	\$ 31,868,631	\$ 28,527,032

Note: Excludes Interest

Restitution Assessed and Collected

District	Fiscal Year >> Assessed Amount Category	2014						2015					
		Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18
1	a. \$1,000 or less	532	376	70.7%	\$ 204,810	\$ 148,267	72.4%	478	333	69.7%	\$ 212,996	\$ 151,524	71.1%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	306	171	55.9%	\$ 731,100	\$ 443,495	60.7%	277	138	49.8%	\$ 662,407	\$ 336,607	50.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	112	33	29.5%	\$ 1,184,040	\$ 449,551	38.0%	133	29	21.8%	\$ 1,456,024	\$ 417,394	28.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	20	5	25.0%	\$ 990,705	\$ 231,087	23.3%	23	3	13.0%	\$ 1,160,279	\$ 190,423	16.4%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	12	1	8.3%	\$ 3,966,635	\$ 282,218	7.1%	6	-	0.0%	\$ 2,227,144	\$ 32,884	1.5%
	f. Over \$1,000,000												
1 Total		982	586	59.7%	\$ 7,077,290	\$ 1,554,618	22.0%	917	503	54.9%	\$ 5,718,850	\$ 1,128,833	19.7%
2A	a. \$1,000 or less	263	107	40.7%	\$ 108,871	\$ 52,233	48.0%	258	105	40.7%	\$ 124,781	\$ 51,861	41.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	229	73	31.9%	\$ 563,160	\$ 221,649	39.4%	259	84	32.4%	\$ 703,968	\$ 250,928	35.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	140	45	32.1%	\$ 1,534,249	\$ 670,020	43.7%	134	32	23.9%	\$ 1,621,169	\$ 568,505	35.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	36	7	19.4%	\$ 1,789,792	\$ 676,348	37.8%	40	4	10.0%	\$ 1,721,692	\$ 309,689	18.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	21	1	4.8%	\$ 5,855,964	\$ 837,905	14.3%	12	1	8.3%	\$ 4,244,909	\$ 516,206	12.2%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 14,932,983	\$ 50,413	0.3%						
2A Total		691	233	33.7%	\$ 24,785,019	\$ 2,508,568	10.1%	703	226	32.1%	\$ 8,416,519	\$ 1,697,188	20.2%
2J	a. \$1,000 or less	47	20	42.6%	\$ 20,260	\$ 10,711	52.9%	40	15	37.5%	\$ 26,415	\$ 9,696	36.7%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	23	5	21.7%	\$ 51,811	\$ 17,312	33.4%	31	6	19.4%	\$ 73,342	\$ 14,048	19.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	12	-	0.0%	\$ 112,818	\$ 10,711	9.5%	5	-	0.0%	\$ 53,046	\$ 2,678	5.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000												
2J Total		82	25	30.5%	\$ 184,889	\$ 38,734	20.9%	76	21	27.6%	\$ 152,804	\$ 26,422	17.3%
3	a. \$1,000 or less	45	22	48.9%	\$ 16,375	\$ 7,609	46.5%	70	36	51.4%	\$ 19,080	\$ 9,672	50.7%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	14	7	50.0%	\$ 38,216	\$ 24,477	64.0%	10	2	20.0%	\$ 22,389	\$ 6,977	31.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	1	33.3%	\$ 32,519	\$ 7,877	24.2%	3	1	33.3%	\$ 33,539	\$ 20,014	59.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 50,000	\$ 5,199	10.4%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 28,296	\$ 3,286	11.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1	-	0.0%	\$ 166,000	\$ 1,825	1.1%
3 Total		63	30	47.6%	\$ 137,109	\$ 45,161	32.9%	85	39	45.9%	\$ 269,303	\$ 41,774	15.5%
4	a. \$1,000 or less	948	585	61.7%	\$ 344,716	\$ 211,872	61.5%	1,161	679	58.5%	\$ 445,276	\$ 266,559	59.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	547	210	38.4%	\$ 1,301,680	\$ 604,866	46.5%	545	207	38.0%	\$ 1,405,001	\$ 582,838	41.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	249	52	20.9%	\$ 2,782,162	\$ 753,618	27.1%	230	36	15.7%	\$ 2,601,109	\$ 488,068	18.8%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	58	1	1.7%	\$ 2,781,379	\$ 235,844	8.5%	44	4	9.1%	\$ 2,008,917	\$ 206,247	10.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	15	-	0.0%	\$ 3,042,515	\$ 345,385	11.4%	16	-	0.0%	\$ 4,395,888	\$ 197,078	4.5%
	f. Over \$1,000,000												
4 Total		1,817	848	46.7%	\$ 10,252,452	\$ 2,151,586	21.0%	1,996	926	46.4%	\$ 10,856,190	\$ 1,740,790	16.0%
5	a. \$1,000 or less	92	65	70.7%	\$ 38,887	\$ 29,927	77.0%	91	69	75.8%	\$ 38,373	\$ 31,864	83.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	52	36	69.2%	\$ 111,071	\$ 86,936	78.3%	60	37	61.7%	\$ 142,482	\$ 79,391	55.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	23	11	47.8%	\$ 231,480	\$ 122,579	53.0%	19	9	47.4%	\$ 193,658	\$ 109,414	56.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	9	-	0.0%	\$ 391,203	\$ 81,426	20.8%	1	1	100.0%	\$ 35,552	\$ 35,552	100.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 248,155	\$ 58,347	23.5%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 528,314	\$ 5,560	1.1%
5 Total		178	112	62.9%	\$ 1,020,797	\$ 379,214	37.1%	173	116	67.1%	\$ 938,379	\$ 261,781	27.9%

Chart L: Restitution Assessed and Collected

District	Fiscal Year >> Assessed Amount Category	2014						2015					
		Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18
6	a. \$1,000 or less	70	57	81.4%	\$ 29,184	\$ 24,058	82.4%	98	80	81.6%	\$ 45,593	\$ 38,388	84.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	34	17	50.0%	\$ 81,220	\$ 41,543	51.1%	49	35	71.4%	\$ 112,792	\$ 93,983	83.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	15	4	26.7%	\$ 116,113	\$ 53,664	46.2%	15	6	40.0%	\$ 179,109	\$ 61,214	34.2%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	2	50.0%	\$ 139,770	\$ 72,168	51.6%	4	-	0.0%	\$ 152,296	\$ 64,703	42.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1	-	0.0%	\$ 173,403	\$ 1,410	0.8%
6 Total		123	80	65.0%	\$ 366,286	\$ 191,434	52.3%	167	121	72.5%	\$ 663,193	\$ 259,697	39.2%
7	a. \$1,000 or less	112	78	69.6%	\$ 40,461	\$ 27,653	68.3%	109	63	57.8%	\$ 45,703	\$ 28,502	62.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	57	27	47.4%	\$ 121,917	\$ 56,079	46.0%	46	20	43.5%	\$ 125,582	\$ 62,961	50.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	13	4	30.8%	\$ 158,657	\$ 55,878	35.2%	19	8	42.1%	\$ 167,325	\$ 88,584	52.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 47,128	\$ -	0.0%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 69,460	\$ 20,571	29.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 663,459	\$ 12,004	1.8%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 243,910	\$ 23,541	9.7%
7 Total		184	109	59.2%	\$ 1,031,622	\$ 151,614	14.7%	178	91	51.1%	\$ 651,980	\$ 224,159	34.4%
8	a. \$1,000 or less	380	284	74.7%	\$ 128,715	\$ 98,879	76.8%	407	264	64.9%	\$ 147,547	\$ 99,173	67.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	187	117	62.6%	\$ 454,098	\$ 300,541	66.2%	176	80	45.5%	\$ 461,819	\$ 257,207	55.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	63	25	39.7%	\$ 600,091	\$ 290,139	48.3%	74	27	36.5%	\$ 811,046	\$ 362,558	44.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	12	3	25.0%	\$ 495,987	\$ 194,615	39.2%	12	2	16.7%	\$ 657,499	\$ 150,194	22.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	4	-	0.0%	\$ 731,914	\$ 33,526	4.6%	2	1	50.0%	\$ 801,739	\$ 652,911	81.4%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 9,193,684	\$ 4,578	0.0%						
8 Total		648	429	66.2%	\$ 11,604,489	\$ 922,278	7.9%	671	374	55.7%	\$ 2,879,650	\$ 1,522,042	52.9%
9	a. \$1,000 or less	91	75	82.4%	\$ 29,673	\$ 24,969	84.1%	77	58	75.3%	\$ 26,766	\$ 21,253	79.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	54	31	57.4%	\$ 127,597	\$ 78,399	61.4%	42	19	45.2%	\$ 95,406	\$ 40,165	42.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	19	4	21.1%	\$ 220,055	\$ 51,065	23.2%	18	8	44.4%	\$ 238,772	\$ 124,461	52.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 158,553	\$ 6,695	4.2%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 186,143	\$ 17,891	9.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	3	-	0.0%	\$ 439,120	\$ 3,274	0.7%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 696,950	\$ 58,750	8.4%
9 Total		169	110	65.1%	\$ 974,997	\$ 164,401	16.9%	142	85	59.9%	\$ 1,244,037	\$ 262,520	21.1%
10	a. \$1,000 or less	220	103	46.8%	\$ 80,774	\$ 40,127	49.7%	306	133	43.5%	\$ 110,543	\$ 55,021	49.8%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	125	42	33.6%	\$ 304,817	\$ 120,681	39.6%	126	37	29.4%	\$ 305,250	\$ 117,802	38.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	45	12	26.7%	\$ 466,548	\$ 171,423	36.7%	31	4	12.9%	\$ 359,818	\$ 74,313	20.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	5	-	0.0%	\$ 309,529	\$ 11,074	3.6%	6	-	0.0%	\$ 309,368	\$ 17,469	5.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 265,119	\$ -	0.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 107,809	\$ -	0.0%
10 Total		396	157	39.6%	\$ 1,426,787	\$ 343,305	24.1%	470	174	37.0%	\$ 1,192,788	\$ 264,605	22.2%
11	a. \$1,000 or less	186	149	80.1%	\$ 58,221	\$ 47,960	82.4%	174	123	70.7%	\$ 50,979	\$ 36,014	70.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	63	36	57.1%	\$ 146,696	\$ 93,083	63.5%	55	36	65.5%	\$ 136,939	\$ 100,753	73.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	14	5	35.7%	\$ 152,214	\$ 79,305	52.1%	18	5	27.8%	\$ 213,201	\$ 86,344	40.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	5	2	40.0%	\$ 247,869	\$ 85,862	34.6%	5	-	0.0%	\$ 229,051	\$ 1,813	0.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1	-	0.0%	\$ 530,116	\$ 73,441	13.9%
11 Total		268	192	71.6%	\$ 605,000	\$ 306,211	50.6%	253	164	64.8%	\$ 1,160,286	\$ 298,364	25.7%

Chart L: Restitution Assessed and Collected

District	Fiscal Year >> Assessed Amount Category	2014						2015					
		Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18
12	a. \$1,000 or less	95	69	72.6%	\$ 29,745	\$ 20,710	69.6%	104	67	64.4%	\$ 35,348	\$ 22,588	63.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	42	19	45.2%	\$ 93,875	\$ 49,663	52.9%	56	25	44.6%	\$ 128,472	\$ 64,580	50.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	16	4	25.0%	\$ 167,691	\$ 58,019	34.6%	17	7	41.2%	\$ 172,353	\$ 113,336	65.8%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 102,669	\$ 4,172	4.1%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 25,045	\$ -	0.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 112,826	\$ -	0.0%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 405,276	\$ 75,322	18.6%
12 Total		156	92	59.0%	\$ 506,807	\$ 132,564	26.2%	180	99	55.0%	\$ 766,495	\$ 275,826	36.0%
13	a. \$1,000 or less	95	73	76.8%	\$ 28,409	\$ 21,392	75.3%	85	59	69.4%	\$ 28,352	\$ 16,769	59.1%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	43	30	69.8%	\$ 107,696	\$ 76,751	71.3%	41	21	51.2%	\$ 104,892	\$ 55,442	52.9%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	24	9	37.5%	\$ 257,834	\$ 130,556	50.6%	23	8	34.8%	\$ 236,381	\$ 96,006	40.6%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	1	25.0%	\$ 151,015	\$ 38,903	25.8%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 61,400	\$ 984	1.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 106,835	\$ 20,206	18.9%						
13 Total		167	113	67.7%	\$ 651,788	\$ 287,807	44.2%	151	88	58.3%	\$ 431,025	\$ 169,201	39.3%
14	a. \$1,000 or less	102	77	75.5%	\$ 36,009	\$ 30,019	83.4%	79	60	75.9%	\$ 26,780	\$ 21,440	80.1%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	32	20	62.5%	\$ 76,180	\$ 49,847	65.4%	22	15	68.2%	\$ 55,474	\$ 40,348	72.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	8	3	37.5%	\$ 72,645	\$ 38,505	53.0%	8	3	37.5%	\$ 72,433	\$ 44,153	61.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 153,918	\$ 29,695	19.3%	4	1	25.0%	\$ 192,766	\$ 50,983	26.4%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 207,476	\$ 41,561	20.0%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 468,601	\$ 75,279	16.1%
14 Total		145	100	69.0%	\$ 546,227	\$ 189,628	34.7%	115	79	68.7%	\$ 816,055	\$ 232,204	28.5%
15	a. \$1,000 or less	65	41	63.1%	\$ 13,703	\$ 9,192	67.1%	66	41	62.1%	\$ 18,262	\$ 12,816	70.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	19	13	68.4%	\$ 45,247	\$ 31,274	69.1%	13	4	30.8%	\$ 35,448	\$ 19,871	56.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	2	1	50.0%	\$ 21,961	\$ 16,492	75.1%	6	3	50.0%	\$ 48,296	\$ 27,786	57.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000							2	-	0.0%	\$ 100,372	\$ 31,858	31.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000												
15 Total		86	55	64.0%	\$ 80,911	\$ 56,958	70.4%	87	48	55.2%	\$ 202,378	\$ 92,331	45.6%
16	a. \$1,000 or less	32	17	53.1%	\$ 8,867	\$ 5,812	65.5%	50	23	46.0%	\$ 13,613	\$ 5,800	42.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	16	3	18.8%	\$ 38,871	\$ 11,026	28.4%	18	3	16.7%	\$ 43,776	\$ 10,441	23.9%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	2	1	50.0%	\$ 23,315	\$ 7,576	32.5%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 36,096	\$ 837	2.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000							3	-	0.0%	\$ 117,764	\$ 17,042	14.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 197,418	\$ 9,550	4.8%						
16 Total		51	21	41.2%	\$ 268,471	\$ 33,963	12.7%	74	26	35.1%	\$ 211,249	\$ 34,121	16.2%
17	a. \$1,000 or less	566	360	63.6%	\$ 248,288	\$ 166,465	67.0%	520	330	63.5%	\$ 229,627	\$ 156,112	68.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	317	168	53.0%	\$ 719,102	\$ 440,629	61.3%	311	143	46.0%	\$ 733,788	\$ 368,769	50.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	105	31	29.5%	\$ 1,068,868	\$ 442,446	41.4%	117	31	26.5%	\$ 1,344,343	\$ 469,417	34.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	18	5	27.8%	\$ 875,369	\$ 310,807	35.5%	32	7	21.9%	\$ 1,338,334	\$ 405,369	30.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	5	-	0.0%	\$ 1,278,882	\$ 178,068	13.9%	5	-	0.0%	\$ 1,048,891	\$ 84,393	8.0%
	f. Over \$1,000,000							1	-	0.0%	\$ 1,247,311	\$ 72,150	5.8%
17 Total		1,011	564	55.8%	\$ 4,190,508	\$ 1,538,416	36.7%	986	511	51.8%	\$ 5,942,294	\$ 1,556,210	26.2%

Restitution Assessed and Collected

District	Fiscal Year >> Assessed Amount Category	2014						2015					
		Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18
18	a. \$1,000 or less	704	493	70.0%	\$ 258,766	\$ 184,185	71.2%	684	452	66.1%	\$ 277,454	\$ 179,151	64.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	369	184	49.9%	\$ 871,300	\$ 496,954	57.0%	428	193	45.1%	\$ 1,038,911	\$ 514,230	49.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	182	62	34.1%	\$ 2,005,741	\$ 797,702	39.8%	160	51	31.9%	\$ 1,883,819	\$ 743,502	39.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	37	10	27.0%	\$ 1,689,163	\$ 774,905	45.9%	41	11	26.8%	\$ 1,921,781	\$ 579,736	30.2%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	10	2	20.0%	\$ 3,121,998	\$ 934,433	29.9%	12	-	0.0%	\$ 4,621,789	\$ 221,764	4.8%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 4,586,553	\$ 69,832	1.5%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 5,659,088	\$ 6,151	0.1%
	18 Total		1,304	751	57.6%	\$ 12,533,521	\$ 3,258,010	26.0%	1,327	707	53.3%	\$ 15,402,842	\$ 2,244,534
19	a. \$1,000 or less	265	175	66.0%	\$ 102,531	\$ 70,157	68.4%	289	165	57.1%	\$ 118,517	\$ 71,675	60.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	141	66	46.8%	\$ 340,118	\$ 177,815	52.3%	145	71	49.0%	\$ 344,369	\$ 197,879	57.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	63	17	27.0%	\$ 710,609	\$ 329,544	46.4%	70	17	24.3%	\$ 788,571	\$ 303,544	38.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	10	1	10.0%	\$ 557,540	\$ 101,287	18.2%	12	2	16.7%	\$ 513,302	\$ 121,550	23.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	5	1	20.0%	\$ 2,218,938	\$ 235,313	10.6%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 124,347	\$ 15,294	12.3%
19 Total		484	260	53.7%	\$ 3,929,735	\$ 914,116	23.3%	517	255	49.3%	\$ 1,889,106	\$ 709,942	37.6%
20	a. \$1,000 or less	301	198	65.8%	\$ 113,966	\$ 79,113	69.4%	290	176	60.7%	\$ 127,885	\$ 82,681	64.7%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	160	88	55.0%	\$ 377,892	\$ 233,850	61.9%	162	72	44.4%	\$ 402,394	\$ 208,111	51.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	64	22	34.4%	\$ 658,380	\$ 246,032	37.4%	58	14	24.1%	\$ 666,575	\$ 247,138	37.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	7	1	14.3%	\$ 276,271	\$ 57,967	21.0%	16	3	18.8%	\$ 968,990	\$ 205,778	21.2%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	6	1	16.7%	\$ 1,808,572	\$ 296,368	16.4%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 941,664	\$ 10,867	1.2%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 1,969,866	\$ 4,181	0.2%						
20 Total		539	310	57.5%	\$ 5,204,947	\$ 917,509	17.6%	529	265	50.1%	\$ 3,107,507	\$ 754,574	24.3%
21	a. \$1,000 or less	305	232	76.1%	\$ 99,870	\$ 79,720	79.8%	292	216	74.0%	\$ 106,104	\$ 77,373	72.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	149	85	57.0%	\$ 346,460	\$ 203,961	58.9%	117	51	43.6%	\$ 294,130	\$ 151,879	51.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	44	14	31.8%	\$ 403,884	\$ 172,746	42.8%	41	10	24.4%	\$ 424,139	\$ 158,337	37.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	9	1	11.1%	\$ 361,337	\$ 53,883	14.9%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 169,545	\$ 49,086	29.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 149,685	\$ 10,219	6.8%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 164,820	\$ 2,622	1.6%
21 Total		508	332	65.4%	\$ 1,361,235	\$ 520,529	38.2%	454	277	61.0%	\$ 1,158,738	\$ 439,296	37.9%
22	a. \$1,000 or less	41	28	68.3%	\$ 14,973	\$ 10,719	71.6%	56	30	53.6%	\$ 20,165	\$ 10,940	54.3%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	20	12	60.0%	\$ 44,658	\$ 28,131	63.0%	30	11	36.7%	\$ 58,746	\$ 29,265	49.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	5	2	40.0%	\$ 33,453	\$ 12,191	36.4%	9	3	33.3%	\$ 102,602	\$ 48,228	47.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 58,787	\$ 4,144	7.0%						
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 950,000	\$ 6,786	0.7%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 276,000	\$ 1,387	0.5%
22 Total		69	42	60.9%	\$ 1,101,872	\$ 61,971	5.6%	96	44	45.8%	\$ 457,513	\$ 89,821	19.6%
ALL	a. \$1,000 or less	5,557	3,684	66.3%	\$ 2,056,072	\$ 1,401,749	68.2%	5,784	3,577	61.8%	\$ 2,296,158	\$ 1,456,873	63.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	2,999	1,460	48.7%	\$ 7,094,782	\$ 3,888,961	54.8%	3,019	1,310	43.4%	\$ 7,487,778	\$ 3,604,474	48.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1,223	362	29.6%	\$ 13,015,325	\$ 4,967,638	38.2%	1,211	312	25.8%	\$ 13,703,425	\$ 4,655,830	34.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	243	39	16.0%	\$ 11,627,983	\$ 2,976,081	25.6%	257	38	14.8%	\$ 11,967,852	\$ 2,480,222	20.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	92	6	6.5%	\$ 25,365,511	\$ 3,305,163	13.0%	73	2	2.7%	\$ 22,167,570	\$ 2,050,533	9.3%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	7	-	0.0%	\$ 30,683,087	\$ 129,003	0.4%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 6,906,399	\$ 78,301	1.1%
Statewide Total		10,121	5,551	54.8%	\$ 89,842,759	\$ 16,668,595	18.6%	10,347	5,239	50.6%	\$ 64,529,183	\$ 14,326,233	22.2%

Restitution Assessed and Collected

	Fiscal Year >>	2016						2017						2018					
District	Assessed Amount Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18
1	a. \$1,000 or less	568	324	57.0%	\$ 246,215	\$ 144,240	58.6%	510	244	47.8%	\$ 233,855	\$ 118,294	50.6%	370	130	35.1%	\$ 182,894	\$ 65,453	35.8%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	293	101	34.5%	\$ 716,769	\$ 288,938	40.3%	265	75	28.3%	\$ 688,096	\$ 217,170	31.6%	223	36	16.1%	\$ 563,970	\$ 111,667	19.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	119	23	19.3%	\$ 1,277,341	\$ 306,006	24.0%	112	16	14.3%	\$ 1,267,032	\$ 294,905	23.3%	101	11	10.9%	\$ 1,126,635	\$ 206,806	18.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	21	3	14.3%	\$ 1,020,924	\$ 237,575	23.3%	14	-	0.0%	\$ 690,468	\$ 55,210	8.0%	22	-	0.0%	\$ 1,101,902	\$ 83,412	7.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	5	-	0.0%	\$ 1,391,994	\$ 316,750	22.8%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 814,715	\$ 1,208	0.1%	9	-	0.0%	\$ 3,064,836	\$ 80,925	2.6%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 7,025,972	\$ 1,376	0.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 1,563,755	\$ 1,823	0.1%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 1,296,295	\$ 702	0.1%
1 Total		1,008	451	44.7%	\$ 11,679,215	\$ 1,294,885	11.1%	904	335	37.1%	\$ 5,257,921	\$ 688,610	13.1%	726	177	24.4%	\$ 7,336,532	\$ 548,965	7.5%
2A	a. \$1,000 or less	243	102	42.0%	\$ 137,188	\$ 54,875	40.0%	252	60	23.8%	\$ 125,794	\$ 29,915	23.8%	256	28	10.9%	\$ 133,609	\$ 16,329	12.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	236	59	25.0%	\$ 645,150	\$ 186,614	28.9%	247	48	19.4%	\$ 644,626	\$ 146,104	22.7%	288	24	8.3%	\$ 733,805	\$ 93,309	12.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	149	25	16.8%	\$ 1,726,111	\$ 487,762	28.3%	124	19	15.3%	\$ 1,464,167	\$ 308,097	21.0%	149	13	8.7%	\$ 1,743,938	\$ 269,476	15.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	24	3	12.5%	\$ 1,148,778	\$ 150,912	13.1%	30	3	10.0%	\$ 1,616,312	\$ 211,023	13.1%	22	4	18.2%	\$ 1,018,824	\$ 158,036	15.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	20	2	10.0%	\$ 6,137,313	\$ 483,927	7.9%	15	-	0.0%	\$ 5,883,527	\$ 270,377	4.6%	10	-	0.0%	\$ 3,092,839	\$ 345,986	11.2%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 5,390,070	\$ -	0.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 1,665,483	\$ 55,376	3.3%						
2A Total		673	191	28.4%	\$ 15,184,611	\$ 1,364,089	9.0%	669	130	19.4%	\$ 11,399,908	\$ 1,020,892	9.0%	725	69	9.5%	\$ 6,723,015	\$ 883,136	13.1%
2J	a. \$1,000 or less	46	13	28.3%	\$ 28,809	\$ 6,449	22.4%	39	7	17.9%	\$ 18,530	\$ 3,762	20.3%	28	2	7.1%	\$ 15,146	\$ 2,104	13.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	48	8	16.7%	\$ 103,500	\$ 22,898	22.1%	27	4	14.8%	\$ 64,767	\$ 8,895	13.7%	26	1	3.8%	\$ 58,599	\$ 4,935	8.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	8	-	0.0%	\$ 76,286	\$ 8,725	11.4%	7	1	14.3%	\$ 62,494	\$ 7,972	12.8%	6	-	0.0%	\$ 54,480	\$ 450	0.8%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 59,786	\$ 2,400	4.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 58,454	\$ -	0.0%						
2J Total		104	21	20.2%	\$ 268,380	\$ 40,473	15.1%	74	12	16.2%	\$ 204,245	\$ 20,629	10.1%	60	3	5.0%	\$ 128,226	\$ 7,489	5.8%
3	a. \$1,000 or less	45	16	35.6%	\$ 9,468	\$ 3,111	32.9%	41	21	51.2%	\$ 8,937	\$ 5,506	61.6%	50	21	42.0%	\$ 13,522	\$ 5,729	42.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	11	4	36.4%	\$ 28,189	\$ 12,620	44.8%	8	3	37.5%	\$ 21,861	\$ 6,855	31.4%	12	-	0.0%	\$ 23,847	\$ 4,022	16.9%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	1	33.3%	\$ 36,713	\$ 17,933	48.8%	1	1	100.0%	\$ 5,120	\$ 5,120	100.0%	3	1	33.3%	\$ 19,658	\$ 6,319	32.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000							1	1	100.0%	\$ 36,889	\$ 36,889	100.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 79,566	\$ 224	0.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000																		
3 Total		59	21	35.6%	\$ 74,370	\$ 33,664	45.3%	51	26	51.0%	\$ 72,806	\$ 54,371	74.7%	66	22	33.3%	\$ 136,592	\$ 16,293	11.9%
4	a. \$1,000 or less	1,191	635	53.3%	\$ 438,714	\$ 230,147	52.5%	975	424	43.5%	\$ 404,287	\$ 174,058	43.1%	834	180	21.6%	\$ 382,268	\$ 88,486	23.1%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	566	166	29.3%	\$ 1,504,942	\$ 472,076	31.4%	515	108	21.0%	\$ 1,443,816	\$ 324,810	22.5%	454	54	11.9%	\$ 1,247,210	\$ 156,022	12.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	243	32	13.2%	\$ 2,796,173	\$ 523,705	18.7%	240	22	9.2%	\$ 2,945,480	\$ 314,785	10.7%	228	9	3.9%	\$ 2,785,972	\$ 159,393	5.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	42	5	11.9%	\$ 1,879,796	\$ 411,595	21.9%	55	2	3.6%	\$ 2,753,891	\$ 218,307	7.9%	49	2	4.1%	\$ 2,579,580	\$ 95,335	3.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	9	1	11.1%	\$ 2,220,263	\$ 211,050	9.5%	15	-	0.0%	\$ 4,012,248	\$ 4,955	0.1%	11	-	0.0%	\$ 3,156,386	\$ 16,051	0.5%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 1,821,563	\$ 706	0.0%				\$ 1,071,098	\$ 2,335	0.2%						
4 Total		2,052	839	40.9%	\$ 10,661,451	\$ 1,849,277	17.3%	1,800	556	30.9%	\$ 12,630,818	\$ 1,039,250	8.2%	1,576	245	15.5%	\$ 10,151,415	\$ 515,286	5.1%
5	a. \$1,000 or less	102	78	76.5%	\$ 42,756	\$ 33,570	78.5%	81	55	67.9%	\$ 36,174	\$ 28,473	78.7%	95	48	50.5%	\$ 39,924	\$ 19,601	49.1%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	58	38	65.5%	\$ 140,509	\$ 97,762	69.6%	75	40	53.3%	\$ 183,653	\$ 110,202	60.0%	38	15	39.5%	\$ 88,057	\$ 36,519	41.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	23	7	30.4%	\$ 243,309	\$ 90,739	37.3%	31	14	45.2%	\$ 434,493	\$ 256,753	59.1%	25	4	16.0%	\$ 337,570	\$ 114,986	34.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	5	1	20.0%	\$ 240,205	\$ 66,608	27.7%	13	4	30.8%	\$ 668,213	\$ 293,429	43.9%	4	1	25.0%	\$ 228,108	\$ 44,221	19.4%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	1	100.0%	\$ 106,837	\$ 106,837	100.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 500,000	\$ -	0.0%	2	1	50.0%	\$ 377,883	\$ 143,956	38.1%
5 Total		189	125	66.1%	\$ 773,615	\$ 395,515	51.1%	201	113	56.2%	\$ 1,822,532	\$ 688,857	37.8%	164	69	42.1%	\$ 1,071,542	\$ 359,283	33.5%

Restitution Assessed and Collected


District	Fiscal Year >>	2016						2017						2018						
	Assessed Amount Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	
6	a. \$1,000 or less	95	65	68.4%	\$ 40,794	\$ 26,739	65.5%	71	43	60.6%	\$ 30,546	\$ 18,994	62.2%	81	34	42.0%	\$ 36,677	\$ 16,723	45.6%	
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	55	31	56.4%	\$ 130,585	\$ 77,240	59.1%	51	21	41.2%	\$ 121,203	\$ 57,239	47.2%	30	6	20.0%	\$ 69,751	\$ 18,311	26.3%	
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	21	6	28.6%	\$ 230,912	\$ 76,946	33.3%	18	3	16.7%	\$ 193,029	\$ 57,370	29.7%	11	-	0.0%	\$ 155,274	\$ 907	0.6%	
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6	-	0.0%	\$ 265,851	\$ 8,868	3.3%	4	-	0.0%	\$ 264,812	\$ 3,495	1.3%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 36,932	\$ 2,364	6.4%	
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 170,577	\$ 10,723	6.3%													
6 Total		178	102	57.3%	\$ 838,719	\$ 200,516	23.9%	144	67	46.5%	\$ 609,590	\$ 137,097	22.5%	123	40	32.5%	\$ 298,635	\$ 38,305	12.8%	
7	a. \$1,000 or less	105	68	64.8%	\$ 37,236	\$ 24,906	66.9%	106	57	53.8%	\$ 38,935	\$ 19,089	49.0%	95	38	40.0%	\$ 40,950	\$ 12,750	31.1%	
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	57	23	40.4%	\$ 152,225	\$ 75,408	49.5%	47	17	36.2%	\$ 102,697	\$ 44,391	43.2%	44	2	4.5%	\$ 105,182	\$ 6,814	6.5%	
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	15	3	20.0%	\$ 187,077	\$ 68,003	36.4%	14	4	28.6%	\$ 112,696	\$ 51,356	45.6%	23	3	13.0%	\$ 302,078	\$ 59,641	19.7%	
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	-	0.0%	\$ 123,650	\$ 10,358	8.4%	2	1	50.0%	\$ 66,762	\$ 26,491	39.7%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 137,578	\$ 354	0.3%	
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 130,342	\$ 2,734	2.1%													
7 Total		182	94	51.6%	\$ 630,531	\$ 181,411	28.8%	169	79	46.7%	\$ 321,090	\$ 141,326	44.0%	164	43	26.2%	\$ 585,788	\$ 79,558	13.6%	
8	a. \$1,000 or less	454	275	60.6%	\$ 178,993	\$ 112,317	62.7%	405	232	57.3%	\$ 155,561	\$ 96,062	61.8%	404	147	36.4%	\$ 156,663	\$ 58,827	37.6%	
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	197	80	40.6%	\$ 535,271	\$ 252,547	47.2%	185	65	35.1%	\$ 502,553	\$ 206,014	41.0%	170	25	14.7%	\$ 440,115	\$ 94,261	21.4%	
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	73	18	24.7%	\$ 812,325	\$ 267,696	33.0%	72	11	15.3%	\$ 837,378	\$ 207,793	24.8%	60	3	5.0%	\$ 710,187	\$ 53,974	7.6%	
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	7	2	28.6%	\$ 387,562	\$ 115,756	29.9%	8	2	25.0%	\$ 467,273	\$ 133,042	28.5%	14	3	21.4%	\$ 773,035	\$ 249,571	32.3%	
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000													3	-	0.0%	\$ 1,831,809	\$ 9,234	0.5%	
	f. Over \$1,000,000																			
8 Total		731	375	51.3%	\$ 1,914,151	\$ 748,316	39.1%	670	310	46.3%	\$ 1,962,765	\$ 642,910	32.8%	651	178	27.3%	\$ 3,911,809	\$ 465,867	11.9%	
9	a. \$1,000 or less	85	45	52.9%	\$ 37,316	\$ 20,339	54.5%	81	36	44.4%	\$ 38,513	\$ 16,814	43.7%	82	33	40.2%	\$ 32,649	\$ 15,901	48.7%	
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	67	22	32.8%	\$ 160,502	\$ 67,572	42.1%	68	21	30.9%	\$ 181,442	\$ 59,076	32.6%	56	11	19.6%	\$ 132,535	\$ 36,304	27.4%	
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	21	4	19.0%	\$ 270,718	\$ 69,316	25.6%	15	3	20.0%	\$ 248,795	\$ 70,604	28.4%	20	-	0.0%	\$ 217,818	\$ 15,638	7.2%	
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6	-	0.0%	\$ 217,130	\$ 12,945	6.0%	4	1	25.0%	\$ 189,739	\$ 72,954	38.4%	4	1	25.0%	\$ 176,843	\$ 109,221	61.8%	
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000				\$ 334,218	\$ 400	0.1%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 459,749	\$ 3,607	0.8%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 867,218	\$ -	0.0%	
9 Total		179	71	39.7%	\$ 1,019,884	\$ 170,571	16.7%	170	61	35.9%	\$ 1,118,238	\$ 223,055	19.9%	165	45	27.3%	\$ 1,427,063	\$ 177,064	12.4%	
10	a. \$1,000 or less	256	121	47.3%	\$ 99,914	\$ 49,622	49.7%	212	68	32.1%	\$ 85,295	\$ 30,784	36.1%	183	35	19.1%	\$ 89,902	\$ 22,235	24.7%	
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	104	30	28.8%	\$ 270,602	\$ 84,608	31.3%	104	22	21.2%	\$ 264,762	\$ 55,587	21.0%	98	7	7.1%	\$ 256,223	\$ 27,621	10.8%	
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	37	9	24.3%	\$ 379,401	\$ 123,050	32.4%	43	8	18.6%	\$ 448,127	\$ 113,128	25.2%	50	-	0.0%	\$ 530,015	\$ 11,764	2.2%	
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6	-	0.0%	\$ 295,912	\$ 32,927	11.1%	5	-	0.0%	\$ 218,671	\$ 6,369	2.9%	6	-	0.0%	\$ 279,320	\$ 3,493	1.3%	
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 100,639	\$ -	0.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 182,825	\$ -	0.0%							
10 Total		404	160	39.6%	\$ 1,146,469	\$ 290,208	25.3%	365	98	26.8%	\$ 1,199,680	\$ 205,868	17.2%	337	42	12.5%	\$ 1,155,459	\$ 65,112	5.6%	
11	a. \$1,000 or less	139	90	64.7%	\$ 45,203	\$ 30,133	66.7%	111	52	46.8%	\$ 40,985	\$ 20,737	50.6%	100	39	39.0%	\$ 34,543	\$ 12,202	35.3%	
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	57	20	35.1%	\$ 149,339	\$ 70,722	47.4%	48	22	45.8%	\$ 106,058	\$ 52,214	49.2%	59	8	13.6%	\$ 154,717	\$ 34,655	22.4%	
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	18	5	27.8%	\$ 203,708	\$ 85,476	42.0%	14	5	35.7%	\$ 146,573	\$ 56,898	38.8%	11	1	9.1%	\$ 146,694	\$ 8,063	5.5%	
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 40,648	\$ -	0.0%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 172,325	\$ 422	0.2%							
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 338,121	\$ 1,413	0.4%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 195,671	\$ -	0.0%							
11 Total		216	115	53.2%	\$ 777,019	\$ 187,744	24.2%	177	79	44.6%	\$ 661,612	\$ 130,272	19.7%	170	48	28.2%	\$ 335,955	\$ 54,920	16.3%	

Restitution Assessed and Collected

District	Fiscal Year >> Assessed Amount Category	2016						2017						2018					
		Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18
12	a. \$1,000 or less	88	42	47.7%	\$ 31,264	\$ 16,935	54.2%	58	25	43.1%	\$ 18,237	\$ 9,648	52.9%	75	17	22.7%	\$ 29,313	\$ 7,985	27.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	38	15	39.5%	\$ 88,708	\$ 33,883	38.2%	41	12	29.3%	\$ 93,123	\$ 31,640	34.0%	38	3	7.9%	\$ 83,546	\$ 12,137	14.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	11	4	36.4%	\$ 119,090	\$ 46,547	39.1%	11	-	0.0%	\$ 144,384	\$ 15,195	10.5%	15	1	6.7%	\$ 173,446	\$ 19,326	11.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	3	-	0.0%	\$ 193,710	\$ 63,393	32.7%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 28,470	\$ -	0.0%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 194,633	\$ 30,478	15.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000										\$ 101,000	\$ 72,844	72.1%						
12 Total		140	61	43.6%	\$ 432,772	\$ 160,758	37.1%	111	37	33.3%	\$ 385,214	\$ 129,328	33.6%	130	21	16.2%	\$ 480,937	\$ 69,925	14.5%
13	a. \$1,000 or less	92	59	64.1%	\$ 34,066	\$ 20,594	60.5%	86	50	58.1%	\$ 31,058	\$ 16,960	54.6%	52	10	19.2%	\$ 22,208	\$ 2,517	11.3%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	41	19	46.3%	\$ 103,828	\$ 50,286	48.4%	39	9	23.1%	\$ 98,065	\$ 28,156	28.7%	37	6	16.2%	\$ 96,077	\$ 19,831	20.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	13	6	46.2%	\$ 142,921	\$ 55,481	38.8%	20	2	10.0%	\$ 227,069	\$ 26,223	11.5%	16	2	12.5%	\$ 213,100	\$ 37,606	17.6%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	3	-	0.0%	\$ 100,142	\$ 13,778	13.8%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 29,748	\$ 3,667	12.3%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 171,298	\$ 600	0.4%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 146,615	\$ 12,069	8.2%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 509,457	\$ 3,814	0.7%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 547,216	\$ -	0.0%
13 Total		150	84	56.0%	\$ 527,572	\$ 152,207	28.9%	149	61	40.9%	\$ 895,396	\$ 78,820	8.8%	109	18	16.5%	\$ 1,049,900	\$ 60,553	5.8%
14	a. \$1,000 or less	78	58	74.4%	\$ 26,854	\$ 19,172	71.4%	54	43	79.6%	\$ 20,936	\$ 17,078	81.6%	57	22	38.6%	\$ 20,893	\$ 8,458	40.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	26	19	73.1%	\$ 55,310	\$ 45,178	81.7%	21	4	19.0%	\$ 48,207	\$ 13,520	28.0%	27	11	40.7%	\$ 59,473	\$ 25,020	42.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	9	4	44.4%	\$ 125,862	\$ 75,548	60.0%	8	1	12.5%	\$ 63,210	\$ 17,170	27.2%	10	-	0.0%	\$ 86,701	\$ 14,755	17.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 38,065	\$ 1,716	4.5%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 42,978	\$ 317	0.7%						
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 551,114	\$ 60,046	10.9%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 120,000	\$ 1,270	1.1%						
14 Total		116	81	69.8%	\$ 797,205	\$ 201,660	25.3%	85	48	56.5%	\$ 295,331	\$ 49,355	16.7%	94	33	35.1%	\$ 167,067	\$ 48,233	28.9%
15	a. \$1,000 or less	60	39	65.0%	\$ 14,207	\$ 8,261	58.1%	62	33	53.2%	\$ 15,601	\$ 8,350	53.5%	47	10	21.3%	\$ 13,191	\$ 3,038	23.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	11	8	72.7%	\$ 26,789	\$ 19,203	71.7%	14	2	14.3%	\$ 27,271	\$ 8,228	30.2%	9	2	22.2%	\$ 17,670	\$ 3,617	20.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	1	33.3%	\$ 27,199	\$ 8,636	31.8%	6	-	0.0%	\$ 81,216	\$ 3,521	4.3%	6	-	0.0%	\$ 60,515	\$ 1,360	2.2%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000							2	2	100.0%	\$ 107,683	\$ 107,683	100.0%						
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 223,325	\$ 5,974	2.7%							1	-	0.0%	\$ 122,643	\$ 3,674	3.0%
15 Total		75	48	64.0%	\$ 291,520	\$ 42,074	14.4%	84	37	44.0%	\$ 231,771	\$ 127,781	55.1%	63	12	19.0%	\$ 214,019	\$ 11,688	5.5%
16	a. \$1,000 or less	43	15	34.9%	\$ 10,876	\$ 3,732	34.3%	56	23	41.1%	\$ 17,196	\$ 7,015	40.8%	24	9	37.5%	\$ 7,530	\$ 1,925	25.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	7	1	14.3%	\$ 16,186	\$ 3,874	23.9%	12	2	16.7%	\$ 30,172	\$ 4,411	14.6%	10	-	0.0%	\$ 28,879	\$ 32	0.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 46,791	\$ 3,271	7.0%	4	-	0.0%	\$ 38,185	\$ -	0.0%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 21,645	\$ 120	0.6%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 64,913	\$ 9,141	14.1%	4	-	0.0%	\$ 181,454	\$ 25,622	14.1%						
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 231,578	\$ 4,363	1.9%							1	-	0.0%	\$ 110,122	\$ -	0.0%
16 Total		55	16	29.1%	\$ 370,345	\$ 24,381	6.6%	76	25	32.9%	\$ 267,008	\$ 37,048	13.9%	38	9	23.7%	\$ 168,176	\$ 2,077	1.2%
17	a. \$1,000 or less	567	310	54.7%	\$ 264,646	\$ 152,294	57.5%	629	277	44.0%	\$ 309,464	\$ 145,905	47.1%	543	134	24.7%	\$ 277,939	\$ 76,348	27.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	373	126	33.8%	\$ 947,633	\$ 375,144	39.6%	379	94	24.8%	\$ 925,895	\$ 304,251	32.9%	333	31	9.3%	\$ 886,734	\$ 120,754	13.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	120	24	20.0%	\$ 1,452,530	\$ 410,332	28.2%	148	21	14.2%	\$ 1,744,522	\$ 388,921	22.3%	162	16	9.9%	\$ 1,883,961	\$ 201,028	10.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	22	3	13.6%	\$ 1,037,111	\$ 260,775	25.1%	31	3	9.7%	\$ 1,498,820	\$ 203,199	13.6%	21	3	14.3%	\$ 974,905	\$ 192,372	19.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	6	-	0.0%	\$ 985,453	\$ 61,787	6.3%	5	-	0.0%	\$ 1,096,658	\$ -	0.0%	10	1	10.0%	\$ 2,465,710	\$ 169,657	6.9%
	f. Over \$1,000,000																		
17 Total		1,088	463	42.6%	\$ 4,687,372	\$ 1,260,332	26.9%	1,192	395	33.1%	\$ 5,575,359	\$ 1,042,276	18.7%	1,069	185	17.3%	\$ 6,489,249	\$ 760,159	11.7%

Restitution Assessed and Collected

Fiscal Year >>		2016						2017						2018					
District	Assessed Amount Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 8/27/18	% Dollars Paid as of 8/27/18
18	a. \$1,000 or less	647	394	60.9%	\$ 276,447	\$ 166,621	60.3%	640	354	55.3%	\$ 287,763	\$ 162,373	56.4%	531	196	36.9%	\$ 254,434	\$ 97,187	38.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	386	149	38.6%	\$ 966,233	\$ 422,154	43.7%	361	121	33.5%	\$ 900,389	\$ 345,805	38.4%	327	56	17.1%	\$ 797,956	\$ 174,816	21.9%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	142	42	29.6%	\$ 1,474,553	\$ 516,211	35.0%	161	28	17.4%	\$ 1,826,699	\$ 440,474	24.1%	136	13	9.6%	\$ 1,470,762	\$ 206,382	14.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	30	5	16.7%	\$ 1,608,544	\$ 376,741	23.4%	29	1	3.4%	\$ 1,393,949	\$ 178,502	12.8%	28	2	7.1%	\$ 1,086,230	\$ 91,151	8.4%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	15	-	0.0%	\$ 5,397,030	\$ 32,184	0.6%	6	1	16.7%	\$ 1,233,960	\$ 127,058	10.3%	5	1	20.0%	\$ 1,069,401	\$ 231,264	21.6%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 3,177,540	\$ -	0.0%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 3,422,101	\$ 377	0.0%						
18 Total		1,221	590	48.3%	\$ 12,900,347	\$ 1,513,910	11.7%	1,199	505	42.1%	\$ 9,064,861	\$ 1,254,589	13.8%	1,027	268	26.1%	\$ 4,678,783	\$ 800,800	17.1%
19	a. \$1,000 or less	256	138	53.9%	\$ 105,907	\$ 59,381	56.1%	263	127	48.3%	\$ 113,026	\$ 54,498	48.2%	191	60	31.4%	\$ 72,762	\$ 23,462	32.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	146	57	39.0%	\$ 390,304	\$ 175,722	45.0%	155	36	23.2%	\$ 421,005	\$ 137,114	32.6%	103	12	11.7%	\$ 267,463	\$ 45,617	17.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	73	21	28.8%	\$ 881,603	\$ 304,033	34.5%	59	10	16.9%	\$ 633,909	\$ 133,171	21.0%	57	6	10.5%	\$ 655,692	\$ 110,513	16.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	9	1	11.1%	\$ 393,945	\$ 58,789	14.9%	14	-	0.0%	\$ 588,846	\$ 38,776	6.6%	12	2	16.7%	\$ 491,150	\$ 64,770	13.2%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 767,334	\$ 448	0.1%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 1,032,709	\$ 3,583	0.3%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 237,588	\$ 62	0.0%
19 Total		486	217	44.7%	\$ 2,539,093	\$ 598,374	23.6%	494	173	35.0%	\$ 2,789,496	\$ 367,142	13.2%	364	80	22.0%	\$ 1,724,655	\$ 244,424	14.2%
20	a. \$1,000 or less	319	196	61.4%	\$ 137,956	\$ 89,008	64.5%	268	148	55.2%	\$ 122,889	\$ 72,187	58.7%	280	84	30.0%	\$ 130,937	\$ 40,346	30.8%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	150	62	41.3%	\$ 368,615	\$ 167,648	45.5%	167	54	32.3%	\$ 407,394	\$ 154,998	38.0%	187	25	13.4%	\$ 502,934	\$ 85,263	17.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	64	10	15.6%	\$ 637,498	\$ 124,447	19.5%	59	12	20.3%	\$ 654,168	\$ 143,347	21.9%	71	2	2.8%	\$ 844,933	\$ 55,441	6.6%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	11	-	0.0%	\$ 590,037	\$ 50,539	8.6%	9	1	11.1%	\$ 608,528	\$ 84,336	13.9%	5	1	20.0%	\$ 314,968	\$ 88,484	28.1%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	4	-	0.0%	\$ 647,342	\$ 34,965	5.4%	4	-	0.0%	\$ 1,485,952	\$ 56,500	3.8%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 283,971	\$ -	0.0%
	f. Over \$1,000,000																		
20 Total		548	268	48.9%	\$ 2,381,448	\$ 466,608	19.6%	507	215	42.4%	\$ 3,278,931	\$ 511,368	15.6%	545	112	20.6%	\$ 2,077,743	\$ 269,534	13.0%
21	a. \$1,000 or less	268	173	64.6%	\$ 103,150	\$ 72,263	70.1%	287	152	53.0%	\$ 98,315	\$ 50,368	51.2%	309	89	28.8%	\$ 101,311	\$ 28,654	28.3%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	116	42	36.2%	\$ 252,257	\$ 103,771	41.1%	126	31	24.6%	\$ 325,965	\$ 94,243	28.9%	126	17	13.5%	\$ 311,794	\$ 65,181	20.9%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	44	7	15.9%	\$ 473,936	\$ 144,063	30.4%	38	4	10.5%	\$ 405,407	\$ 93,279	23.0%	46	3	6.5%	\$ 497,801	\$ 63,840	12.8%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	5	2	40.0%	\$ 293,789	\$ 103,539	35.2%	9	-	0.0%	\$ 537,361	\$ 27,567	5.1%	3	-	0.0%	\$ 155,549	\$ 3,274	2.1%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2	-	0.0%	\$ 700,000	\$ 1,591	0.2%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 280,035	\$ 4,674	1.7%	2	-	0.0%	\$ 347,203	\$ 6,141	1.8%
21 Total		435	224	51.5%	\$ 1,823,133	\$ 425,227	23.3%	462	187	40.5%	\$ 1,647,083	\$ 270,130	16.4%	486	109	22.4%	\$ 1,413,659	\$ 167,089	11.8%
22	a. \$1,000 or less	48	29	60.4%	\$ 18,610	\$ 11,903	64.0%	40	18	45.0%	\$ 19,085	\$ 9,287	48.7%	36	8	22.2%	\$ 17,688	\$ 4,640	26.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	27	10	37.0%	\$ 62,914	\$ 27,624	43.9%	39	19	48.7%	\$ 94,912	\$ 51,493	54.3%	34	7	20.6%	\$ 68,809	\$ 14,922	21.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	4	-	0.0%	\$ 36,924	\$ 17,684	47.9%	12	2	16.7%	\$ 116,141	\$ 35,086	30.2%	16	2	12.5%	\$ 127,341	\$ 15,235	12.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 89,773	\$ 1,540	1.7%							3	-	0.0%	\$ 84,237	\$ -	0.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	-	0.0%	\$ 277,035	\$ -	0.0%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 339,001	\$ -	0.0%						
22 Total		81	39	48.1%	\$ 485,257	\$ 58,751	12.1%	92	39	42.4%	\$ 569,140	\$ 95,866	16.8%	89	17	19.1%	\$ 298,075	\$ 34,797	11.7%
ALL	a. \$1,000 or less	5,795	3,285	56.7%	\$ 2,366,587	\$ 1,356,611	57.3%	5,327	2,549	47.9%	\$ 2,270,981	\$ 1,116,156	49.1%	4,723	1,374	29.1%	\$ 2,106,956	\$ 630,898	29.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	3,070	1,090	35.5%	\$ 7,816,361	\$ 3,133,491	40.1%	2,994	830	27.7%	\$ 7,697,930	\$ 2,462,416	32.0%	2,729	359	13.2%	\$ 6,995,346	\$ 1,191,632	17.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1,215	252	20.7%	\$ 13,658,980	\$ 3,831,611	28.1%	1,217	187	15.4%	\$ 14,100,295	\$ 3,041,167	21.6%	1,225	90	7.3%	\$ 14,166,214	\$ 1,633,021	11.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	211	25	11.8%	\$ 10,090,275	\$ 1,989,895	19.7%	241	21	8.7%	\$ 12,221,645	\$ 1,727,300	14.1%	202	19	9.4%	\$ 9,884,657	\$ 1,217,357	12.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	74	4	5.4%	\$ 20,857,131	\$ 1,347,261	6.5%	62	1	1.6%	\$ 18,247,507	\$ 549,889	3.0%	61	3	4.9%	\$ 17,574,827	\$ 1,006,950	5.7%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	5	-	0.0%	\$ 17,415,146	\$ 2,081	0.0%	4	-	0.0%	\$ 7,722,437	\$ 59,911	0.8%	1	-	0.0%	\$ 1,296,295	\$ 702	0.1%
Statewide Total		10,370	4,656	44.9%	\$ 72,204,480	\$ 11,660,950	16.1%	9,845	3,588	36.4%	\$ 62,260,794	\$ 8,956,840	14.4%	8,941	1,845	20.6%	\$ 52,024,295	\$ 5,680,559	10.9%

A large, modern, metallic spiral sculpture, possibly made of stainless steel, is the central focus of the image. It consists of numerous horizontal bands that spiral upwards, creating a complex, three-dimensional lattice structure. The sculpture is set within a multi-level atrium with white walls and a high ceiling. In the background, there are balconies with glass railings and a skylight that allows natural light to enter the space. The overall atmosphere is bright and architectural.

**Section VIII:
Colorado Probation**

Chief Probation Officers

**FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Leslie Schaefer
Jeff Carpenter, Deputy

**EIGHTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chris Gastelle
Greg Otte, Deputy

**SIXTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Tobin Wright

**SECOND
JUDICIAL DISTRICT
ADULT**

Cary Heck
Cheryl Frenette, Deputy

**NINTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Joe Gerber

**SEVENTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Jenifer Morgen
Jeanette Hensel, Deputy

**SECOND JUDICIAL
DISTRICT JUVENILE**

Shawn Cohn

**TENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

David Simental

**EIGHTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Doug Gray
Shelly Sack, Deputy

**THIRD
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Martin Malouff

**ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Michael O'Rourke

**NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Jerry Green
Cory Flummerfelt, Deputy

**FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Ellen Walker
Ryan Mills, Deputy

**TWELFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Bill Gurule

**TWENTIETH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Greg Brown
Joseph Creel, Deputy

**FIFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Wendy Slavin

**THIRTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

John Draxler

**TWENTY-FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Linda Robinson

**SIXTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Thomas Harms

**FOURTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Timothy Griffith

**TWENTY-SECOND
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Sonia Buffington

**SEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Rob Omer

**FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Byron Hall

Updated as of 8/24/18


COLORADO PROBATION

Colorado Probation is committed to Public Safety, Victim and Community Reparation through Offender Accountability, Skill and Competency Development and Services to the Communities of Colorado.

Serving under the Colorado Judicial Department, Colorado Probation comprises 23 probation departments and the Division of Probation Services (DPS). The probation departments, located throughout the state, interface directly with probationers to provide rehabilitation, skill development, and enhance public safety for all residents of Colorado. The Division of Probation Services provides support and administrative services to the local probation departments.

The report that follows presents detailed and summary information pertaining to the many programs Colorado Probation employs to effectively supervise its clients. These programs support evidence-based practices and tailored supervision strategies to best serve both individuals on supervision and our local communities. Programs used by Colorado Probation include the following: Adult Regular State Probation; Juvenile Regular Probation, Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP); Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP); Female Offender Program (FOP); Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP); Private Probation; and State Monitored DUI/DWAI (ADDS). Probation also provides victim services to those impacted by crime. The number of clients served under each of the programs is presented in Chart 5 below, which captures the current number of probationers in each program along with an historical perspective to illustrate how the probation population has shifted over time.

**Chart 5: Probation Active Population on June 30th
Proportion of Probationers by Program Type- Ten Year Comparison**



As evidenced in Chart 5, the probation population has evolved considerably over the last ten years. Not only has the number of clients increased, but the placement of clients in programs has evolved. The sections following contain additional information on each of these programs.

**Table 40:
Grand Totals - Probation
Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile Regular, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and
Investigations
Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018**

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018
State Adult Regular	15,574	15,531	40,862	42,119	29,177	31,803	56,864	59,437
Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP)¹			593	512	524	633	733	553
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP)			368	419	346	326	1,377	1,412
Female Offender Program (FOP)			269	312	271	274	352	348
Private Probation²			5,692	5,064	9,387	8,227	14,153	11,685
State Monitored/DUI/DWAI	17,864	18,094	2,080	2,147	2,131	1,887	3,560	3,559
<u>Grand Total Adult Population</u>	33,438	33,625	49,864	50,573	41,836	43,150	77,039	76,994


	Investigations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision)	
	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018
State Juvenile Regular	1,205	1,063	2,891	2,741	2,575	2,346	3,549	3,311
Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP)			165	136	162	152	171	183
<u>Grand Total Juvenile Population</u>	1,205	1,063	3,056	2,877	2,737	2,498	3,720	3,494

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2018
<u>Grand Total Adult and Juvenile Population</u>	34,643	34,688	52,920	53,450	44,573	45,648	80,759	80,488

¹**Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP):** Adult Intensive Supervision Probation contains those sentenced to intensive probation by the court (pre 10/1/2013) and those placed in intensive probation post-sentence (after 10/1/2013). On October 1, 2013, statute changed the way in which probationers were placed in intensive programs. Adult Intensive Supervision Probation is a community based supervision program designed for higher risk probationers.

²**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports have displayed these numbers separately.

**Chart 6: Probation Active Population on June 30th
FISCAL YEAR 2017 compared to FISCAL YEAR 2018**



**Table 41:
Colorado Adult Probation
State Regular and Private Combined
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ¹				UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³											
TYPE:	Successful				Revoked				Absconded				Total Terminations ⁴			
	State	Private ²	TOTAL		State	Private ²	TOTAL		State	Private ²	TOTAL		State	Private ²	TOTAL	
DISTRICT	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%
1	1,625	1,088	2,713	58%	1,200	167	1,367	29%	416	143	559	12%	3,241	1,398	4,639	100%
2	1,274	0	1,274	45%	747	0	747	26%	833	0	833	29%	2,854	0	2,854	100%
3	109	0	109	69%	25	0	25	16%	23	0	23	15%	157	0	157	100%
4	1,115	928	2,043	60%	657	107	764	23%	507	78	585	17%	2,279	1,113	3,392	100%
5	426	183	609	77%	85	1	86	11%	94	2	96	12%	605	186	791	100%
6	405	0	405	72%	88	0	88	16%	66	0	66	12%	559	0	559	100%
7	377	0	377	74%	71	0	71	14%	62	0	62	12%	510	0	510	100%
8	1,395	564	1,959	72%	316	33	349	13%	342	56	398	15%	2,053	653	2,706	100%
9	327	0	327	74%	73	0	73	16%	44	0	44	10%	444	0	444	100%
10	620	211	831	58%	341	12	353	25%	195	43	238	17%	1,156	266	1,422	100%
11	403	144	547	68%	158	1	159	20%	96	8	104	13%	657	153	810	100%
12	339	0	339	62%	127	0	127	23%	79	0	79	14%	545	0	545	100%
13	523	0	523	70%	114	0	114	15%	107	0	107	14%	744	0	744	100%
14	242	0	242	75%	53	0	53	17%	26	0	26	8%	321	0	321	100%
15	105	0	105	63%	51	0	51	30%	12	0	12	7%	168	0	168	100%
16	94	0	94	64%	44	0	44	30%	10	0	10	7%	148	0	148	100%
17	2,877	418	3,295	66%	1,003	21	1,024	21%	629	31	660	13%	4,509	470	4,979	100%
18	2,336	2,030	4,366	72%	867	155	1,022	17%	551	127	678	11%	3,754	2,312	6,066	100%
19	1,124	265	1,389	66%	406	41	447	21%	227	33	260	12%	1,757	339	2,096	100%
20	630	880	1,510	70%	266	119	385	18%	167	96	263	12%	1,063	1,095	2,158	100%
21	392	136	528	62%	175	28	203	24%	100	22	122	14%	667	186	853	100%
22	109	0	109	44%	73	0	73	30%	63	0	63	26%	245	0	245	100%
STATE	16,847	6,847	23,694	65%	6,940	685	7,625	21%	4,649	639	5,288	14%	28,436	8,171	36,607	100%


¹**Successful Terminations:** This number includes the state successful terminations and private successful terminations.

²**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 49 and Table 61.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




**Colorado Probation:
Regular Adult
State Probation**

Adult Regular Probation


The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult probation in 22 judicial districts. There are over 70 separate probation locations throughout the state providing services to the courts, victims and probation clients. The Division of Probation Services publishes standards for probation supervision. Within the limits of statute and state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, probationers are assessed for risk and need factors. Based on these assessments, probationers are supervised accordingly and referred for treatment and other relevant services during their period of supervision.

The number of adult probationers sentenced to state probation in FY 2018 was 42,119 and on June 30, 2018 there were 59,437 adult probationers on regular supervision. In FY 2018, 59% of adults completed regular state probation successfully.




**Chart 7: New Adult Regular Probationers by Gender
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2009 NEW




FISCAL YEAR 2018 NEW




■ Male ■ Female

**Chart 8: New Adult Regular Probationers by Offense Type
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2009 NEW




FISCAL YEAR 2018 NEW



■ Felony ■ Misdemeanor ■ Petty Offense ■ Traffic ■ Other


Other: Offenses coded without a law class. Programming enhancements completed in FY 2016 have increased the ability to capture offense class types which significantly reduced the number of cases in the "other" category.

**Chart 9: New Adult Regular Probationers by Age
Ten Year Comparison**



12-17 years: DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for probationers under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses."

**Chart 10: New Adult Regular Probationers by Ethnicity
Ten Year Comparison**



Ethnicity: These data are entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed by the district attorney.

**Table 42:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Investigations
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		DUI/DWAI Evaluations ¹		Updated Pre- Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	205	5%	1,187	30%	2,206	55%	68	2%	0	0%	74	2%	267	7%	4,007	100%
2	182	8%	1,145	52%	86	4%	7	0%	459	21%	119	5%	223	10%	2,221	100%
3	0	0%	120	49%	86	35%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	36	15%	243	100%
4	185	5%	852	23%	2,168	57%	4	0%	0	0%	176	5%	388	10%	3,773	100%
5	23	2%	156	14%	836	74%	9	1%	40	4%	8	1%	63	6%	1,135	100%
6	11	1%	450	53%	325	38%	0	0%	0	0%	8	1%	52	6%	846	100%
7	11	1%	182	20%	472	52%	28	3%	59	6%	9	1%	152	17%	913	100%
8	83	2%	779	23%	1,730	52%	65	2%	89	3%	59	2%	544	16%	3,349	100%
9	11	1%	161	18%	655	72%	18	2%	12	1%	5	1%	53	6%	915	100%
10	38	4%	211	23%	481	52%	2	0%	55	6%	32	3%	102	11%	921	100%
11	15	3%	93	19%	312	63%	4	1%	12	2%	16	3%	45	9%	497	100%
12	16	2%	306	36%	209	24%	0	0%	253	30%	4	0%	68	8%	856	100%
13	26	4%	230	33%	300	43%	39	6%	0	0%	6	1%	103	15%	704	100%
14	6	1%	76	16%	339	70%	3	1%	10	2%	6	1%	41	9%	481	100%
15	2	2%	52	50%	42	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	8%	104	100%
16	2	1%	218	55%	97	25%	0	0%	18	5%	2	1%	57	14%	394	100%
17	124	4%	894	26%	2,250	64%	2	0%	0	0%	57	2%	178	5%	3,505	100%
18	141	4%	398	12%	2,709	80%	0	0%	0	0%	54	2%	75	2%	3,377	100%
19	61	3%	691	32%	1,078	49%	8	0%	0	0%	32	1%	311	14%	2,181	100%
20	64	5%	199	15%	948	70%	5	0%	0	0%	29	2%	108	8%	1,353	100%
21	56	4%	398	27%	629	42%	37	2%	0	0%	32	2%	348	23%	1,500	100%
22	10	3%	180	51%	136	39%	0	0%	9	3%	2	1%	13	4%	350	100%
STATE	1,272	4%	8,978	27%	18,094	54%	299	1%	1,016	3%	731	2%	3,235	10%	33,625	100%

Denver County ²	0	0%	0	0%	1,482	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,482	100%
STATE TOTAL (with Denver County)	1,272	4%	8,978	26%	19,576	56%	299	1%	1,016	3%	731	2%	3,235	9%	35,107	100%

¹**DUI/DWAI Evaluations:** In previous annual reports this data was reported in Table 94. As of FY 2008 this information is now included in this table.

²**Denver County:** Colorado Judicial contracts with the Denver County Court for alcohol evaluations. Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system, therefore this data is reported by Denver County for inclusion in this table.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 43:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Offense Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class) ¹		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,286	29%	2,982	68%	79	2%	13	0%	0	0%	4,360	100%
2	2,173	56%	1,688	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3,861	100%
3	80	28%	199	69%	4	1%	4	1%	0	0%	287	100%
4	2,110	50%	2,041	49%	9	0%	16	0%	5	0%	4,181	100%
5	256	25%	719	71%	24	2%	8	1%	0	0%	1,007	100%
6	227	31%	492	67%	6	1%	7	1%	0	0%	732	100%
7	219	29%	523	70%	6	1%	2	0%	0	0%	750	100%
8	1,103	31%	2,331	66%	83	2%	33	1%	2	0%	3,552	100%
9	225	31%	470	66%	17	2%	4	1%	0	0%	716	100%
10	488	27%	1,309	72%	8	0%	7	0%	1	0%	1,813	100%
11	164	17%	762	79%	25	3%	11	1%	0	0%	962	100%
12	234	31%	493	66%	12	2%	11	1%	0	0%	750	100%
13	260	27%	652	66%	35	4%	34	3%	0	0%	981	100%
14	112	23%	376	76%	5	1%	3	1%	0	0%	496	100%
15	63	33%	126	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	189	100%
16	124	41%	174	58%	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	302	100%
17	1,208	22%	4,297	77%	69	1%	23	0%	2	0%	5,599	100%
18	1,452	26%	4,107	72%	62	1%	43	1%	9	0%	5,673	100%
19	681	26%	1,928	73%	11	0%	23	1%	2	0%	2,645	100%
20	469	27%	1,251	71%	35	2%	10	1%	1	0%	1,766	100%
21	486	43%	620	55%	8	1%	4	0%	0	0%	1,118	100%
22	86	23%	290	77%	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	379	100%
STATE	13,506	32%	27,830	66%	504	1%	257	1%	22	0%	42,119	100%

¹**Other (offense coded without a law class):** Programming enhancements completed in FY 2016 have increased the ability to capture offense class types which significantly reduced the number of cases in the "other" category.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 44:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Court of Origin
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	District Court		County Court		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,219	51%	2,101	48%	40	1%	4,360	100%
2	3,765	98%	13	0%	83	2%	3,861	100%
3	165	57%	117	41%	5	2%	287	100%
4	2,464	59%	1,598	38%	119	3%	4,181	100%
5	424	42%	569	57%	14	1%	1,007	100%
6	336	46%	385	53%	11	2%	732	100%
7	372	50%	360	48%	18	2%	750	100%
8	1,410	40%	2,108	59%	34	1%	3,552	100%
9	316	44%	388	54%	12	2%	716	100%
10	1,016	56%	763	42%	34	2%	1,813	100%
11	413	43%	533	55%	16	2%	962	100%
12	395	53%	345	46%	10	1%	750	100%
13	405	41%	568	58%	8	1%	981	100%
14	215	43%	272	55%	9	2%	496	100%
15	99	52%	84	44%	6	3%	189	100%
16	194	64%	100	33%	8	3%	302	100%
17	2,540	45%	2,986	53%	73	1%	5,599	100%
18	2,446	43%	3,152	56%	75	1%	5,673	100%
19	1,199	45%	1,395	53%	51	2%	2,645	100%
20	884	50%	834	47%	48	3%	1,766	100%
21	721	64%	371	33%	26	2%	1,118	100%
22	180	47%	193	51%	6	2%	379	100%
STATE	22,178	53%	19,235	46%	706	2%	42,119	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 45:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	Probation		Probation Revoked and Re-sentenced		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts		Direct Sentence Community Corrections		Deferred Judgment and Sentence		Diversion to be Supervised by Probation ¹		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT 1	3,595	82%	94	2%	40	1%	324	7%	166	4%	133	3%	8	0%	4,360	100%
DISTRICT 2	2,876	74%	227	6%	84	2%	150	4%	207	5%	317	8%	0	0%	3,861	100%
DISTRICT 3	144	50%	6	2%	5	2%	50	17%	6	2%	76	26%	0	0%	287	100%
DISTRICT 4	2,886	69%	87	2%	119	3%	334	8%	106	3%	648	15%	1	0%	4,181	100%
DISTRICT 5	665	66%	10	1%	14	1%	132	13%	23	2%	163	16%	0	0%	1,007	100%
DISTRICT 6	485	66%	5	1%	11	2%	58	8%	23	3%	150	20%	0	0%	732	100%
DISTRICT 7	439	59%	4	1%	18	2%	122	16%	24	3%	143	19%	0	0%	750	100%
DISTRICT 8	2,490	70%	91	3%	34	1%	216	6%	150	4%	571	16%	0	0%	3,552	100%
DISTRICT 9	469	66%	3	0%	12	2%	118	16%	14	2%	100	14%	0	0%	716	100%
DISTRICT 10	1,126	62%	40	2%	34	2%	207	11%	33	2%	373	21%	0	0%	1,813	100%
DISTRICT 11	591	61%	18	2%	16	2%	98	10%	14	1%	225	23%	0	0%	962	100%
DISTRICT 12	441	59%	11	1%	10	1%	64	9%	37	5%	187	25%	0	0%	750	100%
DISTRICT 13	623	64%	9	1%	8	1%	92	9%	29	3%	220	22%	0	0%	981	100%
DISTRICT 14	304	61%	2	0%	9	2%	75	15%	20	4%	86	17%	0	0%	496	100%
DISTRICT 15	93	49%	5	3%	6	3%	37	20%	19	10%	29	15%	0	0%	189	100%
DISTRICT 16	179	59%	2	1%	8	3%	80	26%	9	3%	24	8%	0	0%	302	100%
DISTRICT 17	4,288	77%	122	2%	73	1%	535	10%	249	4%	332	6%	0	0%	5,599	100%
DISTRICT 18	4,039	71%	82	1%	75	1%	440	8%	137	2%	900	16%	0	0%	5,673	100%
DISTRICT 19	1,978	75%	24	1%	51	2%	320	12%	86	3%	186	7%	0	0%	2,645	100%
DISTRICT 20	1,307	74%	31	2%	48	3%	123	7%	29	2%	228	13%	0	0%	1,766	100%
DISTRICT 21	794	71%	14	1%	26	2%	140	13%	79	7%	65	6%	0	0%	1,118	100%
DISTRICT 22	219	58%	7	2%	6	2%	42	11%	7	2%	98	26%	0	0%	379	100%
STATE	30,031	71%	894	2%	707	2%	3,757	9%	1,467	3%	5,254	12%	9	0%	42,119	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Diversion to be Supervised by Probation: Cases sentenced to probation that are supervised as part of a deferred prosecution agreement

**Table 46:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:		Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	1,304	30%	3,055	70%	1	0%	4,360	100%	
2	991	26%	2,868	74%	2	0%	3,861	100%	
3	85	30%	201	70%	1	0%	287	100%	
4	1,087	26%	3,093	74%	1	0%	4,181	100%	
5	247	25%	760	75%	0	0%	1,007	100%	
6	179	24%	553	76%	0	0%	732	100%	
7	198	26%	551	73%	1	0%	750	100%	
8	962	27%	2,589	73%	1	0%	3,552	100%	
9	155	22%	559	78%	2	0%	716	100%	
10	569	31%	1,243	69%	1	0%	1,813	100%	
11	270	28%	691	72%	1	0%	962	100%	
12	221	29%	528	70%	1	0%	750	100%	
13	266	27%	715	73%	0	0%	981	100%	
14	120	24%	376	76%	0	0%	496	100%	
15	54	29%	135	71%	0	0%	189	100%	
16	81	27%	221	73%	0	0%	302	100%	
17	1,507	27%	4,092	73%	0	0%	5,599	100%	
18	1,612	28%	4,055	71%	6	0%	5,673	100%	
19	723	27%	1,921	73%	1	0%	2,645	100%	
20	457	26%	1,308	74%	1	0%	1,766	100%	
21	313	28%	805	72%	0	0%	1,118	100%	
22	104	27%	274	72%	1	0%	379	100%	
STATE	11,505	27%	30,593	73%	21	0%	42,119	100%	

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 47:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	12-17 Years ¹		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	9	0%	221	5%	519	12%	1,334	31%	936	21%	1,341	31%	0	0%	4,360	100%
2	0	0%	217	6%	532	14%	1,270	33%	785	20%	1,057	27%	0	0%	3,861	100%
3	0	0%	13	5%	39	14%	73	25%	49	17%	113	39%	0	0%	287	100%
4	4	0%	264	6%	565	14%	1,321	32%	833	20%	1,192	29%	2	0%	4,181	100%
5	9	1%	57	6%	129	13%	332	33%	180	18%	300	30%	0	0%	1,007	100%
6	0	0%	40	5%	93	13%	210	29%	164	22%	225	31%	0	0%	732	100%
7	1	0%	53	7%	102	14%	204	27%	163	22%	227	30%	0	0%	750	100%
8	12	0%	267	8%	629	18%	1,058	30%	670	19%	916	26%	0	0%	3,552	100%
9	0	0%	40	6%	94	13%	232	32%	135	19%	215	30%	0	0%	716	100%
10	1	0%	122	7%	256	14%	565	31%	344	19%	525	29%	0	0%	1,813	100%
11	1	0%	44	5%	114	12%	263	27%	167	17%	373	39%	0	0%	962	100%
12	3	0%	49	7%	110	15%	234	31%	137	18%	216	29%	1	0%	750	100%
13	18	2%	84	9%	128	13%	293	30%	170	17%	288	29%	0	0%	981	100%
14	0	0%	24	5%	72	15%	141	28%	77	16%	182	37%	0	0%	496	100%
15	0	0%	13	7%	26	14%	65	34%	27	14%	58	31%	0	0%	189	100%
16	1	0%	18	6%	35	12%	80	26%	52	17%	116	38%	0	0%	302	100%
17	14	0%	337	6%	795	14%	1,784	32%	1,182	21%	1,486	27%	1	0%	5,599	100%
18	23	0%	418	7%	827	15%	1,718	30%	1,064	19%	1,623	29%	0	0%	5,673	100%
19	10	0%	188	7%	428	16%	786	30%	522	20%	710	27%	1	0%	2,645	100%
20	12	1%	143	8%	299	17%	522	30%	315	18%	475	27%	0	0%	1,766	100%
21	1	0%	57	5%	124	11%	337	30%	251	22%	348	31%	0	0%	1,118	100%
22	0	0%	28	7%	54	14%	127	34%	83	22%	87	23%	0	0%	379	100%
STATE	119	0%	2,697	6%	5,970	14%	12,949	31%	8,306	20%	12,073	29%	5	0%	42,119	100%

¹**12-17 Years:** In some jurisdictions, DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for probationers under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 48:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group¹
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,734	86%	246	6%	42	1%	280	6%	22	1%	36	1%	4,360	100%
2	2,590	67%	839	22%	48	1%	336	9%	28	1%	20	1%	3,861	100%
3	216	75%	11	4%	2	1%	55	19%	1	0%	2	1%	287	100%
4	2,882	69%	609	15%	41	1%	575	14%	22	1%	52	1%	4,181	100%
5	878	87%	23	2%	5	0%	89	9%	3	0%	9	1%	1,007	100%
6	534	73%	13	2%	1	0%	66	9%	109	15%	9	1%	732	100%
7	649	87%	14	2%	2	0%	76	10%	2	0%	7	1%	750	100%
8	3,127	88%	141	4%	15	0%	224	6%	10	0%	35	1%	3,552	100%
9	630	88%	16	2%	5	1%	56	8%	2	0%	7	1%	716	100%
10	987	54%	48	3%	7	0%	716	39%	26	1%	29	2%	1,813	100%
11	892	93%	17	2%	1	0%	30	3%	3	0%	19	2%	962	100%
12	499	67%	18	2%	4	1%	215	29%	6	1%	8	1%	750	100%
13	842	86%	36	4%	2	0%	89	9%	5	1%	7	1%	981	100%
14	444	90%	10	2%	2	0%	21	4%	1	0%	18	4%	496	100%
15	147	78%	9	5%	0	0%	29	15%	0	0%	4	2%	189	100%
16	209	69%	9	3%	0	0%	81	27%	1	0%	2	1%	302	100%
17	4,749	85%	453	8%	61	1%	269	5%	17	0%	50	1%	5,599	100%
18	3,976	70%	824	15%	141	2%	634	11%	37	1%	61	1%	5,673	100%
19	2,178	82%	70	3%	16	1%	343	13%	9	0%	29	1%	2,645	100%
20	1,577	89%	68	4%	28	2%	82	5%	5	0%	6	0%	1,766	100%
21	974	87%	40	4%	2	0%	90	8%	10	1%	2	0%	1,118	100%
22	206	54%	5	1%	1	0%	18	5%	140	37%	9	2%	379	100%
STATE	32,920	78%	3,519	8%	426	1%	4,374	10%	459	1%	421	1%	42,119	100%

¹ **Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed with the court.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 49:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ¹		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ³	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,625	50%	1,200	37%	416	13%	3,241	100%
2	1,274	45%	747	26%	833	29%	2,854	100%
3	109	69%	25	16%	23	15%	157	100%
4	1,115	49%	657	29%	507	22%	2,279	100%
5	426	70%	85	14%	94	16%	605	100%
6	405	72%	88	16%	66	12%	559	100%
7	377	74%	71	14%	62	12%	510	100%
8	1,395	68%	316	15%	342	17%	2,053	100%
9	327	74%	73	16%	44	10%	444	100%
10	620	54%	341	29%	195	17%	1,156	100%
11	403	61%	158	24%	96	15%	657	100%
12	339	62%	127	23%	79	14%	545	100%
13	523	70%	114	15%	107	14%	744	100%
14	242	75%	53	17%	26	8%	321	100%
15	105	63%	51	30%	12	7%	168	100%
16	94	64%	44	30%	10	7%	148	100%
17	2,877	64%	1,003	22%	629	14%	4,509	100%
18	2,336	62%	867	23%	551	15%	3,754	100%
19	1,124	64%	406	23%	227	13%	1,757	100%
20	630	59%	266	25%	167	16%	1,063	100%
21	392	59%	175	26%	100	15%	667	100%
22	109	44%	73	30%	63	26%	245	100%
STATE	16,847	59%	6,940	24%	4,649	16%	28,436	100%

¹**Successful Terminations:** This column is exclusively state successful terminations.

²**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

³**Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of state adult probation closures are included in Table 49.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 50:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,625	44%	1,200	32%	416	11%	311	8%	16	0%	37	1%	125	3%	3,730	100%
2	1,274	37%	747	22%	833	24%	366	11%	40	1%	27	1%	117	3%	3,404	100%
3	109	62%	25	14%	23	13%	14	8%	0	0%	3	2%	2	1%	176	100%
4	1,115	43%	657	25%	507	19%	253	10%	4	0%	42	2%	44	2%	2,622	100%
5	426	66%	85	13%	94	15%	17	3%	3	0%	10	2%	7	1%	642	100%
6	405	69%	88	15%	66	11%	24	4%	1	0%	4	1%	2	0%	590	100%
7	377	71%	71	13%	62	12%	14	3%	0	0%	7	1%	0	0%	531	100%
8	1,395	60%	316	14%	342	15%	222	10%	10	0%	34	1%	14	1%	2,333	100%
9	327	69%	73	15%	44	9%	13	3%	3	1%	11	2%	0	0%	471	100%
10	620	50%	341	28%	195	16%	52	4%	4	0%	23	2%	4	0%	1,239	100%
11	403	58%	158	23%	96	14%	18	3%	0	0%	11	2%	5	1%	691	100%
12	339	54%	127	20%	79	13%	71	11%	0	0%	6	1%	3	0%	625	100%
13	523	64%	114	14%	107	13%	55	7%	6	1%	10	1%	7	1%	822	100%
14	242	69%	53	15%	26	7%	22	6%	1	0%	8	2%	0	0%	352	100%
15	105	51%	51	25%	12	6%	34	16%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	207	100%
16	94	54%	44	25%	10	6%	19	11%	0	0%	6	3%	1	1%	174	100%
17	2,877	58%	1,003	20%	629	13%	354	7%	48	1%	28	1%	33	1%	4,972	100%
18	2,336	58%	867	21%	551	14%	209	5%	35	1%	38	1%	25	1%	4,061	100%
19	1,124	58%	406	21%	227	12%	141	7%	29	1%	19	1%	5	0%	1,951	100%
20	630	56%	266	24%	167	15%	33	3%	4	0%	12	1%	15	1%	1,127	100%
21	392	48%	175	21%	100	12%	124	15%	1	0%	16	2%	11	1%	819	100%
22	109	41%	73	28%	63	24%	13	5%	2	1%	4	2%	0	0%	264	100%
STATE	16,847	53%	6,940	22%	4,649	15%	2,379	7%	207	1%	361	1%	420	1%	31,803	100%

Courtesy Transfers ¹	
Number	DISTRICT
336	1
216	2
42	3
283	4
82	5
45	6
101	7
220	8
91	9
158	10
74	11
62	12
99	13
56	14
27	15
77	16
545	17
325	18
325	19
125	20
102	21
44	22
3,435	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" as they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 51:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ¹		New Misdemeanor ²		Technical ³		TOTAL REVOCATIONS ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	114	10%	150	13%	936	78%	1,200	100%
2	131	18%	79	11%	537	72%	747	100%
3	5	20%	1	4%	19	76%	25	100%
4	196	30%	41	6%	420	64%	657	100%
5	16	19%	22	26%	47	55%	85	100%
6	6	7%	9	10%	73	83%	88	100%
7	6	8%	12	17%	53	75%	71	100%
8	67	21%	79	25%	170	54%	316	100%
9	12	16%	13	18%	48	66%	73	100%
10	92	27%	55	16%	194	57%	341	100%
11	21	13%	17	11%	120	76%	158	100%
12	19	15%	23	18%	85	67%	127	100%
13	29	25%	15	13%	70	61%	114	100%
14	10	19%	11	21%	32	60%	53	100%
15	4	8%	2	4%	45	88%	51	100%
16	3	7%	8	18%	33	75%	44	100%
17	143	14%	195	19%	665	66%	1,003	100%
18	152	18%	207	24%	508	59%	867	100%
19	74	18%	101	25%	231	57%	406	100%
20	38	14%	51	19%	177	67%	266	100%
21	62	35%	42	24%	71	41%	175	100%
22	2	3%	12	16%	59	81%	73	100%
STATE	1,202	17%	1,145	16%	4,593	66%	6,940	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 52:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:		0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	1,498	40%	1,437	39%	531	14%	264	7%	3,730	100%	
2	1,453	43%	1,229	36%	425	12%	297	9%	3,404	100%	
3	91	52%	54	31%	19	11%	12	7%	176	100%	
4	880	34%	976	37%	419	16%	347	13%	2,622	100%	
5	282	44%	239	37%	81	13%	40	6%	642	100%	
6	243	41%	254	43%	65	11%	28	5%	590	100%	
7	203	38%	210	40%	79	15%	39	7%	531	100%	
8	1,015	44%	842	36%	277	12%	199	9%	2,333	100%	
9	177	38%	202	43%	60	13%	32	7%	471	100%	
10	501	40%	477	38%	166	13%	95	8%	1,239	100%	
11	337	49%	228	33%	79	11%	47	7%	691	100%	
12	314	50%	227	36%	53	8%	31	5%	625	100%	
13	338	41%	355	43%	83	10%	46	6%	822	100%	
14	118	34%	119	34%	66	19%	49	14%	352	100%	
15	91	44%	78	38%	31	15%	7	3%	207	100%	
16	67	39%	67	39%	26	15%	14	8%	174	100%	
17	2,265	46%	1,827	37%	583	12%	297	6%	4,972	100%	
18	1,554	38%	1,596	39%	579	14%	332	8%	4,061	100%	
19	626	32%	885	45%	268	14%	172	9%	1,951	100%	
20	468	42%	454	40%	124	11%	81	7%	1,127	100%	
21	242	30%	269	33%	148	18%	160	20%	819	100%	
22	135	51%	96	36%	24	9%	9	3%	264	100%	
STATE	12,898	41%	12,121	38%	4,186	13%	2,598	8%	31,803	100%	

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 53:
Colorado State Adult Regular and Private Probation
Active Clients on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE	TOTAL STATE REGULAR CLIENTS		TOTAL PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL STATE REGULAR & PRIVATE PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT						
1	6,250	78%	1,729	22%	7,979	100%
2	5,821	100%	0	0%	5,821	100%
3	353	100%	0	0%	353	100%
4	6,418	77%	1,911	23%	8,329	100%
5	1,148	83%	228	17%	1,376	100%
6	1,089	100%	0	0%	1,089	100%
7	1,208	100%	0	0%	1,208	100%
8	4,389	82%	935	18%	5,324	100%
9	1,073	100%	1	0%	1,074	100%
10	2,294	80%	557	20%	2,851	100%
11	1,123	85%	193	15%	1,316	100%
12	939	100%	0	0%	939	100%
13	1,376	100%	2	0%	1,378	100%
14	720	100%	0	0%	720	100%
15	293	100%	0	0%	293	100%
16	452	100%	0	0%	452	100%
17	7,965	91%	760	9%	8,725	100%
18	7,878	71%	3,199	29%	11,077	100%
19	3,615	83%	758	17%	4,373	100%
20	2,067	60%	1,373	40%	3,440	100%
21	2,427	98%	39	2%	2,466	100%
22	539	100%	0	0%	539	100%
STATE	59,437	84%	11,685	16%	71,122	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 54:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Active Client Risk Levels¹ on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT																
1	129	2%	636	10%	1,417	23%	2,334	37%	1,198	19%	392	6%	144	2%	6,250	100%
2	46	1%	910	16%	1,296	22%	1,347	23%	1,627	28%	437	8%	158	3%	5,821	100%
3	11	3%	119	34%	85	24%	68	19%	42	12%	8	2%	20	6%	353	100%
4	95	1%	849	13%	1,938	30%	1,573	25%	1,554	24%	78	1%	331	5%	6,418	100%
5	46	4%	117	10%	206	18%	458	40%	142	12%	64	6%	115	10%	1,148	100%
6	12	1%	171	16%	253	23%	409	38%	81	7%	41	4%	122	11%	1,089	100%
7	28	2%	192	16%	288	24%	543	45%	50	4%	71	6%	36	3%	1,208	100%
8	158	4%	564	13%	1,191	27%	1,224	28%	574	13%	451	10%	227	5%	4,389	100%
9	37	3%	114	11%	149	14%	496	46%	159	15%	53	5%	65	6%	1,073	100%
10	66	3%	422	18%	607	26%	499	22%	549	24%	112	5%	39	2%	2,294	100%
11	51	5%	208	19%	346	31%	227	20%	213	19%	30	3%	48	4%	1,123	100%
12	12	1%	151	16%	226	24%	265	28%	199	21%	66	7%	20	2%	939	100%
13	24	2%	210	15%	260	19%	540	39%	178	13%	83	6%	81	6%	1,376	100%
14	35	5%	84	12%	156	22%	256	36%	93	13%	49	7%	47	7%	720	100%
15	6	2%	42	14%	81	28%	107	37%	13	4%	31	11%	13	4%	293	100%
16	14	3%	118	26%	144	32%	90	20%	54	12%	24	5%	8	2%	452	100%
17	220	3%	1,120	14%	2,230	28%	1,855	23%	1,951	24%	441	6%	148	2%	7,965	100%
18	178	2%	703	9%	2,602	33%	2,079	26%	1,515	19%	396	5%	405	5%	7,878	100%
19	112	3%	425	12%	861	24%	1,175	33%	716	20%	207	6%	119	3%	3,615	100%
20	25	1%	331	16%	480	23%	523	25%	532	26%	75	4%	101	5%	2,067	100%
21	45	2%	310	13%	418	17%	860	35%	401	17%	259	11%	134	6%	2,427	100%
22	15	3%	75	14%	159	29%	163	30%	74	14%	15	3%	38	7%	539	100%
STATE	1,365	2%	7,871	13%	15,393	27%	17,091	30%	11,915	20%	3,383	6%	2,419	4%	59,437	100%

¹ **Active Client Risk Levels:** This data includes regular adult supervision, domestic violence, sex offender (Non-SOISP), problem solving court, mental health and economic crime. Detailed supervision levels for each regular adult supervision, domestic violence, sex offender (Non-SOISP), problem solving court, mental health and economic crime can be found on Tables 54 and 55.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 55:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Regular Adult, Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018**

Risk Level:	Regular Adult									
	Regular Maximum		Regular Medium		Regular Minimum		Regular Administrative		Regular Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	367	8%	1,014	22%	2,113	45%	1,168	25%	4,662	100%
2	558	15%	1,001	27%	1,065	28%	1,127	30%	3,751	100%
3	72	29%	67	27%	65	26%	42	17%	246	100%
4	508	12%	1,318	30%	1,121	26%	1,409	32%	4,356	100%
5	56	8%	142	21%	331	50%	133	20%	662	100%
6	91	13%	186	26%	366	51%	74	10%	717	100%
7	132	15%	223	26%	469	54%	50	6%	874	100%
8	408	13%	968	32%	1,134	37%	557	18%	3,067	100%
9	80	11%	108	15%	386	53%	150	21%	724	100%
10	277	17%	401	25%	410	25%	522	32%	1,610	100%
11	130	17%	248	32%	191	25%	209	27%	778	100%
12	121	17%	188	26%	222	31%	194	27%	725	100%
13	126	14%	166	18%	460	50%	167	18%	919	100%
14	60	13%	104	22%	221	47%	84	18%	469	100%
15	34	16%	72	35%	89	43%	13	6%	208	100%
16	102	29%	117	33%	83	23%	54	15%	356	100%
17	520	9%	1,723	30%	1,656	29%	1,867	32%	5,766	100%
18	413	7%	2,004	35%	1,933	34%	1,331	23%	5,681	100%
19	286	11%	605	23%	1,070	41%	659	25%	2,620	100%
20	224	15%	364	25%	359	25%	501	35%	1,448	100%
21	161	10%	326	20%	778	47%	380	23%	1,645	100%
22	49	13%	123	32%	135	35%	74	19%	381	100%
STATE	4,775	11%	11,468	28%	14,657	35%	10,765	26%	41,665	100%

Risk Level:	Domestic Violence									
	DV Maximum		DV Medium		DV Minimum		DV Administrative		DV Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	135	22%	331	54%	117	19%	26	4%	609	100%
2	56	17%	107	33%	151	47%	7	2%	321	100%
3	7	30%	15	65%	1	4%	0	0%	23	100%
4	108	14%	378	48%	248	32%	46	6%	780	100%
5	11	6%	50	29%	107	63%	3	2%	171	100%
6	33	26%	58	46%	29	23%	5	4%	125	100%
7	24	22%	36	33%	48	44%	0	0%	108	100%
8	44	16%	163	58%	73	26%	1	0%	281	100%
9	6	5%	22	19%	85	73%	4	3%	117	100%
10	78	24%	157	48%	69	21%	21	6%	325	100%
11	50	32%	80	52%	21	14%	3	2%	154	100%
12	19	25%	30	39%	25	32%	3	4%	77	100%
13	37	21%	76	42%	61	34%	5	3%	179	100%
14	17	19%	45	50%	28	31%	0	0%	90	100%
15	4	14%	8	28%	17	59%	0	0%	29	100%
16	6	19%	22	71%	3	10%	0	0%	31	100%
17	386	41%	366	39%	128	14%	62	7%	942	100%
18	75	11%	475	70%	55	8%	78	11%	683	100%
19	63	15%	226	52%	95	22%	48	11%	432	100%
20	46	20%	73	32%	108	47%	4	2%	231	100%
21	123	50%	78	32%	33	13%	12	5%	246	100%
22	7	14%	21	41%	23	45%	0	0%	51	100%
STATE	1,335	22%	2,817	47%	1,525	25%	328	5%	6,005	100%

Risk Level:	Sex Offenders (Non-SOISP)*									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		Sex Offender Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	14	12%	24	21%	77	66%	2	2%	117	100%
2	18	9%	8	4%	17	9%	154	78%	197	100%
3	4	57%	1	14%	2	29%	0	0%	7	100%
4	17	8%	37	17%	148	69%	13	6%	215	100%
5	6	26%	5	22%	12	52%	0	0%	23	100%
6	11	35%	7	23%	13	42%	0	0%	31	100%
7	3	12%	4	16%	18	72%	0	0%	25	100%
8	29	36%	22	28%	15	19%	14	18%	80	100%
9	3	10%	5	17%	17	57%	5	17%	30	100%
10	13	33%	14	36%	11	28%	1	3%	39	100%
11	5	20%	7	28%	12	48%	1	4%	25	100%
12	1	9%	2	18%	8	73%	0	0%	11	100%
13	10	38%	3	12%	10	38%	3	12%	26	100%
14	3	13%	5	21%	7	29%	9	38%	24	100%
15	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
16	4	36%	4	36%	3	27%	0	0%	11	100%
17	51	49%	20	19%	32	30%	2	2%	105	100%
18	26	12%	22	10%	77	35%	98	44%	223	100%
19	12	34%	9	26%	6	17%	8	23%	35	100%
20	15	17%	12	14%	34	40%	25	29%	86	100%
21	5	8%	4	6%	45	71%	9	14%	63	100%
22	4	24%	9	53%	4	24%	0	0%	17	100%
STATE	258	18%	225	16%	569	41%	344	25%	1,396	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*SOISP's (Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation) active client numbers can be found in Table 95.


**Table 56:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Problem Solving Court, Mental Health and Economic Crime Caseloads
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018**

Risk Level:	Problem Solving Court (PSC)									
	PSC Maximum		PSC Medium		PSC Minimum		PSC Administrative		PSC Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	95	83%	18	16%	0	0%	2	2%	115	100%
2	164	26%	96	15%	64	10%	316	49%	640	100%
3	33	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%
4	152	52%	103	35%	21	7%	19	6%	295	100%
5	38	79%	4	8%	0	0%	6	13%	48	100%
6	36	88%	2	5%	1	2%	2	5%	41	100%
7	23	51%	18	40%	4	9%	0	0%	45	100%
8	79	66%	37	31%	1	1%	2	2%	119	100%
9	20	91%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
10	34	67%	9	18%	3	6%	5	10%	51	100%
11	22	61%	11	31%	3	8%	0	0%	36	100%
12	9	45%	4	20%	5	25%	2	10%	20	100%
13	12	80%	1	7%	0	0%	2	13%	15	100%
14	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
17	63	67%	11	12%	2	2%	18	19%	94	100%
18	64	77%	14	17%	3	4%	2	2%	83	100%
19	42	75%	13	23%	1	2%	0	0%	56	100%
20	29	88%	4	12%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	14	88%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%
STATE	939	53%	352	20%	108	6%	376	21%	1,775	100%

Risk Level:	Mental Health (MH)									
	MH Maximum		MH Medium		MH Minimum		MH Administrative		MH Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	23	61%	11	29%	4	11%	0	0%	38	100%
2	98	49%	64	32%	16	8%	22	11%	200	100%
3	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
4	61	34%	74	41%	15	8%	32	18%	182	100%
5	5	38%	5	38%	3	23%	0	0%	13	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	10	53%	6	32%	3	16%	0	0%	19	100%
8	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
9	5	21%	12	50%	7	29%	0	0%	24	100%
10	20	43%	26	55%	1	2%	0	0%	47	100%
11	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
12	1	13%	2	25%	5	63%	0	0%	8	100%
13	25	56%	14	31%	5	11%	1	2%	45	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	91	48%	85	45%	14	7%	1	1%	191	100%
18	61	53%	49	42%	4	3%	2	2%	116	100%
19	22	73%	7	23%	0	0%	1	3%	30	100%
20	14	31%	23	51%	8	18%	0	0%	45	100%
21	21	60%	10	29%	4	11%	0	0%	35	100%
22	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
STATE	466	46%	394	39%	89	9%	59	6%	1,008	100%

Risk Level:	Economic Crime (EC)									
	EC Maximum		EC Medium		EC Minimum		EC Administrative		EC Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	2	5%	19	43%	23	52%	0	0%	44	100%
2	16	23%	20	28%	34	48%	1	1%	71	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	3	3%	28	33%	20	23%	35	41%	86	100%
5	1	17%	0	0%	5	83%	0	0%	6	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
17	9	16%	25	43%	23	40%	1	2%	58	100%
18	64	57%	38	34%	7	6%	4	4%	113	100%
19	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	4	100%
20	3	13%	4	17%	14	61%	2	9%	23	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	98	23%	136	32%	143	34%	43	10%	420	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Colorado Probation: Private Probation

Private Probation

Although not every judicial district has access to or utilizes a private provider, Colorado Probation has contracted for private probation services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by standards. Chief Justice Directive 16-01 (formerly 04-03 and 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk adult probationers. In FY 2018, there were 5,064 new offenders transferred to private probation for supervision. On June 30, 2018, there were 11,685 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. In FY 2018, private probation successfully terminated 6,847 probationers (84%). By utilizing private probation for lower risk probationers, state probation departments can concentrate their resources on higher risk probationers.




Table 57:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Supervision Type
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE:	Direct Sentence ²		Transfer from State Probation ³		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT						
1	2	0%	1,412	100%	1,414	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	630	100%	630	100%
5	0	0%	17	100%	17	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	171	100%	171	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	135	100%	135	100%
11	0	0%	49	100%	49	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	652	100%	652	100%
18	0	0%	753	100%	753	100%
19	0	0%	307	100%	307	100%
20	188	25%	556	75%	744	100%
21	1	1%	191	99%	192	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	191	4%	4,873	96%	5,064	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**Direct Sentence:** A probationer ordered by the court to be supervised by a private provider. This is not a uniform practice among courts.

³**Transfer from State Probation:** A probationer originally sentenced to state probation but later determined appropriate to transfer to a private probation provider.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 58:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE:	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT								
1	459	32%	954	67%	1	0%	1,414	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	169	27%	460	73%	1	0%	630	100%
5	3	18%	14	82%	0	0%	17	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	57	33%	114	67%	0	0%	171	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	29	21%	106	79%	0	0%	135	100%
11	9	18%	40	82%	0	0%	49	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	218	33%	434	67%	0	0%	652	100%
18	205	27%	547	73%	1	0%	753	100%
19	78	25%	229	75%	0	0%	307	100%
20	205	28%	539	72%	0	0%	744	100%
21	74	39%	118	61%	0	0%	192	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	1,506	30%	3,555	70%	3	0%	5,064	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 59:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	*12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	108	8%	216	15%	430	30%	226	16%	434	31%	0	0%	1,414	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	0%	46	7%	123	20%	167	27%	125	20%	168	27%	0	0%	630	100%
5	0	0%	1	6%	4	24%	5	29%	3	18%	4	24%	0	0%	17	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	19	11%	42	25%	53	31%	22	13%	35	20%	0	0%	171	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	6	4%	20	15%	42	31%	24	18%	43	32%	0	0%	135	100%
11	0	0%	2	4%	7	14%	13	27%	8	16%	19	39%	0	0%	49	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	54	8%	126	19%	211	32%	106	16%	155	24%	0	0%	652	100%
18	0	0%	45	6%	109	14%	221	29%	124	16%	254	34%	0	0%	753	100%
19	0	0%	38	12%	55	18%	88	29%	55	18%	71	23%	0	0%	307	100%
20	0	0%	67	9%	135	18%	216	29%	118	16%	208	28%	0	0%	744	100%
21	0	0%	16	8%	29	15%	55	29%	41	21%	51	27%	0	0%	192	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	1	0%	402	8%	866	17%	1,501	30%	852	17%	1,442	28%	0	0%	5,064	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports will display these numbers separately.

***12-17 Years:** In some jurisdictions, DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for probationers under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 60:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Ethnic Group²
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,232	87%	65	5%	17	1%	78	6%	12	1%	10	1%	1,414	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	445	71%	73	12%	4	1%	88	14%	5	1%	15	2%	630	100%
5	15	88%	0	0%	0	0%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	155	91%	6	4%	0	0%	7	4%	2	1%	1	1%	171	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	87	64%	2	1%	0	0%	44	33%	0	0%	2	1%	135	100%
11	46	94%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	49	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	586	90%	31	5%	9	1%	21	3%	0	0%	5	1%	652	100%
18	541	72%	104	14%	16	2%	78	10%	4	1%	10	1%	753	100%
19	268	87%	10	3%	0	0%	25	8%	3	1%	1	0%	307	100%
20	675	91%	22	3%	8	1%	33	4%	1	0%	5	1%	744	100%
21	179	93%	2	1%	0	0%	7	4%	3	2%	1	1%	192	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	4,229	84%	317	6%	54	1%	383	8%	30	1%	51	1%	5,064	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed by the district attorney.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 61:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁵	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded ⁴			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,088	78%	167	12%	143	10%	1,398	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	928	83%	107	10%	78	7%	1,113	100%
5	183	98%	1	1%	2	1%	186	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	564	86%	33	5%	56	9%	653	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	211	79%	12	5%	43	16%	266	100%
11	144	94%	1	1%	8	5%	153	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	418	89%	21	4%	31	7%	470	100%
18	2,030	88%	155	7%	127	5%	2,312	100%
19	265	78%	41	12%	33	10%	339	100%
20	880	80%	119	11%	96	9%	1,095	100%
21	136	73%	28	15%	22	12%	186	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	6,847	84%	685	8%	639	8%	8,171	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column is exclusively private successful terminations.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes probationers supervised by private who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

⁴**Absconded:** This column refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁵**Total Terminations:** This column includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of private probation closures are included in Tables 61.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 62:
Colorado Private Probation¹
All Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,088	78%	167	12%	143	10%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	1,400	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	928	83%	107	10%	78	7%	0	0%	10	1%	1	0%	1,124	100%
5	183	97%	1	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	189	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	564	86%	33	5%	56	9%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	656	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	211	79%	12	5%	43	16%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	266	100%
11	144	94%	1	1%	8	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	153	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	418	89%	21	4%	31	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	470	100%
18	2,030	87%	155	7%	127	5%	21	1%	9	0%	0	0%	2,342	100%
19	265	77%	41	12%	33	10%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	342	100%
20	880	80%	119	11%	96	9%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	1,098	100%
21	136	73%	28	15%	22	12%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	187	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	6,847	83%	685	8%	639	8%	22	0%	28	0%	6	0%	8,227	100%

Courtesy Transfers ²	
Number	DISTRICT
74	1
0	2
0	3
187	4
20	5
0	6
0	7
144	8
0	9
58	10
9	11
0	12
0	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
25	17
210	18
78	19
162	20
43	21
0	22
1,010	STATE

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008, courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 63:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ²		New Misdemeanor ³		Technical ⁴		TOTAL REVOCATIONS for Private Probation ⁵	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	2%	15	9%	149	89%	167	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	13	12%	6	6%	88	82%	107	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	6%	9	27%	22	67%	33	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	12	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	3	14%	6	29%	12	57%	21	100%
18	7	5%	44	28%	104	67%	155	100%
19	3	7%	12	29%	26	63%	41	100%
20	3	3%	18	15%	98	82%	119	100%
21	0	0%	2	7%	26	93%	28	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	34	5%	112	16%	539	79%	685	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

⁴**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (i.e. drug use, non-compliance).

⁵**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 64:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE:	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL PRIVATE PROBATION CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT										
1	600	43%	726	52%	65	5%	9	1%	1,400	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	397	35%	600	53%	109	10%	18	2%	1,124	100%
5	70	37%	98	52%	18	10%	3	2%	189	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	414	63%	208	32%	30	5%	4	1%	656	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	129	48%	116	44%	12	5%	9	3%	266	100%
11	101	66%	46	30%	5	3%	1	1%	153	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	301	64%	148	31%	16	3%	5	1%	470	100%
18	782	33%	1,203	51%	288	12%	69	3%	2,342	100%
19	141	41%	171	50%	25	7%	5	1%	342	100%
20	612	56%	437	40%	42	4%	7	1%	1,098	100%
21	71	38%	79	42%	31	17%	6	3%	187	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	3,618	44%	3,832	47%	641	8%	136	2%	8,227	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.


**Table 65:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Active Clients on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018**

Risk Levels ² :	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum			Medium			Minimum			Administrative			TOTAL PRIVATE PROBATION CLIENTS							
			Reg Max	DV Max		Reg Med	DV Med		Reg Min	DV Min		Admin	DV Admin				Interstate					
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%				
1	61	4%	14	1%	1	0%	167	10%	63	4%	1,222	71%	21	1%	178	10%	1	0%	1,729	100%		
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
4	0	0%	7	0%	1	0%	142	7%	21	1%	1,376	72%	243	13%	121	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1,911	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	6	3%	1	0%	183	80%	28	12%	8	4%	1	0%	0	0%	228	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	34	4%	0	0%	798	85%	80	9%	16	2%	0	0%	1	0%	935	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	80	14%	2	0%	389	70%	63	11%	22	4%	0	0%	0	0%	557	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	7%	0	0%	176	91%	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	193	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	5	1%	1	0%	5	1%	36	5%	92	12%	449	59%	1	0%	168	22%	2	0%	1	0%	760	100%
18	14	0%	0	0%	0	0%	127	4%	40	1%	2,749	86%	120	4%	146	5%	0	0%	3	0%	3,199	100%
19	6	1%	1	0%	0	0%	68	9%	0	0%	539	71%	115	15%	29	4%	0	0%	0	0%	758	100%
20	23	2%	9	1%	0	0%	87	6%	12	1%	1,010	74%	154	11%	67	5%	8	1%	3	0%	1,373	100%
21	0	0%	3	8%	0	0%	4	10%	0	0%	8	21%	1	3%	22	56%	0	0%	1	3%	39	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	115	1%	36	0%	8	0%	766	7%	231	2%	8,899	76%	828	7%	780	7%	12	0%	10	0%	11,685	100%

¹Colorado Private Probation: In FY 2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

² Risk Levels: Private Probation does not supervise sex offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




**Colorado Probation:
Regular
Juvenile Probation**


Juvenile Regular Probation

The Colorado Judicial Department administers juvenile probation in 22 judicial districts. The Division of Probation Services publishes standards for probation supervision. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, juveniles are assessed for risk and need factors. Based on these assessments, juveniles are supervised accordingly and referred for treatment and other relevant services.


In Fiscal Year 2018, 2,741 juveniles were sentenced to probation and on June 30, 2018 there were 3,311 juveniles on supervision. In FY 2018, 72% of juveniles completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 136 juveniles were sentenced to Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) in FY 2018.



**Chart 11: New Juvenile Regular Probationers by Gender
Ten Year Comparison**




**Chart 12: New Juvenile Regular Probationers by Offense Type
Ten Year Comparison**




Other: Offenses coded without a law class. Programming enhancements completed in FY2016 have increased the ability to capture offense class types which significantly reduced the number of cases in the "other" category.

**Chart 13: New Juvenile Regular Probationers by Age
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2009 NEW



FISCAL YEAR 2018 NEW




■ 10-14 years ■ 15 years ■ 16 years
■ 17 years ■ 18+ years


18+ years: Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

**Chart 14: New Juvenile Regular Probationers by Ethnicity
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2009 NEW



FISCAL YEAR 2018 NEW



■ Caucasian ■ African American
■ Asian ■ Hispanic
■ Native American ■ Other

Ethnicity: This information is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report or complaint filed by the district attorney.

**Table 66:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Investigations
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre- Sentence Investigations		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	6	12%	31	62%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	12	24%	50	100%
2J	35	12%	218	77%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	28	10%	284	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	60	20%	172	58%	0	0%	0	0%	13	4%	53	18%	298	100%
5	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
6	1	7%	14	93%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%
7	1	2%	35	59%	7	12%	0	0%	3	5%	13	22%	59	100%
8	0	0%	24	60%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	15	38%	40	100%
9	7	18%	25	63%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	20%	40	100%
10	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
12	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
13	5	12%	20	49%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	14	34%	41	100%
14	0	0%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	7	100%
15	0	0%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	5	100%
16	1	7%	13	87%	0	0%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%
17	22	59%	8	22%	0	0%	0	0%	7	19%	0	0%	37	100%
18	23	27%	52	60%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	10	12%	86	100%
19	18	38%	9	19%	0	0%	0	0%	5	11%	15	32%	47	100%
20	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
21	8	67%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	0	0%	12	100%
22	0	0%	13	93%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	14	100%
STATE	194	18%	648	61%	10	1%	1	0%	38	4%	172	16%	1,063	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

**Table 67:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Offense Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class) ¹		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	76	32%	151	63%	12	5%	0	0%	1	0%	240	100%
2J	106	37%	179	62%	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	289	100%
3	1	7%	11	79%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
4	117	40%	169	57%	6	2%	0	0%	3	1%	295	100%
5	10	18%	44	79%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	56	100%
6	4	24%	11	65%	1	6%	1	6%	0	0%	17	100%
7	14	25%	41	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55	100%
8	42	18%	140	59%	52	22%	1	0%	2	1%	237	100%
9	15	38%	24	60%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	40	100%
10	27	35%	50	64%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	78	100%
11	6	20%	20	67%	3	10%	0	0%	1	3%	30	100%
12	5	22%	15	65%	2	9%	0	0%	1	4%	23	100%
13	15	23%	48	75%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	64	100%
14	6	40%	8	53%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%
15	3	17%	15	83%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
16	3	21%	11	79%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
17	130	39%	184	56%	13	4%	1	0%	2	1%	330	100%
18	127	31%	245	60%	36	9%	0	0%	2	0%	410	100%
19	59	23%	167	66%	27	11%	0	0%	0	0%	253	100%
20	42	25%	103	62%	22	13%	0	0%	0	0%	167	100%
21	21	26%	58	71%	2	2%	0	0%	1	1%	82	100%
22	0	0%	12	86%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	829	30%	1,706	62%	189	7%	4	0%	13	0%	2,741	100%

¹**Other (offense coded without a law class):** Programming enhancements completed in FY 2016 have increased the ability to capture offense class types which significantly reduced the number of cases in the "other" category.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 68:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE:	Probation		Probation Revoked and Resentenced		Deferred Adjudication		Diversion to be Supervised by Probation ¹		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Change of Venue		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	167	70%	1	0%	34	14%	0	0%	2	1%	36	15%	240	100%
2J	140	48%	2	1%	51	18%	0	0%	3	1%	93	32%	289	100%
3	3	21%	0	0%	10	71%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	14	100%
4	177	60%	0	0%	90	31%	0	0%	4	1%	24	8%	295	100%
5	29	52%	0	0%	21	38%	0	0%	1	2%	5	9%	56	100%
6	11	65%	1	6%	4	24%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	17	100%
7	23	42%	1	2%	25	45%	0	0%	2	4%	4	7%	55	100%
8	54	23%	1	0%	152	64%	3	1%	3	1%	24	10%	237	100%
9	22	55%	0	0%	14	35%	0	0%	2	5%	2	5%	40	100%
10	34	44%	2	3%	28	36%	0	0%	1	1%	13	17%	78	100%
11	8	27%	2	7%	15	50%	0	0%	0	0%	5	17%	30	100%
12	9	39%	1	4%	9	39%	0	0%	1	4%	3	13%	23	100%
13	16	25%	1	2%	37	59%	0	0%	0	0%	9	14%	63	100%
14	4	27%	0	0%	6	40%	0	0%	0	0%	5	33%	15	100%
15	4	22%	0	0%	6	33%	7	39%	0	0%	1	6%	18	100%
16	5	36%	0	0%	5	36%	0	0%	0	0%	4	29%	14	100%
17	134	41%	4	1%	133	40%	0	0%	7	2%	52	16%	330	100%
18	191	47%	2	0%	166	40%	0	0%	0	0%	51	12%	410	100%
19	41	16%	4	2%	182	72%	0	0%	3	1%	24	9%	254	100%
20	70	42%	1	1%	82	49%	0	0%	1	1%	13	8%	167	100%
21	59	72%	0	0%	14	17%	0	0%	2	2%	7	9%	82	100%
22	4	29%	1	7%	7	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	14%	14	100%
STATE	1,205	44%	24	1%	1,091	40%	10	0%	32	1%	379	14%	2,741	100%

¹Diversion to be Supervised by Probation: cases sentenced to probation that are supervised as part of a deferred prosecution agreement.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 69:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	73	30%	167	70%	0	0%	240	100%
2J	62	21%	227	79%	0	0%	289	100%
3	3	21%	11	79%	0	0%	14	100%
4	80	27%	215	73%	0	0%	295	100%
5	12	21%	44	79%	0	0%	56	100%
6	5	29%	12	71%	0	0%	17	100%
7	17	31%	38	69%	0	0%	55	100%
8	64	27%	173	73%	0	0%	237	100%
9	9	23%	29	73%	2	5%	40	100%
10	22	28%	56	72%	0	0%	78	100%
11	5	17%	25	83%	0	0%	30	100%
12	4	17%	19	83%	0	0%	23	100%
13	16	25%	47	73%	1	2%	64	100%
14	3	20%	12	80%	0	0%	15	100%
15	6	33%	12	67%	0	0%	18	100%
16	3	21%	11	79%	0	0%	14	100%
17	79	24%	251	76%	0	0%	330	100%
18	91	22%	318	78%	1	0%	410	100%
19	80	32%	173	68%	0	0%	253	100%
20	40	24%	126	75%	1	1%	167	100%
21	22	27%	60	73%	0	0%	82	100%
22	1	7%	13	93%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	697	25%	2,039	74%	5	0%	2,741	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 70:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	10-14 Years		15 Years		16 Years		17 Years		¹ 18+ Years		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	42	18%	54	23%	54	23%	56	23%	34	14%	0	0%	240	100%
2J	60	21%	44	15%	59	20%	57	20%	69	24%	0	0%	289	100%
3	5	36%	4	29%	0	0%	4	29%	1	7%	0	0%	14	100%
4	50	17%	45	15%	66	22%	57	19%	77	26%	0	0%	295	100%
5	10	18%	12	21%	15	27%	9	16%	10	18%	0	0%	56	100%
6	2	12%	4	24%	3	18%	3	18%	5	29%	0	0%	17	100%
7	11	20%	5	9%	12	22%	19	35%	8	15%	0	0%	55	100%
8	60	25%	50	21%	53	22%	54	23%	20	8%	0	0%	237	100%
9	7	18%	7	18%	10	25%	10	25%	6	15%	0	0%	40	100%
10	22	28%	13	17%	17	22%	14	18%	12	15%	0	0%	78	100%
11	5	17%	5	17%	4	13%	6	20%	10	33%	0	0%	30	100%
12	1	4%	4	17%	9	39%	4	17%	5	22%	0	0%	23	100%
13	14	22%	13	20%	11	17%	12	19%	14	22%	0	0%	64	100%
14	4	27%	0	0%	4	27%	6	40%	1	7%	0	0%	15	100%
15	2	11%	3	17%	6	33%	3	17%	4	22%	0	0%	18	100%
16	2	14%	1	7%	5	36%	6	43%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
17	60	18%	63	19%	57	17%	92	28%	58	18%	0	0%	330	100%
18	59	14%	65	16%	92	22%	101	25%	93	23%	0	0%	410	100%
19	58	27%	50	20%	51	20%	55	22%	39	15%	0	0%	253	104%
20	22	13%	24	14%	47	28%	50	30%	24	14%	0	0%	167	100%
21	19	23%	23	28%	13	16%	21	26%	6	7%	0	0%	82	100%
22	2	14%	3	21%	2	14%	3	21%	4	29%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	517	19%	492	18%	590	22%	642	23%	500	18%	0	0%	2,741	100%

¹18+ Years: Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 71:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group¹
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	194	81%	14	6%	4	2%	24	10%	2	1%	2	1%	240	100%
2J	104	36%	87	30%	0	0%	88	30%	3	1%	7	2%	289	100%
3	13	93%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
4	187	63%	69	23%	4	1%	24	8%	0	0%	11	4%	295	100%
5	38	68%	0	0%	0	0%	11	20%	0	0%	7	13%	56	100%
6	10	59%	1	6%	0	0%	1	6%	5	29%	0	0%	17	100%
7	45	82%	3	5%	0	0%	6	11%	0	0%	1	2%	55	100%
8	197	83%	11	5%	0	0%	27	11%	0	0%	2	1%	237	100%
9	32	80%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	7	18%	40	100%
10	52	67%	4	5%	0	0%	16	21%	0	0%	6	8%	78	100%
11	24	80%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	3	10%	30	100%
12	17	74%	1	4%	0	0%	5	22%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
13	53	83%	2	3%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	6	9%	64	100%
14	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%
15	12	67%	0	0%	0	0%	3	17%	0	0%	3	17%	18	100%
16	10	71%	0	0%	0	0%	3	21%	0	0%	1	7%	14	100%
17	242	73%	44	13%	6	2%	29	9%	1	0%	8	2%	330	100%
18	215	52%	105	26%	5	1%	69	17%	1	0%	15	4%	410	100%
19	210	83%	9	4%	1	0%	31	12%	0	0%	2	1%	253	100%
20	138	83%	10	6%	4	2%	12	7%	0	0%	3	2%	167	100%
21	68	83%	1	1%	0	0%	7	9%	1	1%	5	6%	82	100%
22	8	57%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	3	21%	1	7%	14	100%
STATE	1,884	69%	364	13%	24	1%	362	13%	17	1%	90	3%	2,741	100%

¹ **Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report or complaint filed by the district attorney.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 72:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ¹		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ³	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	101	60%	60	36%	6	4%	167	100%
2J	152	62%	82	33%	13	5%	247	100%
3	13	81%	0	0%	3	19%	16	100%
4	260	78%	56	17%	18	5%	334	100%
5	30	86%	4	11%	1	3%	35	100%
6	8	53%	4	27%	3	20%	15	100%
7	27	82%	6	18%	0	0%	33	100%
8	145	82%	31	18%	1	1%	177	100%
9	12	71%	4	24%	1	6%	17	100%
10	89	71%	27	22%	9	7%	125	100%
11	18	64%	7	25%	3	11%	28	100%
12	13	87%	1	7%	1	7%	15	100%
13	42	72%	12	21%	4	7%	58	100%
14	15	88%	2	12%	0	0%	17	100%
15	8	73%	3	27%	0	0%	11	100%
16	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
17	193	72%	56	21%	19	7%	268	100%
18	234	75%	53	17%	24	8%	311	100%
19	162	72%	60	27%	3	1%	225	100%
20	95	85%	15	13%	2	2%	112	100%
21	63	66%	29	30%	4	4%	96	100%
22	6	33%	12	67%	0	0%	18	100%
STATE	1,688	72%	526	23%	115	5%	2,329	100%

¹**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.

²**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

³**Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of juvenile probation closures are included in Table 72.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 73:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Change of Venue ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	101	60%	60	36%	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	169	100%	52	1
2J	152	61%	82	33%	13	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	248	100%	30	2J
3	13	81%	0	0%	3	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%	0	3
4	260	77%	56	17%	18	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	336	100%	20	4
5	30	86%	4	11%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	35	100%	4	5
6	8	50%	4	25%	3	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%	3	6
7	27	82%	6	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%	6	7
8	145	81%	31	17%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	178	100%	22	8
9	12	71%	4	24%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%	2	9
10	89	71%	27	22%	9	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	125	100%	8	10
11	18	64%	7	25%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	28	100%	6	11
12	13	81%	1	6%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%	3	12
13	42	72%	12	21%	4	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	58	100%	8	13
14	15	88%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%	1	14
15	8	73%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	15
16	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	1	16
17	193	71%	56	21%	19	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	271	100%	71	17
18	234	74%	53	17%	24	8%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	0	0%	315	100%	86	18
19	162	72%	60	27%	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	226	100%	38	19
20	95	85%	15	13%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	112	100%	17	20
21	63	65%	29	30%	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	97	100%	5	21
22	6	33%	12	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	0	22
STATE	1,688	72%	526	22%	115	5%	0	0%	0	0%	8	0%	9	0%	2,346	100%	383	STATE

¹**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY 2008 change of venue cases were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track change of venue cases transferred between courts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 74:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ¹		New Misdemeanor ²		Technical ³		TOTAL REVOCATIONS ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2	3%	11	18%	47	78%	60	100%
2J	21	26%	8	10%	53	65%	82	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	13	23%	7	13%	36	64%	56	100%
5	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	4	100%
6	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	4	100%
7	1	17%	1	17%	4	67%	6	100%
8	6	19%	4	13%	21	68%	31	100%
9	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	4	100%
10	7	26%	2	7%	18	67%	27	100%
11	0	0%	2	29%	5	71%	7	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
13	0	0%	2	17%	10	83%	12	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
17	11	20%	7	13%	38	68%	56	100%
18	9	17%	9	17%	35	66%	53	100%
19	6	10%	7	12%	47	78%	60	100%
20	0	0%	1	7%	14	93%	15	100%
21	12	41%	3	10%	14	48%	29	100%
22	0	0%	1	8%	11	92%	12	100%
STATE	92	17%	68	13%	366	70%	526	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 75:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	0-3 months		4-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	43	25%	19	11%	40	24%	21	12%	19	11%	27	16%	169	100%
2J	19	8%	16	6%	81	33%	46	19%	34	14%	52	21%	248	100%
3	0	0%	7	44%	5	31%	2	13%	1	6%	1	6%	16	100%
4	14	4%	18	5%	131	39%	70	21%	45	13%	58	17%	336	100%
5	2	6%	4	11%	16	46%	7	20%	6	17%	0	0%	35	100%
6	2	13%	3	19%	7	44%	1	6%	3	19%	0	0%	16	100%
7	4	12%	2	6%	13	39%	5	15%	3	9%	6	18%	33	100%
8	26	15%	44	25%	66	37%	25	14%	12	7%	5	3%	178	100%
9	0	0%	4	24%	7	41%	2	12%	2	12%	2	12%	17	100%
10	11	9%	29	23%	42	34%	19	15%	12	10%	12	10%	125	100%
11	3	11%	5	18%	9	32%	6	21%	1	4%	4	14%	28	100%
12	3	19%	3	19%	2	13%	5	31%	1	6%	2	13%	16	100%
13	7	12%	7	12%	20	34%	8	14%	6	10%	10	17%	58	100%
14	0	0%	2	12%	6	35%	3	18%	3	18%	3	18%	17	100%
15	0	0%	4	36%	1	9%	4	36%	2	18%	0	0%	11	100%
16	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
17	37	14%	40	15%	95	35%	44	16%	33	12%	22	8%	271	100%
18	55	17%	43	14%	86	32%	43	14%	43	14%	45	14%	315	105%
19	29	13%	42	19%	74	33%	42	19%	19	8%	20	9%	226	100%
20	17	15%	39	35%	42	38%	7	6%	4	4%	3	3%	112	100%
21	7	7%	18	19%	37	38%	16	16%	9	9%	10	10%	97	100%
22	3	17%	1	6%	7	39%	4	22%	2	11%	1	6%	18	100%
STATE	283	12%	350	15%	788	34%	381	16%	260	11%	284	12%	2,346	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 76:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Active Client Risk¹ Levels on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018**

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE JUVENILE CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	6%	39	14%	117	42%	27	10%	68	25%	2	1%	6	2%	276	100%
2J	10	3%	109	29%	117	31%	102	27%	36	10%	0	0%	0	0%	374	100%
3	0	0%	7	39%	5	28%	5	28%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
4	1	0%	87	17%	158	31%	191	37%	49	9%	2	0%	29	6%	517	100%
5	2	3%	19	29%	16	25%	19	29%	5	8%	2	3%	2	3%	65	100%
6	0	0%	2	7%	10	33%	15	50%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%	30	100%
7	3	4%	12	16%	17	23%	36	49%	4	5%	0	0%	1	1%	73	100%
8	11	5%	72	35%	62	30%	44	21%	14	7%	0	0%	3	1%	206	100%
9	4	8%	6	12%	11	22%	19	38%	9	18%	1	2%	0	0%	50	100%
10	1	1%	17	18%	28	29%	20	21%	26	27%	3	3%	0	0%	95	100%
11	0	0%	6	21%	3	11%	8	29%	10	36%	0	0%	1	4%	28	100%
12	1	3%	4	13%	4	13%	14	45%	8	26%	0	0%	0	0%	31	100%
13	3	5%	17	26%	14	22%	21	32%	3	5%	3	5%	4	6%	65	100%
14	2	8%	7	27%	6	23%	4	15%	3	12%	1	4%	3	12%	26	100%
15	0	0%	6	26%	4	17%	9	39%	2	9%	1	4%	1	4%	23	100%
16	0	0%	3	15%	4	20%	10	50%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%
17	2	1%	43	14%	82	26%	106	34%	72	23%	0	0%	8	3%	313	100%
18	21	4%	67	13%	160	31%	190	37%	57	11%	0	0%	25	5%	520	100%
19	11	4%	43	14%	106	35%	101	33%	41	14%	0	0%	0	0%	302	100%
20	5	3%	38	25%	36	24%	51	34%	14	9%	3	2%	3	2%	150	100%
21	2	2%	16	14%	34	29%	38	32%	26	22%	0	0%	1	1%	117	100%
22	0	0%	5	42%	2	17%	3	25%	1	8%	1	8%	0	0%	12	100%
STATE	96	3%	625	19%	996	30%	1,033	31%	453	14%	20	1%	88	3%	3,311	100%

¹**Active Client Risk Levels:** This data includes regular juvenile supervision, juvenile sex offender, problem solving court and mental disorder clients. Detailed supervision levels for each regular juvenile supervision, juveniles who have committed sexual offenses, problem solving court and mental disorder clients can be found on Tables 76 and 77.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percents because of rounding.

**Table 77:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Active Regular Juvenile and Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses
Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018**

Risk Level:	Regular Juvenile Supervision									
	Regular Maximum		Regular Medium		Regular Minimum		Regular Administrative		Regular Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	28	16%	59	33%	25	14%	68	38%	180	100%
2J	83	28%	93	31%	84	28%	36	12%	296	100%
3	3	21%	5	36%	5	36%	1	7%	14	100%
4	80	20%	112	29%	151	39%	48	12%	391	100%
5	14	26%	16	30%	19	35%	5	9%	54	100%
6	2	7%	10	37%	14	52%	1	4%	27	100%
7	7	12%	15	25%	33	56%	4	7%	59	100%
8	53	31%	61	36%	42	25%	14	8%	170	100%
9	6	14%	11	26%	17	40%	8	19%	42	100%
10	16	19%	26	30%	18	21%	26	30%	86	100%
11	5	22%	3	13%	5	22%	10	43%	23	100%
12	3	11%	4	14%	13	46%	8	29%	28	100%
13	10	24%	12	29%	18	44%	1	2%	41	100%
14	7	41%	3	18%	4	24%	3	18%	17	100%
15	6	30%	3	15%	9	45%	2	10%	20	100%
16	1	6%	4	22%	10	56%	3	17%	18	100%
17	33	13%	61	24%	96	37%	69	27%	259	100%
18	60	14%	130	31%	179	42%	57	13%	426	100%
19	40	15%	85	32%	98	37%	40	15%	263	100%
20	36	31%	32	27%	36	31%	14	12%	118	100%
21	14	14%	27	27%	33	33%	26	26%	100	100%
22	5	45%	2	18%	3	27%	1	9%	11	100%
STATE	512	19%	774	29%	912	35%	445	17%	2,643	100%

Risk Level:	Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses (JSO) ¹									
	JSO Maximum		JSO Medium		JSO Minimum		JSO Administrative		JSO Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	11	17%	51	80%	2	3%	0	0%	64	100%
2J	7	16%	21	48%	16	36%	0	0%	44	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	7	7%	46	49%	40	43%	1	1%	94	100%
5	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
7	3	38%	2	25%	3	38%	0	0%	8	100%
8	14	88%	0	0%	2	13%	0	0%	16	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	1	0%	3	0%
10	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
11	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	0	0%	4	100%
12	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
13	4	40%	1	10%	3	30%	2	20%	10	100%
14	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
15	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
16	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
17	4	11%	19	53%	10	28%	3	8%	36	100%
18	7	18%	24	63%	7	18%	0	0%	38	100%
19	3	11%	21	75%	3	11%	1	4%	28	100%
20	0	0%	3	20%	12	80%	0	0%	15	100%
21	2	14%	7	50%	5	36%	0	0%	14	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	71	18%	201	51%	112	29%	8	2%	392	100%

¹This chart does not include juveniles who have committed sexual offenses who have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP). Colorado does not currently have a Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) for juveniles who have committed sexual offenses.


Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 78:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Active Problem Solving Court and Mental Disorder Client Risk Levels
on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018**

Risk Level:	Problem Solving Court (PSC)									
	PSC Maximum		PSC Medium		PSC Minimum		PSC Administrative		PSC TOTAL	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT										
1	0	0%	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
2J	18	78%	3	13%	2	9%	0	0%	23	100%
3	4	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	34	69%	13	27%	2	4%	0	0%	49	100%

Risk Level:	Mental Disorder (MD)									
	MD Maximum		MD Medium		MD Minimum		MD Administrative		MD Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT										
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2J	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	0	0%	6	60%	4	40%	0	0%	10	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
20	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%	0	0%	6	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	8	35%	8	35%	7	30%	0	0%	23	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




Colorado Probation: Intensive Programs Summary

Intensive Probation Programs


Intensive programs are created in statute or otherwise established to provide the courts and probation with community supervision options for higher-risk offenders. Three main goals drive intensive probation programs: to assess criminal risk and target offenders' service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; provide the highest level of supervision available; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Probationers must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being placed in intensive probation programs. These programs offer risk/need assessments, offense-specific treatment, electronic monitoring, and cognitive skills training. Due to the significant number of supervision requirements, and the level of risk, the number of probationers assigned to an intensive program caseload is capped at 18-30 individuals, depending on the program. This section presents an overview of all Intensive Programs. The sections that follow present detailed and summary information pertaining to each of the intensive programs Colorado Probation employs to effectively supervise its clients.

**Chart 15: Probation Intensive Program Active Population as of June 30
Proportion of Probationers by Intensive Program- Ten Year Comparison**


FISCAL YEAR 2009 ACTIVE



FISCAL YEAR 2018 ACTIVE



- Adult Intensive Supervision Probation
- Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation
- Female Offender Program
- Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation




**Colorado Probation:
Adult Intensive
Supervision Probation
(AISP)**

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP) was implemented statewide in FY 1982 as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high risk adult offenders with extensive criminal histories. AISP remains a sentencing option in cases where the offense date occurred before October 1, 2013. Pursuant to HB13-250, offenses committed after October 1, 2013 are subject to eligibility screening and placement in intensive programs. The AISP program targets specific criminogenic needs with intensive interventions and requires accountability, with the goal of long-term behavior change and enhanced public safety. This program may also be used with a probationer who has been under the supervision of probation for a period of time and a reassessment indicates the probationer's risk of re-offense has increased and the probationer meets the acceptance criteria of the intensive program.

In FY 2018 there were 512 new probationers placed in AISP and on June 30, 2018 there were 553 on AISP. In FY 2018 the program successfully terminated 70 probationers from the AISP program who might otherwise have served sentences in jail, Community Corrections or the Department of Corrections. Additionally, 249 probationers successfully completed the intensive program and were transferred to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.



Note: In 2013 statute changes altered the way in which probationers were placed in intensive programs.

**Table 79:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)¹
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	91%	4	9%	0	0%	44	100%
2	89	92%	3	3%	5	5%	97	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	46	85%	8	15%	0	0%	54	100%
5	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	25	89%	3	11%	0	0%	28	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	22	79%	6	21%	0	0%	28	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
13	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	8	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
17	76	92%	7	8%	0	0%	83	100%
18	52	91%	3	5%	2	4%	57	100%
19	58	88%	6	9%	2	3%	66	100%
20	20	83%	3	13%	1	4%	24	100%
21	10	100%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	455	89%	47	9%	10	2%	512	100%

¹**Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP):** Adult Intensive Supervision Probation includes those sentenced to intensive probation by the court (before 10/1/2013) and those placed in intensive probation post-sentence (after 10/1/2013). On October 1, 2013, statute changed the way in which probationers were placed in intensive programs. Adult Intensive Supervision Probation is a community based supervision program designed for higher risk probationers.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 80:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ¹	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	TYPE:								
Number	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
19	1	4	9%	35	76%	7	15%	46	100%
113	2	18	34%	14	26%	21	40%	53	100%
0	3	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
11	4	1	10%	7	70%	2	20%	10	100%
0	5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
0	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
24	8	6	19%	18	58%	7	23%	31	100%
1	9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	10	2	8%	18	72%	5	20%	25	100%
0	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	12	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%
6	13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
23	17	15	27%	28	51%	12	22%	55	100%
19	18	9	18%	35	69%	7	14%	51	100%
16	19	4	16%	16	64%	5	20%	25	100%
2	20	6	60%	3	30%	1	10%	10	100%
3	21	3	23%	6	46%	4	31%	13	100%
0	22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
249	STATE	70	22%	182	56%	73	22%	325	100%

¹**Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation:** In FY2018 those transferring to regular probation have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately. In previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 94.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on AISP and are no longer on probation.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes AISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from AISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 80.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 81:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	District
1	19	26%	4	6%	7	10%	5	7%	35	49%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	72	100%	4	1
2	113	66%	18	11%	21	12%	5	3%	14	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	171	100%	2	2
3	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	3
4	11	50%	1	5%	2	9%	1	5%	7	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	4	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	24	38%	6	9%	7	11%	6	9%	18	28%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	2	3%	64	100%	5	8
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	9
10	11	26%	2	5%	5	12%	5	12%	18	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	42	100%	5	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	1	17%	0	0%	1	17%	2	33%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	12
13	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	23	26%	15	17%	12	14%	8	9%	28	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	88	100%	17	17
18	19	24%	9	12%	7	9%	7	9%	35	45%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	78	100%	2	18
19	16	33%	4	8%	5	10%	8	16%	16	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	49	100%	6	19
20	2	17%	6	50%	1	8%	0	0%	3	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	2	20
21	3	16%	3	16%	4	21%	3	16%	6	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%	2	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	22
TOTAL	249	39%	70	11%	73	12%	50	8%	182	29%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	5	1%	633	100%	51	STATE

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 82:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	7	20%	5	14%	23	66%	35	100%
2	4	29%	2	14%	8	57%	14	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	4	57%	1	14%	2	29%	7	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	28%	4	22%	9	50%	18	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	7	39%	6	33%	5	28%	18	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	4	14%	7	25%	17	61%	28	100%
18	13	37%	7	20%	15	43%	35	100%
19	6	38%	5	31%	5	31%	16	100%
20	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3	100%
21	3	50%	2	33%	1	17%	6	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	53	29%	41	23%	88	48%	182	100%


¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



**Colorado Probation:
Sex Offender Intensive
Supervision Probation
(SOISP)**

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in 1998 to address the risk posed by lifetime supervision cases, the Colorado Legislature made a significant change to the statute in 2001. Pursuant to HB01-1229, all felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001 are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP).

The goal of intensive supervision probation for any probationer is to minimize the risk to the public to the greatest extent possible by holding probationers accountable for their present and past anti-social and criminal behavior, decreasing risk factors and increasing protective factors along with encouraging pro-social skill building, and assisting the probationer's ability to repair the harm caused by their actions, when possible. SOISP includes a combination of high level surveillance and monitoring; evidenced-based and best practice supervision strategies; physiological monitoring; and collaboration with Community Supervision Teams. Some sex offenders cannot or will not respond to treatment and there is no expectation that all sex offenders can be successful in treatment. Depending on the probationer, elements of community supervision may include restricted activities, daily contact with the probationer, curfew checks, home visitation, employment visitation and monitoring, drug and alcohol screening, and/or sex offense specific treatment to include the use of polygraph testing. SOISP consists of three phases, each with specific criteria that must be met prior to a reduction in the level of supervision. Movement within all phases is behaviorally-based and guided by specific criteria. Caseload sizes are capped at 25 probationers, for a program capacity of 1,150.

In FY 2018 there were 419 probationers sentenced to SOISP and on June 30, 2018 there were 1,412 probationers on SOISP. In FY 2018 the program successfully terminated 81 probationers (42%) from the SOISP program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections. Additionally, 75 probationers successfully completed SOISP and were transitioned to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.




Table 83:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2018

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	63	98%	0	0%	1	2%	64	100%
2	98	92%	7	7%	1	1%	106	100%
3	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
4	55	87%	8	13%	0	0%	63	100%
5	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	37	90%	3	7%	1	2%	41	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	11	100%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
11	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
13	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	22	96%	1	4%	0	0%	23	100%
18	52	93%	4	7%	0	0%	56	100%
19	15	71%	6	29%	0	0%	21	100%
20	12	100%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
21	7	88%	0	0%	1	13%	8	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	386	92%	29	7%	4	1%	419	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 84:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018

Note: Approximately one-half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years). Therefore, it is important to consider terminations within the context of the active SOISP caseload. Many more probationers are being successfully supervised (1,412) on SOISP than are terminating unsuccessfully (110) as reflected below in the 6/30/2018 active caseload and termination table.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) Active as of 6/30/2018 ¹		Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ²	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ⁴		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁵	
			Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked			
DISTRICT	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	154	4	17	46%	18	49%	2	5%
2	172	8	9	19%	29	60%	10	21%
3	1	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	246	20	8	30%	15	56%	4	15%
5	16	4	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
6	18	1	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%
7	3	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	130	7	5	45%	6	55%	0	0%
9	9	3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	51	0	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%
11	30	1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	10	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	23	1	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%
14	1	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	3	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	6	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	93	8	13	72%	3	17%	2	11%
18	233	7	10	45%	10	45%	2	9%
19	92	0	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%
20	64	2	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%
21	49	9	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%
22	8	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	1,412	75	81	42%	90	47%	20	10%

¹Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Section.

²Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation: In FY2018 the transition program completions have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 94.

³Successful Termination: This column includes those probationers that terminated from probation while on SOISP and are no longer on probation.

⁴Unsuccessful Terminations: These columns include SOISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from SOISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These probationers are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁵Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of SOISP discharges are included in Table 83.

**Table 85:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to: Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Number	DISTRICT
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	4	7%	17	30%	2	4%	13	23%	18	32%	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	56	100%	8	1
2	8	13%	9	14%	10	16%	3	5%	29	46%	1	2%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0%	63	100%	1	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	20	37%	8	15%	4	7%	3	6%	15	28%	0	0%	4	7%	0	0%	0	0%	54	100%	2	4
5	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	0	5
6	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	7	33%	5	24%	0	0%	2	10%	6	29%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	21	100%	0	8
9	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	9
10	0	0%	8	89%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	0	10
11	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	8	28%	13	45%	2	7%	2	7%	3	10%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%	1	17
18	7	16%	10	23%	2	5%	11	25%	10	23%	2	5%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%	3	18
19	0	0%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	20%	4	40%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	0	19
20	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	50%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	20
21	9	53%	6	35%	0	0%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	75	23%	81	25%	20	6%	36	11%	90	28%	9	3%	11	3%	4	1%	0	0%	326	100%	15	TOTAL

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. These numbers are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 86:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2	11%	3	17%	13	72%	18	100%
2	1	3%	0	0%	28	97%	29	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	5	33%	0	0%	10	67%	15	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%	6	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%
18	0	0%	1	10%	9	90%	10	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
20	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	12	13%	8	9%	70	78%	90	100%


¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Colorado Probation: Female Offender Program (FOP)

Female Offender Program

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991 to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995 the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding to 10 judicial districts to continue FOP. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender responsive case management to include frequent contact, skill building, employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring, and participation in treatment, as needed. The program was terminated in FY 2004 as a result of budget reductions. Prior to the program's termination there was a 0% recidivism rate for one year following termination from probation, for those offenders that successfully completed the program in FY 2001 and FY 2002. In FY 2005 the program was again funded to previous levels and eventually expanded statewide. The number of women assigned to each FOP officer is capped at 30. In FY 2018 there were 312 female probationers placed in FOP and on June 30, 2018 there were 348 women in the program. In FY 2018, 37 women successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in jail, community corrections, or the Department of Corrections. Additionally, 72 probationers successfully completed FOP and were transitioned to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.

**New Probationers: Female Offender Program (FOP)
Fiscal Years 2009 to 2018**




Table 87:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2018

DISTRICT	Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	93%	3	7%	0	0%	43	100%
2	23	88%	3	12%	0	0%	26	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	21	95%	1	5%	0	0%	22	100%
5	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	26	96%	1	4%	0	0%	27	100%
9	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
10	25	89%	3	11%	0	0%	28	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	63	97%	2	3%	0	0%	65	100%
18	18	86%	3	14%	0	0%	21	100%
19	29	97%	1	3%	0	0%	30	100%
20	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	18	100%
21	18	95%	1	5%	0	0%	19	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	292	94%	20	6%	0	0%	312	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 88:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ¹	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked		Absconded				
	TYPE:	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
5	1	5	26%	10	53%	4	21%	19	100%
4	2	5	31%	3	19%	8	50%	16	100%
0	3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	4	0	0%	7	88%	1	13%	8	100%
1	5	2	25%	3	38%	3	38%	8	100%
0	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2	8	0	0%	2	0%	1	0%	3	0%
0	9	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
4	10	8	42%	11	58%	0	0%	19	100%
0	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	13	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
0	14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	17	7	29%	12	50%	5	21%	24	100%
7	18	3	30%	5	50%	2	20%	10	100%
6	19	1	8%	11	92%	0	0%	12	100%
11	20	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
4	21	3	20%	9	60%	3	20%	15	100%
0	22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
72	STATE	37	27%	75	54%	27	19%	139	100%

¹**Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation:** In FY2018 those transferring to regular probation have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately. In previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 94.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on FOP and are no longer on probation.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes FOP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from AISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of of FOP discharges are included in Table 88.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 89:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Successful Program Completion		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		#	DISTRICT
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	5	13%	5	13%	4	11%	7	18%	10	26%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	6	16%	38	100%	3	1
2	4	17%	5	22%	8	35%	2	9%	3	13%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%	3	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	8	40%	0	0%	1	5%	4	20%	7	35%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	1	4
5	1	11%	2	22%	3	33%	0	0%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	1	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	2	22%	0	0%	1	11%	3	33%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	9	100%	1	8
9	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	9
10	4	15%	8	31%	0	0%	2	8%	11	42%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%	4	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	19	35%	7	13%	5	9%	11	20%	12	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	55	100%	5	17
18	7	37%	3	16%	2	11%	2	11%	5	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%	2	18
19	6	19%	1	3%	0	0%	10	32%	11	35%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	2	6%	31	100%	1	19
20	11	73%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	15	100%	0	20
21	4	16%	3	12%	3	12%	6	24%	9	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%	2	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	72	26%	37	14%	27	10%	48	18%	75	27%	0	0%	4	1%	0	0%	11	4%	274	100%	23	TOTAL

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 90:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	30%	2	20%	5	50%	10	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	3	43%	0	0%	4	57%	7	100%
5	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	1	9%	4	36%	6	55%	11	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	17%	2	17%	8	67%	12	100%
18	0	0%	3	60%	2	40%	5	100%
19	3	27%	1	9%	7	64%	11	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
21	3	33%	2	22%	4	44%	9	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	17	23%	15	20%	43	57%	75	100%


¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




**Colorado Probation:
Juvenile Intensive
Supervision Probation
(JISP)**

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program sufficient to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building, and treatment services, as required. The number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY 2018 there were 136 juveniles sentenced to JISP and on June 30, 2018 there were 183 juveniles on JISP. In FY 2018, 28 juveniles successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Services. Additionally, 38 juveniles successfully completed JISP and were transitioned to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.

**New Probationers: Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Fiscal Years 2009 to 2018**



**Table 91:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Change of Venue		Interstate Transfer to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	5	42%	7	58%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
2J	32	71%	13	29%	0	0%	0	0%	45	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	11	48%	12	52%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
9	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
10	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	8	38%	13	62%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%
18	15	75%	5	25%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%
19	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	75	55%	61	45%	0	0%	0	0%	136	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 92:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018

Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ¹	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	TYPE:	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Number	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
7	1	0	0%	12	92%	1	8%	13	100%
2	2J	13	46%	15	54%	0	0%	28	100%
0	3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	4	5	24%	15	71%	1	5%	21	100%
0	5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	8	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
0	9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	10	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
0	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	13	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
0	14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	17	1	5%	16	80%	3	15%	20	100%
0	18	1	6%	15	94%	0	0%	16	100%
0	19	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
0	20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	22	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
38	STATE	28	25%	81	71%	5	4%	114	100%

¹**Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation:** In FY2018 those transferring to regular probation have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately. In previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 94.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on JISP and are no longer on probation.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes JISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from JISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of JISP discharges are included in Table 92.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 93:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Change of Venue ¹	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	DISTRICT
1	7	35%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	12	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	2	1
2J	2	7%	13	43%	0	0%	0	0%	15	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%	14	2J
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	11	34%	5	16%	1	3%	0	0%	15	47%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	32	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	1	11%	6	67%	0	0%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	1	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	16	44%	1	3%	3	8%	0	0%	16	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	36	100%	5	17
18	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	15	94%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%	8	18
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	19
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	20
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	22
TOTAL	38	25%	28	18%	5	3%	0	0%	81	53%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	152	100%	30	TOTAL

¹**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY 2008 change of venue cases were removed from "Total Discharges" as they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. These numbers are reported separately to track the number of change of venue cases between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 94:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	25%	1	8%	8	67%	12	100%
2J	4	27%	2	13%	9	60%	15	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	5	33%	1	7%	9	60%	15	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	5	31%	3	19%	8	50%	16	100%
18	6	40%	2	13%	7	47%	15	100%
19	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	4	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
TOTAL	24	30%	10	12%	47	58%	81	100%


¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Colorado Probation: Intensive Programs Summary

**Table 95:
Colorado State Probation
Intensive Program Completion Transferred to Regular Probation
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)		Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)		Female Offender Program (FOP)		Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)		TOTAL TRANSFERS TO REGULAR PROBATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	19	54%	4	11%	5	14%	7	20%	35	100%
2A ¹	113 ²	90%	8	6%	4	3%			125	100%
2J ³							2	100%	2	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	11	22%	20	40%	8	16%	11	22%	50	100%
5	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%
6	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	24	71%	7	21%	2	6%	1	3%	34	100%
9	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
10	11	73%	0	0%	4	27%	0	0%	15	100%
11	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	6	67%	1	11%	1	11%	1	11%	9	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	23	35%	8	12%	19	29%	16	24%	66	100%
18	19	58%	7	21%	7	21%	0	0%	33	100%
19	16	73%	0	0%	6	27%	0	0%	22	100%
20	2	13%	2	13%	11	73%	0	0%	15	100%
21	3	19%	9	56%	4	25%	0	0%	16	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	249	57%	75	17%	72	17%	38	9%	434	100%

¹2A: Denver Adult Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises adult probationers.

²Denver Adult Probation: In June of 2018, Denver Adult Probation confirmed that they transferred a large number of probationers from intensive (AISP) to regular supervision.

³2J: Denver Juvenile Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises juvenile probationers.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 96:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Active Client Summary on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018

DISTRICT	Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)		Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) ¹		Female Offender Program (FOP)		Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) ²		TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	56	19%	154	52%	79	26%	10	3%	299	100%
2A ³	51	21%	172	70%	24	10%			247	100%
2J ⁴							62	100%	48	100%
3	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%
4	66	19%	246	70%	23	7%	18	5%	353	100%
5	4	16%	16	64%	5	20%	0	0%	25	100%
6	0	0%	18	100%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
7	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
8	34	18%	130	68%	26	14%	1	1%	191	100%
9	1	9%	9	82%	1	9%	0	0%	11	100%
10	26	24%	51	46%	32	29%	1	1%	110	100%
11	0	0%	30	100%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%
12	2	17%	10	83%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
13	12	24%	23	46%	13	26%	2	4%	50	100%
14	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
15	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
16	4	40%	6	60%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
17	96	32%	93	31%	67	22%	43	14%	299	100%
18	81	22%	233	63%	20	5%	38	10%	372	100%
19	72	37%	92	47%	24	12%	6	3%	194	100%
20	30	27%	64	57%	19	17%	0	0%	113	100%
21	12	16%	49	64%	15	20%	0	0%	76	100%
22	2	18%	8	73%	0	0%	1	9%	11	100%
TOTAL	553	22%	1,412	57%	348	14%	183	7%	2,496	100%


¹**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation:** The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Tables.

²**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation:** This number may include juveniles who have committed sexual offenses that have been sentenced to JISP.

³**2A:** Denver Adult Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises adult probationers.

⁴**2J:** Denver Juvenile Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises juvenile probationers.


Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Colorado Probation: Victim Services

Victim Services

In FY 1993 Colorado’s General Assembly enacted victims’ rights legislation for victims of personal crimes. In 1996, the Victims’ Rights Act was amended to require Colorado Probation departments to provide victim services. Each of the state’s 23 probation departments provides services to eligible victims, who request to be notified, of critical events. In addition to providing referrals and general assistance, in FY 2018 Probation Victim Services Officers sent letters to 17,536 victims of crime informing them of their right to receive notification. Of these victims, 17% (3,055) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. In FY 2018, there were a total of 14,329 critical probation notification events that occurred.



**Table 97:
Colorado Probation
Summary of Victim Services¹
Fiscal Year 2014 to Fiscal Year 2018**

	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
VICTIM SERVICES²					
Initial Notifications Sent	15,735	15,757	16,920	17,832	17,536
Notification Requests Returned	2,846	2,989	2,992	2,869	3,055
Percent of Victims Requesting Notification	18%	19%	18%	16%	17%
VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS³					
Date of Probation Termination	2,565	2,685	2,351	2,456	2,364
Early Termination Requests	388	413	470	539	525
Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	6,668	6,475	5,997	6,905	7,180
Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)			903	437	439
Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	691	879	723	585	529
Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	636	544	542	1,039	971
Abscond/Warrant	2,191	1,757	2,029	2,606	2,303
Death of a Probationer	41	48	59	45	42
TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS	13,180	12,801	13,074	14,612	14,353

¹**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Beginning in FY 2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY 2007 the VRA stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session, this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification was enacted: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the probationer that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department". In FY 2016 the probation departments began coding this critical stage in "Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)".

²**VICTIM SERVICES:** These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

³**VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS:** These numbers include all of the statutorily (§24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision. These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

**Table 98:
Colorado Probation
Victims Services
Notifications for Fiscal Year 2018**

District	Initial Notification Sent ¹	Notification Requests Returned	Percent of Victims Requesting Notification
1	1,848	568	31%
2A	1,443	165	11%
2J	85	2	2%
3	114	55	48%
4	2,631	458	17%
5	412	7	2%
6	322	31	10%
7	685	31	5%
8	1,146	312	27%
9	89	12	13%
10	859	58	7%
11	379	42	11%
12	319	18	6%
13	515	91	18%
14	198	7	4%
15	93	3	3%
16	187	23	12%
17	2,414	587	24%
18	503	225	45%
19	1,745	110	6%
20	1,272	184	14%
21	5	31	620%
22	272	35	13%
STATE	17,536	3,055	17%


¹ **Initial Notification Sent:** Initial notification may be done by state probation or private probation. In some circumstances initial notification may be done in conjunction with the District Attorney's Office and may not be captured in this table.

**Table 99:
Colorado Probation
Victim Services¹
Notification of Critical Probation Event² Fiscal Year 2018**

District	Date of Probation Termination	Early Termination Requests	Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)	Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	Abscond/Warrant	Death of a Probationer	TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS
1	408	92	1,314	45	84	89	339	10	2,381
2A	94	14	263	30	18	19	123	0	561
2J	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	4
3	39	1	82	16	6	49	30	0	223
4	187	4	437	24	41	126	132	3	954
5	17	11	34	7	3	13	7	0	92
6	32	11	30	3	0	7	4	0	87
7	38	9	55	25	9	82	4	0	222
8	261	35	1,118	71	83	130	807	7	2,512
9	21	5	28	1	0	16	10	2	83
10	61	28	62	4	32	27	34	2	250
11	43	6	222	18	10	44	30	1	374
12	15	10	36	5	1	5	5	0	77
13	75	7	316	3	6	61	75	0	543
14	14	1	23	0	3	11	9	1	62
15	8	5	6	2	3	0	0	0	24
16	4	1	17	2	2	1	5	0	32
17	444	12	869	18	97	48	348	5	1,841
18	217	43	1,036	19	41	90	126	2	1,574
19	159	20	734	47	23	47	86	3	1,119
20	180	199	300	98	39	67	73	3	959
21	23	8	105	1	16	22	26	3	204
22	23	3	92	0	12	16	29	0	175
STATE	2,364	525	7,180	439	529	971	2,303	42	14,353

¹**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Beginning in FY 2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY 2007 the VRA stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session, this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification was enacted: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the probationers that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department". In FY 2016 the probation departments began coding this critical stage in "Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)".

²**VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS:** These numbers include all of the statutorily (§24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision. These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.




**Colorado Probation:
Alcohol and Drug
Driving Safety
Program (ADDS)**

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)

In FY 2007 the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program was fully integrated within each probation department. The ADDS program conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence of, or impaired by, drugs or alcohol. In FY 2018 the program completed 18,094 DUI/DWAI Evaluations for Colorado’s district and county courts, excluding Denver County. In FY 2018 there were 2,147 offenders sentenced to monitoring. On June 30, 2018 there were 3,559 DUI/DWAI offenders being monitored by State Probation. In FY 2018 state probation successfully terminated 1,588 (86%) DUI monitored offenders. Other DUI/DWAI offenders who were sentenced to regular probation supervision are included in the Adult Probation section but are not separately identified.

**New Probationers Sentenced to Monitoring
Fiscal Years 2009 to 2018**



**Table 100:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed pre- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed post- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence Revoked and Reinstated		Monitoring Deferred Sentence		TOTAL Monitoring	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	7	39%	4	22%	0	0%	7	39%	18	100%
4	0	0%	16	94%	1	6%	0	0%	17	100%
5	13	3%	442	97%	1	0%	1	0%	457	100%
6	0	0%	137	63%	0	0%	80	37%	217	100%
7	43	14%	262	82%	13	4%	0	0%	318	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
9	14	3%	452	95%	4	1%	4	1%	474	100%
10	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	1	13%	1	13%	4	50%	2	25%	8	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
14	112	47%	113	47%	5	2%	10	4%	240	100%
15	0	0%	29	78%	0	0%	8	22%	37	100%
16	25	74%	1	3%	0	0%	8	24%	34	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	45	15%	249	82%	9	3%	0	0%	303	100%
22	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	12	86%	14	100%
STATE	261	12%	1,708	80%	46	2%	132	6%	2,147	100%

¹2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 101:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	5	28%	13	72%	0	0%	18	100%
4	4	24%	13	76%	0	0%	17	100%
5	121	26%	336	74%	0	0%	457	100%
6	69	32%	148	68%	0	0%	217	100%
7	88	28%	229	72%	1	0%	318	100%
8	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
9	122	26%	351	74%	1	0%	474	100%
10	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%	8	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
14	68	28%	172	72%	0	0%	240	100%
15	12	32%	25	68%	0	0%	37	100%
16	8	24%	26	76%	0	0%	34	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
19	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	84	28%	219	72%	0	0%	303	100%
22	3	21%	11	79%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	590	27%	1,555	72%	2	0%	2,147	100%

¹2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 102:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group¹
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ²	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	14	78%	2	11%	0	0%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
4	14	82%	2	12%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
5	405	89%	9	2%	4	1%	33	7%	0	0%	6	1%	457	100%
6	163	75%	4	2%	0	0%	21	10%	24	11%	5	2%	217	100%
7	282	89%	3	1%	2	1%	28	9%	1	0%	2	1%	318	100%
8	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
9	434	92%	6	1%	1	0%	31	7%	0	0%	2	0%	474	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
14	219	91%	3	1%	0	0%	6	3%	1	0%	11	5%	240	100%
15	27	73%	3	8%	0	0%	5	14%	1	3%	1	3%	37	100%
16	28	82%	0	0%	0	0%	6	18%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
19	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	277	91%	8	3%	1	0%	15	5%	1	0%	1	0%	303	100%
22	8	57%	0	0%	0	0%	2	14%	4	29%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	1,885	88%	41	2%	10	0%	151	7%	32	1%	28	1%	2,147	100%

¹ **Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed with the court.

²: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 103:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	2	11%	4	22%	5	28%	3	17%	4	22%	0	0%	18	100%
4	0	0%	1	6%	2	12%	5	29%	2	12%	7	41%	0	0%	17	100%
5	4	1%	24	5%	85	19%	170	37%	68	15%	106	23%	0	0%	457	100%
6	5	2%	19	9%	50	23%	67	31%	36	17%	40	18%	0	0%	217	100%
7	4	1%	26	8%	81	25%	86	27%	38	12%	83	26%	0	0%	318	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
9	8	2%	39	8%	108	23%	122	26%	74	16%	123	26%	0	0%	474	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	63%	3	38%	0	0%	8	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
14	0	0%	18	8%	45	19%	89	37%	33	14%	55	23%	0	0%	240	100%
15	0	0%	6	16%	10	27%	8	22%	5	14%	8	22%	0	0%	37	100%
16	0	0%	1	3%	4	12%	13	38%	7	21%	9	26%	0	0%	34	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	3	1%	36	12%	69	23%	80	26%	45	15%	70	23%	0	0%	303	100%
22	0	0%	1	7%	1	7%	6	43%	1	7%	5	36%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	24	1%	174	8%	459	21%	653	30%	320	15%	517	24%	0	0%	2,147	100%

¹2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 104:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴	
	Successful		Revocation		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
4	2	25%	5	63%	1	13%	8	100%
5	369	91%	3	1%	35	9%	407	100%
6	122	81%	8	5%	20	13%	150	100%
7	283	85%	7	2%	44	13%	334	100%
8	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
9	332	88%	21	6%	26	7%	379	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%	5	100%
14	148	88%	6	4%	14	8%	168	100%
15	20	80%	1	4%	4	16%	25	100%
16	31	91%	0	0%	3	9%	34	100%
17	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	5	56%	4	44%	0	0%	9	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	231	83%	0	0%	49	18%	280	100%
22	30	81%	0	0%	7	19%	37	100%
STATE	1,588	86%	56	3%	204	11%	1,848	100%

¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

² **Successful Terminations:** This column is exclusively state successful terminations.

³ **Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴ **Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful, revocations and absconder terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of closures are included in Table 104.

**Table 105:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
4	2	11%	5	28%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	10	56%	18	100%
5	369	90%	3	1%	35	9%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	409	100%
6	122	81%	8	5%	20	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	151	100%
7	283	83%	7	2%	44	13%	0	0%	4	1%	2	1%	340	100%
8	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
9	332	87%	21	6%	26	7%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	380	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
14	148	80%	6	3%	14	8%	0	0%	2	1%	14	8%	184	100%
15	20	77%	1	4%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	26	100%
16	31	91%	0	0%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%
17	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
19	5	56%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	231	83%	0	0%	49	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	280	100%
22	30	81%	0	0%	7	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%
STATE	1,588	84%	56	3%	204	11%	1	0%	7	0%	31	2%	1,887	100%

¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 106:
Colorado State Adult Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ²		New Misdemeanor ³		Technical ⁴		TOTAL REVOCATIONS ⁵	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
5	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	8	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	5	24%	16	76%	21	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	1	17%	1	17%	4	67%	6	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	4	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	3	5%	7	13%	46	82%	56	100%

¹ **2:** In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

² **New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on monitoring.

³ **New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on monitoring.

⁴ **Technical:** Includes revocations for technical violations (e.g. failure to complete treatment, failure to complete community service).

⁵ **Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from monitoring.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 107:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2018**

TYPE:	0-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25-48 months		49+ months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
4	11	61%	1	6%	5	28%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
5	105	26%	172	42%	90	22%	18	4%	23	6%	1	0%	409	100%
6	4	3%	30	20%	77	51%	30	20%	10	7%	0	0%	151	100%
7	33	10%	185	54%	57	17%	47	14%	18	5%	0	0%	340	100%
8	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
9	73	19%	140	37%	79	21%	36	9%	50	13%	2	1%	380	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
14	26	14%	56	30%	35	19%	30	16%	32	17%	5	3%	184	100%
15	2	8%	7	27%	11	42%	4	15%	2	8%	0	0%	26	100%
16	13	38%	16	47%	5	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
19	0	0%	1	11%	2	22%	0	0%	1	11%	5	56%	9	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	32	11%	50	18%	70	25%	62	22%	59	21%	7	3%	280	100%
22	4	11%	16	43%	11	30%	5	14%	1	3%	0	0%	37	100%
STATE	304	16%	683	36%	447	24%	235	12%	197	10%	21	1%	1,887	100%


¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Table 108:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2018
Fiscal Year 2018**

DISTRICT	NUMBER	Percent of the state total
1	9	0%
2 ¹	0	0%
3	28	1%
4	441	12%
5	450	13%
6	379	11%
7	428	12%
8	79	2%
9	635	18%
10	24	1%
11	17	0%
12	0	0%
13	7	0%
14	385	11%
15	66	2%
16	39	1%
17	0	0%
18	2	0%
19	12	0%
20	5	0%
21	539	15%
22	14	0%
STATE	3,559	100%

¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.



**Section IX:
Glossary of Terms**

Probation Glossary of Terms

Absconder:	Refers to a probationer who become a fugitive and is no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Adjudication:	Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is “conviction.”
Administrative Investigations:	A supplemental report to a Pre- Sentence Investigation Report addressing additional cases.
Administrative Closure:	Indicates a case that has been terminated from supervision in the jurisdiction indicated for miscellaneous reasons such as, “in custody in another jurisdiction.”
Administrative Client Risk Level:	An assigned supervision level indicating lower supervision need by probation because of other more intensive “supervision” being provided elsewhere (such as jail or an inpatient treatment facility) or minimal conditions of the sentence remain and more intensive supervision is no longer necessary.
Change of Venue:	Occurs when a juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another where the juvenile will receive probation supervision. Change of Venue typically occurs because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.
Client Risk Level:	Probationers are classified using a risk assessment instrument. The primary instrument used for adults is the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory). The primary juvenile instrument is the CJRA (Colorado Juvenile Risk Assessment). These instruments determine the level of supervision for a probationer (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).
Courtesy Closure:	A case that has closed in the supervising jurisdiction and returned to the originating or sentencing district (adult only).
Courtesy Supervision:	Occurs when an adult probationer has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction. The originating district retains jurisdiction over the case.

Probation Glossary of Terms

Community Corrections: A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community corrections sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case manager. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer (adult only).

Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication: Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.

Direct File: A case filed by a District Attorney in which a juvenile is prosecuted as an adult.

Intake: The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of a pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.

Interstate: Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in a state other than where they reside. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to their state of residence and are screened by the Interstate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.

Investigations: An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies.

Length of Stay: The period of time an offender is on probation supervision.

Monitoring: DUI/DWAI offenders who, as a part of their sentence, are required to have their compliance with court orders monitored by the probation department.

Probation Glossary of Terms

- Revoked:** The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court.
- Success:** Successful terminations represent those offenders who meet their Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired.
- Technical Violation:** Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of probation for reasons other than a new crime (e.g. drug use, missed appointments etc.).
- Transfer Hearing:** A judicial proceeding in which the court makes a determination after a hearing to prosecute a juvenile as an adult.

Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

Civil Appeal-A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.

Criminal Appeal-A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.

Habeas Corpus-(Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum) “An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person's custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent.”

Interlocutories-An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.

Interrogatories-Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer “important questions upon solemn occasions” propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called “interrogatories.”

Judicial Discipline or Disability-Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.

Original Proceedings-Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a “rule to show cause” why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).

Petitions in Certiorari-A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme

Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.

Reapportionment-Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the senate and the house of representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the general assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court's reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court's requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.

Request for Stay Pending Appeal-A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.

Rule 21.1-This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.

Special Concurrences-An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.

Statutory Reviews-Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.

Unauthorized Practice-The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized practice of law within the state (see C.R.C.P. 229 through 240.1).

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Abatement of action-A suit, which has been quashed and ended.

Accord-A method of discharging a claim upon agreement by the parties to give and accept something in settlement of the claim.

Acquittal-The verdict of not guilty for a defendant in a criminal case.

Actual malice-To win a defamation suit, public officials or prominent people, such as political candidates or movie stars, must prove that the offender made a false statement with actual malice. This means the statement was made with knowledge that it was false or with serious doubts about whether it was true.

Addendum-An add-on to something. For example, on a court document, if changes need to be made or information added, a new document can be written up, signed, and filed as an addendum to the original document.

Administrator-An individual appointed by the court to manage the estate of a person who died without leaving a valid will.

Administrative Support Order-Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social.

Adversary system-The system of trial practice in which each of the opposing parties has full opportunity to present and establish his/her contention before the court.

Advisement-The consultation of the court.

Affidavit-A written or printed statement of facts, made voluntarily, and confirmed by the oath or affirmation of the party making it, taken before a person having authority to administer such oath or affirmation by law.

Agent-A person who has received the power to act on behalf of another, bind that other person as if he or she were himself or herself making the decisions.

In Probate: An attorney in fact under a durable or non-durable power of attorney, an individual authorized to make decisions for another under the "Colorado Patient Autonomy Act".

Aggravated damages-Special and highly exceptional damages awarded by a court where the circumstances of the conduct have been particularly humiliating or malicious towards the Plaintiff/victim.

Alimony-Also called maintenance or spousal support in a divorce or separation. Money paid by one spouse to the other in order to provide financial support after a divorce or legal separation.

Allegation-The assertion, claim, declaration, or statement of a party to an action, made in a pleading, setting out what he/she expects to prove.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Allocation of parental responsibilities-Commonly known as "custody". In divorce, legal separation, or custody actions regarding children, the Court will allocate parental rights and responsibilities for the care of the children.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)-Methods for resolving problems without going to court.

Ancillary Proceedings-A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.

Annulment-To make void or null; abolish; cancel; invalidate a marriage. The legal term is "Declaration of Invalidity of Marriage".

Answer-The pleading in a civil suit by which the defendant admits, denies or otherwise controverts the sufficiency of the allegations of facts set forth in the plaintiff's petition. It also contains defenses the defendant may have to the plaintiff's allegations.

Appeal-A request by the losing party in a lawsuit for a higher court to review a lower court decision.

Appearance-When you physically appear or show up in Court.

Appellant-The party who appeals a trial court decision that he/she/it has lost.

Appellee-In some jurisdictions the name used for the party who has won at the trial court level, but the loser (appellant) has appealed the decision to a higher court. Thus, the **appellee** has to file a response to the legal brief filed by the appellant. In many jurisdictions the appellee is called the "respondent."

Applicant-A person who files an Application for Informal Appointment of a Personal Representative.

Application-A written request to the registrar for an order of informal probate or appointment under informal probate and appointment proceedings.

Appurtenance-Something that, although detached, stands as part of another thing. An attachment or appendage to something else. Used often in real estate context where an "appurtenance" may be, for example, a right of way over water – although physically detached, is part of the legal rights of the owner of another property.

Arbitration-The referral of a dispute to an impartial third person chosen by the parties to the dispute. The parties agree in advance to abide by the arbitrator's decision following a hearing at which both parties have an opportunity to be heard. Sometimes three persons sit as an arbitration panel.

Arrears-A debt that is not paid on the due date adds up and accumulates as "arrears."

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Assignment-The transfer of legal rights, such as the time left on a lease, from one person to another.

Assumption of risk-A defense raised in personal injury lawsuits. Asserts that the plaintiff knew that a particular activity was dangerous and thus bears all responsibility for any injury that resulted.

Attachment-An additional or supplementary remedy that allows the plaintiff to place a lien on property belonging to the defendant to make sure there will be means of satisfying (paying) a judgment that may be entered in a civil case.

Bad faith-Intent to deceive. Dishonesty or fraud in a transaction, such as entering into an agreement with no intention of ever living up to its terms or knowingly misrepresenting the quality of something that is being bought or sold.

Bailiff-Court employee whose duty is to keep order in the courtroom.

Bankruptcy-A process governed by federal law to help when people cannot or will not pay their debts.

Bench warrant-An order issued by a judge for the arrest of a person.

Bigamy-A person who enters into a marriage with one person while still legally married to another.

Bill of particulars-A written statement specifying the details of the demand set forth in the petition in a civil action.

Binder-An outline of the basic terms of a proposed sales contract between a buyer and a seller.

Bond-Money or property given to the court to hold a hearing date or to get someone out of jail.

Breach of contract-The failure to do what one promised to do under a contract. Proving a breach of contract is a prerequisite of any suit for damages based on the contract.

Breach of trust-Any act or omission on the part of the trustee, which is inconsistent with the terms of the trust agreement; or the law of trusts. A prime example is the redirecting of trust property from the trust to the trustee, personally.

Breach of warranty- A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.

Brief-A written statement by counsel summarizing the facts of a case, the pertinent laws, and arguments of how the law applies to the facts supporting the lawyer's position.

Burden of proof-In civil cases, the plaintiff must prove her/his case with a "preponderance of evidence."

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Buy-Sell agreement-An agreement among business partners that specifies how shares in the business are to be transferred in the case of a co-owner's death.

C.A.R.-Abbreviation for "Colorado Appellate Rules".

C.R.S.- C.R.C.C.P.: Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure.

C.R.S.- C.R.C.P. Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

C.R.S.-Abbreviation for "Colorado Revised Statutes".

Capacity-A legal qualification, such as legal age, that determines one's ability to sue or be sued, to enter into a binding contract, etc.; or the mental ability to understand the nature and effect of one's acts.

Case law-Law based on previous verdicts and written judicial decisions.

Case management order-An order by the court that outlines the steps the parties must follow for their case to continue.

Cease and desist order-An order of an administrative agency or court prohibiting a person or business from continuing a particular course of conduct.

Certificate of Service-An area on a court form, usually at the end of the form, where you tell the court how and when you provided a copy of the court form to the other person in the case, before or directly after you gave the form to the court.

Certified copies-A copy obtained from the court that is certified by the clerk to be an authentic copy of the court record.

Change of venue-When a court case is moved from one location to another, usually because of a question of fairness.

Chapter 13 bankruptcy-A type of bankruptcy in which a person keeps his assets and pays creditors according to an approved plan.

Chapter 7 bankruptcy-A type of bankruptcy in which a person's assets are liquidated (collected and sold) and the proceeds are distributed to the creditors.

Character evidence-Proof or testimony given about another person's moral standing, general character, and reputation.

Charging lien-Entitles a lawyer who has sued someone on a client's behalf the right to be paid from the proceeds of the lawsuit, if there are any, before the client receives those proceeds.

Charter-A city's organic law, which means the law concerning a city issue will trump a state law governing the same issue.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Chattel-Moveable or transferable property; especially personal property.

Child and Family Investigator (CFI)-A court-appointed expert that investigates and writes a report to the Judge as to the children's best interests in a controversial divorce or custody case.

Child support-Money paid by a parent to the person with custody of the child(ren) to help meet the financial needs of their child(ren).

Child Support Enforcement (CSE)-A government agency that assists in collecting current and past-due child support. CSE also helps in establishing new support orders and paternity.

Circumstantial evidence-Evidence that implies something occurred but does not directly prove it.

Citation-An order to appear in court at a certain place and time. Issuance of a citation is not an arrest.

Civil action-A non-criminal proceeding designed to resolve disputes between individuals and/or businesses.

Civil Protection Orders: (Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil Protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365).

Civil Union-Civil union" means a relationship established by two eligible persons that entitles them to receive the benefits and protections and be subject to the responsibilities of spouses. C.R.S. 14-15-103

Claim-To demand or assert as a right. Facts that combine to give rise to a legally enforceable right or judicial action. Demand for relief. A claim is something that one party owes another. Someone may make a legal claim for money, or property.

Claimant-A person or entity to whom the decedent of the estate has a financial or other obligation also known as a *creditor*.

Class action suit-A lawsuit in which one or more parties file a complaint on behalf of themselves and all other people who are "similarly situated" (suffering from the same problem).

Often used when a large number of people have comparable claims.

Clear and convincing evidence-Evidence indicating that the thing to be proved is highly probably or reasonably certain. This requires more than a "preponderance of the evidence" but less than "beyond a reasonable doubt."

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Clerk and Recorder-The Clerk and Recorder issues and records marriage licenses, records all real estate transactions, issues liquor licenses, registers voters, manages all primary, general and county elections, and sometimes city and school district elections. The Clerk and Recorder also operates the Motor Vehicle Divisions in the State of Colorado for titling vehicles and issuing license plates. There is a Clerk and Recorder in each county in Colorado.

Closing arguments-The final statements in a trial arguing for the evidence you presented or against the evidence the other side presented. This is your final chance to persuade the Judge or jury.

Co-petitioner-A person who jointly completes, signs, and files a written Petition, or a written application to the Court with the Petitioner, asking for specific action to be taken.

Coercion-Compulsion by physical force or threat of physical force; an act, such as signing a will under coercion, is not legally valid.

Cohabitation-A living arrangement in which an unwed couple live together in an intimate relationship that resembles a marriage.

Collateral-An asset that a borrower agrees to give up if he or she fails to repay a loan.

Collateral estoppel-In civil litigation, an affirmative defense to a claim that bars a party from relitigating an issue determined in an earlier action, even if the two actions significantly differ from one another.

Collusion-A secret agreement between two or more persons, who seem to have conflicting interests, to abuse the law or the legal system, deceive a court or to defraud a third party.

Colorado Rules of Professional Conduct-The rules of conduct that govern the legal profession. The rules contain general ethical guidelines and specific rules written by the American Bar Association and adopted by the Colorado Supreme Court.

Comity-A code of etiquette that governs the interactions of courts in different states, localities and foreign countries. Courts generally agree to defer scheduling a trial if the same issues are being tried in a court in another jurisdiction. In addition, courts in this country agree to recognize and enforce the valid legal contracts and court orders of other countries.

Common law marriage-A marriage that takes legal effect, without license or ceremony, when a couple live together as husband and wife, intend to be married and hold themselves out to others as a married couple.

Community property-Property purchased or received by a couple during their marriage.

Comparative negligence-Also called comparative fault. The doctrine by which acts of opposing parties are compared in fault on a percentage basis. A party who is 50 percent or more at fault cannot recover. A party who is less than 50 percent at fault may recover, but at the reduced percentage.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Compensatory damages-Money awarded to reimburse actual costs, such as medical bills and lost wages. Also awarded for things that are harder to measure, such as pain and suffering.

Complainant-In civil cases, the person who initiates a lawsuit. In criminal cases, the chief or only witness for the prosecution may sometimes be referred to as the complainant.

Complaint-In a civil action, the document that initiates a lawsuit. The complaint outlines the alleged facts and reason for the case. In a criminal action, a complaint is the preliminary charges filed against a defendant, usually filed by the police or court.

Concurrent jurisdiction-A situation where more than one court has jurisdiction over the same subject matter. Usually the first court that takes the case obtains jurisdiction.

Condemnation-A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).

Confidentiality-The state of having the release of certain information restricted. It is the relationship between a lawyer and a client, guardian and ward, or between spouses, with regard to the trust that is placed in the one by the other.

Conflict of interest-A conflict of interest occurs when a person or organization has a responsibility to two or more people or organizations at the same time, but doing the best thing for one may harm the other.

Contempt of court-A process brought before the Court to enforce non-compliance the existing order by one or more of the parties.

Continuance-The postponement of a court case for another time or day.

Contract-An agreement between two or more parties creating obligations enforceable or otherwise recognizable at law.

Contributory negligence-In any action based upon the negligence of the defendant, the plaintiff's own contributory negligence may either defeat or reduce the amount of recovery.

Conviction-A finding that the defendant is guilty in a criminal case.

Copyright-A person's property right to prevent others from copying works that they have written, authored or otherwise created.

Corroborating evidence-Additional evidence which strengthens or confirms already existing evidence.

Counterclaim-After a lawsuit is filed, a claim for relief brought by a defendant against the plaintiff, particularly a claim in opposition to or as a setoff against the plaintiff's claim.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

County Court Counter Claim: A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313).

Court of record-Any court that makes a record of the proceedings.

County Felony-Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the county court level before being bound over (sent) to district court.

Covenant- Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breach of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowner's associations.

Creditor-A person or entity to whom the decedent of the estate has a financial or other obligation also known as a *claimant*.

Cross-claim-A claim by one defendant against another defendant.

Cross-examination-Questioning of the other party's witness.

Custodian-The person appointed to manage and dispense funds for a child without constricting court supervision and accounting requirements.

Custody-In family law cases, it is also known as allocation of parental responsibilities, and refers to decision-making and parenting time. In criminal cases, this means the restraint of a person's freedom in any significant way. Someone in jail is considered to be "in custody".

Cyberstalking-The act of threatening, harassing or annoying someone throughout cyberspace, with the intent of placing the recipient in fear that the person threatening will injure that person or the person's family or household.

Damages-The monetary compensation which may be recovered by a party for personal injury, or loss or damage to one's property or rights as a result of another party's unlawful act or negligence.

Debtor-A person who owes money, goods or services to another.

Decedent-A person who has passed away.

Declaratory Judgment- A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations.

Decree-The final order of the Court that disposes of or ends the marriage or legal separation proceedings.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Deed-A written legal document that describes a piece of property and outlines its boundaries. The seller of a property transfers ownership by delivering the deed to the buyer in exchange for an agreed upon sum of money.

Default-If the defendant does NOT appear at the time of the hearing or file an answer, the Court may enter "default" or "failure to appear/answer" which entitles the plaintiff to all relief asked for in the complaint (i.e. money, possession of property, etc.).

Default judgment-When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, she/he is in default and a judgment by default may be entered by the clerk of court.

Defendant-The person that is being sued or the person charged with a crime in a criminal case.

Dependency and Neglect- A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of their parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.).

Depose-To make a deposition, to give evidence in the shape of a deposition, to make statements which are written down and sworn to; to give testimony which is reduced to writing by a duly qualified officer and sworn to by the deponent.

Deposition-The testimony of a witness, taken in writing, under oath or affirmation, in answer to questions. This is held out of court with no Judge present, but the answers often can be used as evidence in the trial.

Determination of Interests- A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something. (*e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.*).

Devisee-A person or entity designated in a Will to receive real or personal property.

Diligent efforts-Steady, persistent efforts to locate an individual to complete personal service, including contacting friends, family, business associates; completing an internet search; and attempting personal service by a Process Server, Police Department or Sheriff's Office.

Dismissal with prejudice-A dismissal "with prejudice" bars refileing of the lawsuit or charge.

Dismissal without prejudice-The dismissal of a case while allowing the party to sue again on the same cause of action at some future time.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Disposition- The final settlement of a matter, and with reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition.

Dissolution- Often used in divorce cases. Ending a marriage or civil union.

Distraint Warrant- A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding State Taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets.

District Court- District Courts hear civil cases in any amount, as well as domestic relations, criminal, juvenile, probate, and mental health cases. District court decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals (in some cases directly to the Colorado Supreme Court).

Docket- The schedule of court proceedings.

Domicile- The permanent residence of a person, a place to which, even if he/she were temporary absence, they intend to return. In law, it is said that a person may have many residences but only one domicile.

Duces tecum- Latin meaning "bring with you". Used most frequently for a subpoena (as in "subpoena duces tecum") which seeks not the appearance of a person before a court but the surrender of a thing (document or some other evidence) by its holder, to the court, to serve as evidence in a trial.

Due process- A fundamental, constitutional guarantee that all legal proceedings will be fair and that one will be given notice of the proceedings and an opportunity to be heard before the government acts to take away one's life, liberty, or property.

Duress- Where a person is prevented from acting (or not acting) according to their free will, by threats or force or force of another, it is said to be "Under Duress".

Early neutral evaluation- An early intervention in a lawsuit by a court appointed evaluator, to narrow, eliminate, and simplify issues and to assist in case planning and management. Settlement of the case may occur.

Easement- Gives one party the right to go onto another party's property. Utilities often get easements.

Emancipation- The freeing of a minor child from the control or custody of his or her parent(s) and allowing the minor to live on his or her own or under the control of others. It usually applies to adolescents who leave their parents' household by agreement or demand. Emancipation may also end the responsibility of a parent for the acts of a child, including debts, negligence, or criminal acts.

En banc- A session in which the entire membership of the court participates in a decision.

Encumbrance- Any claim or restriction on a property's title.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Enjoin-To order a person to perform, or to abstain and desist from performing a specified act or course of conduct.

Escrow-Money or documents, such as a deed or title, held by a third party until the conditions of an agreement are met. For instance, pending the completion of a real estate transaction, the deed to the property will be held "in escrow."

Estate-All property owned by a person on the date of death that is subject to probate.

Eviction-Legally removing someone from a property, like an apartment or house, because they aren't paying rent or aren't following the rules in a lease.

Evidence-The testimony, writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses that are offered to prove the existence or nonexistence of a fact.

Ex parte-Latin that means "by or for one party." A proceeding in which only one party takes part.

Exemplified-Copy of a court document with court seals from the out-of-state Court verifying the authenticity of the document.

Exhibit-A paper document or other physical object or photograph introduced into evidence to prove or deny a claim during a trial, hearing, or deposition.

Exigent circumstances-Emergency conditions.

Expedited Placement- A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.).

Expert witness-A witness with a specialized knowledge of a subject who is allowed to discuss an event in court even though he or she was not present.

Expunge-To physically erase, to white or strike out. To "expunge" something from a court record means to remove every reference to it. In juvenile delinquency records, "such records are deemed never to have existed".

Extortion-Forcing a person to give up property through the use of violence, fear or under pretense of authority.

Fact-finder-The person or persons who make(s) determinations regarding the facts that are disputed by the parties in a case. When a trial involves a jury, the jurors are the fact-finders. If there is no jury, then the judge or magistrate is the fact-finder.

Fact-finding-An investigation of a dispute by a neutral third party who examines the issues and facts in a case, and who may or may not recommend settlement procedures.

Family Court Facilitator-An individual who assists with domestic relations cases and conducts Initial Status Conferences. The Family Court Facilitator can help you understand

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

what you need to do during your case, answer questions, and give you instructions about the next steps in your case. The Family Court Facilitator cannot give legal advice.

Family Support Registry-The central payment processing center for the State of Colorado for child support and maintenance (spousal/partner support)

Felony-A crime punishable by imprisonment or by death in a state penal institution.

Fiduciary-A person having a legal relationship of trust and confidence to another and having a duty to act primarily for the other's benefit, e.g., a guardian or trustee.

File-To deposit with the clerk of the court a written complaint or petition which is the opening step in a lawsuit and subsequent documents, including an answer, motions, petitions, orders etc.

A record of the court. A paper is said to be **filed** when it is delivered to the court to be kept on file as a matter of record and reference.

Forcible Entry and Detainer-Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased.

Foreclosure-When a borrower cannot repay a loan on a piece of property, like a house or condominium, and the lender asks the court for an order to force the sale of the property.

Foreclosure other than Rule 120- A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.).

Foreign judgment-Any judgment, decree, or order of a court of the United States or of any other courts outside of Colorado that should be honored and given effect by Colorado courts. (Civil protection orders issued by other states are not considered foreign judgments and have their own process and rules for enforcement, found in C.R.S. § 13-14-104.)

Forgery-A false document, signature, or other imitation of an object of value used with the intention to deceive another into believing it is the real thing.

Formal-Opening an estate after prior notice to Interested Persons.

Fraud-Intentionally deceiving someone and causing that person to suffer a loss.

Fugitive-A person who runs away to avoid arrest, prosecution or imprisonment.

Gag order-A judge's order prohibiting the attorneys and parties in a pending lawsuit or criminal prosecution from talking about the case to the media or the public.

Garnishee-The person or entity (often a bank or employer) that receives a court order garnishing wages or funds it owes to a debtor.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Garnishment-A court-ordered procedure for taking money or property from someone to satisfy a debt. For example, a debtor's wages might be garnished to pay child support, back taxes, or a lawsuit judgment.

Good faith-Honestly and without deception.

Goods Sold and Delivered- A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services, but has failed to pay for them.

Grand jury-A panel of members of the public chosen from regular jury pool lists. This panel determines whether there is enough evidence to charge someone for a serious crime. Any charges issued by a grand jury are called indictments.

Green card-Also known as a permanent resident card. A Green Card holder is an immigrant who has become a lawful permanent resident of the U.S., and who can work legally, travel abroad and return, apply for permission for his/her spouse and children to immigrate to the U.S., and become eligible for citizenship.

Gross negligence-Refers to actions or inactions where there was a failure to use even the slightest amount of care in a way that shows recklessness or willful disregard for the safety of others.

Guardian-A person who is trusted by law with the care of another person or property of another, or both, as a minor or someone legally incapable of managing his or her own affairs.

Guardian ad litem-A court-appointed representative who is to defend or protect the interest of a person under legal disability, such as a child or incompetent individual involved in a legal court proceeding.

Guardianship-The office, duty, or authority of a guardian.

Habeas corpus-Latin phrase meaning "you have the body". A Writ of Habeas Corpus is an order to bring a person before the court.

Habitual offender-Also known as a "recidivist." A person who is convicted and sentenced for multiple crimes over a period of time and even after serving sentences of incarceration, demonstrating a tendency toward criminal conduct.

Harassment-Used in variety of legal contexts to describe words, gestures, and actions which tend to annoy, alarm and abuse (verbally) another person.

Hearing-Any proceeding before a judge or other magistrate without a jury in which evidence and/or argument is presented to determine some issue of fact or both issues of fact and law. While technically a trial with a judge sitting without a jury fits the definition, a hearing usually refers to brief sessions involving a specific question at some time prior to the trial itself.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Hearsay-A written or spoken statement that was made outside of the court by someone other than the person testifying about that statement.

Heir-Person entitled to the property of the Decedent under statutes of Intestate Succession.

Heirs-Persons who are entitled by law to inherit the property of the deceased if there is no will specifying how the property should be divided.

Holographic will-An un-witnessed handwritten will. A few states, including Colorado, allow such documents to be admitted to probate, but most courts are very reluctant to accept them.

Homicide-The killing of one human being by the act, procurement, or omission of another. A person is guilty of criminal homicide if he purposely, knowingly, recklessly or negligently causes the death of another human being. Criminal homicide is murder, manslaughter or negligent homicide.

Hostile witness-A witness who testifies against the party who has called the person to testify. The hostile witness may be asked leading questions, as in cross-examination.

Hung jury-A divided jury that cannot agree on a unanimous verdict.

Illicit-Not permitted or allowed; unlawful.

Immaterial-Not essential or necessary, not important or pertinent; without weight; of no significance.

Immunity-Freedom from duty or penalty; exemption, as from serving in an office or performing duties that the law generally requires other citizens to perform.

Impanel-All the steps of determining and forming the jury in the trial of a particular case.

Impaired mental condition-A condition of the mind, caused by mental disease or defect, which does not rise to the level of insanity but prevents the person from forming the mental state required to find him or her guilty of the crime. If he or she is found not guilty because of impaired mental condition, they may be committed to the Department of Institutions.

Impeach-To accuse or deny or contradict. To call into question the truthfulness of a witness through other evidence that shows that the witness should not be believed.

Implied consent laws-Laws adopted by all states that apply to testing for alcohol in the blood, breath or urine. The principle underlying these laws is that any licensed driver who operates a vehicle has consented to submit to approved tests to show intoxication.

In camera-In chambers; in private. A cause is said to be heard *in camera* either when the hearing is had before the judge in his/her private room or when all spectators are excluded from the courtroom.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Inadmissible-Information which, under the established rules of evidence, cannot be admitted or received by the court.

Incapacitated person-A person who is unable to effectively receive and/or evaluate information or make or communicate decisions to such a degree that the individual lacks the ability to take care of his/her basic needs of physical health, safety or self-care.

Incarceration-Imprisonment or confinement in a jail or prison.

Incest-Any person who knowingly marries, inflicts sexual penetration, or sexual intrusion on an ancestor or descendant, including a natural child, child by adoption, or stepchild twenty-one years of age or older, a brother or sister of the whole or half blood, or an uncle, aunt, nephew or niece of whole blood.

Incoming Registration of Support-A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he/she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments.

Incompetent-When someone is unable or unqualified to do something. The term also refers to someone who lacks the legal ability to stand trial or to testify, i.e., unqualified to testify.

Indictment-The formal written accusation of a crime made by a grand jury and presented to a court for prosecution against the accused person; the act or process of preparing or bringing the formal written accusation.

Indigent-A person meeting certain standards of poverty and, thereby, qualifying for waiver of fees or court-appointed counsel.

Informal-Opening an estate without prior notice of Interested Persons.

Informed consent-A person's agreement to allow something to happen or made with full knowledge of the risks involved and the alternatives.

Infraction-A violation, usually of a rule or local ordinance and usually not punishable by jail time.

Infringement-An act that interferes with one of the exclusive rights of a patent, copyright or trademark owner.

Initial Status Conference-A date for you to come to court to meet with a Family Court Facilitator who will help you understand what you need to do during your case, answer your questions, and give you instructions about the next steps in your case. However, you will not be given any legal advice.

Injunctive Relief- Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65).

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Injunction-A court order directing a person to keep oneself from doing something or ordering the person to do something.

Intent-The state of mind accompanying an act, especially a forbidden act. While motive is the reason for doing some act, intent is the mental resolution or determination to do it.

Interested persons-Person identified by Colorado Law who must be given notice of a court proceeding. The term may include heirs, children, spouse, devisees, beneficiaries, creditors, claimants and persons having priority to serve as personal representative, depending on the circumstances.

Interlocutory-Refers to an order, judgment or appeal, that is temporary and issued or taken while the case is still pending and is not final.

Interrogatories-A written set of questions, submitted to an opposing party in a lawsuit as part of discovery, or served on a judgment debtor by a judgment creditor trying to collect a debt. Interrogatories must be answered in writing and under oath.

Intervenor-A person who voluntarily enters into an action or other proceedings with the approval of the court.

Intestate-An estate in which the person (decedent) did not live a will.

Intestate formal-Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative.

Intestate Informal- Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.

Intestate succession-By Colorado law, a list of who will inherit the property when someone dies without a will.

Irrevocable trust-A trust that cannot be terminated by the settlor (the person who created the trust) once it's created.

Jeopardy-The risk of conviction and punishment that a criminal defendant faces at trial.

Joinder-The combining of parties or claims in a single lawsuit. Joinder can be either necessary or permissive.

Joint tenancy-Ownership by two or more people of the same property. This property may be real estate, cash or other items.

Judgment-A court's final determination of the rights and obligations of the parties in a case. The term includes a decree and any order that can be appealed.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Judgment creditor-The person(s), company or group who should receive a specific amount of money according to the Court's order.

Judgment debtor-The person(s), company or group who owes a specific amount of money according to the Court's order.

Jurisdiction-A court's power to decide a case or issue a decree.

Jury-A group of persons selected according to law and given the power to decide questions of fact and return a verdict in a court case.

Justice-The fair and proper administration of laws. It can also refer to a judge, especially of an appellate court.

Juvenile-A person who has not reached the age of majority, usually 18.

Juvenile delinquent-An underage person that has committed a crime in states where by law a minor lacks responsibility and may not be sentenced as an adult.

Legal Separation-A court order granting the right to live apart, with the rights and obligations of divorced persons, but without divorce. The order can include issues relating to child custody, alimony, child support, division of property and debts.

Letters-A document issued by the Court, identifying the authority of the Personal Representative.

Levy-A legal process to obtain property or cash from the judgment debtor to satisfy a judgment.

Liability-Any legal responsibility, duty or obligation.

Lien-A claim that a person has upon the property of another as security for a debt owed.

Litigant-A party to a lawsuit, one who is engaged in litigation, usually referred to active parties in a case.

Litigation-A lawsuit, legal action, including all proceedings therein.

Magistrate-A person other than a judge authorized by Colorado law or by Colorado court rules to make orders or judgments in court proceedings, like trials or hearings.

Maintenance-Maintenance is the new term for "alimony" or "spousal/partner support". Maintenance is financial support paid to a former spouse or partner.

Mandate-A command, order or direction, written or spoken which the court is authorized to give and a person is must obey.

May-In legal terms, "may" is defined as "optional" or "can".

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Mechanics' Lien-Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.).

Mediation-A trained neutral person helps people involved in a court case reach their own solution.

Minor-A person who does not have the legal rights of an adult. Someone who has not yet reached the age of majority which in most states is the age of 18.

Misdemeanor- A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.

Mistrial-A trial ended before a verdict is reached because of a basic error that is harmful to the defendant.

Modification-Any change to a current court order or decree.

Money- A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.

Money judgment-Part of a judgment that requires the payment of money by one party (the judgment debtor) to another (the judgment creditor).

Moot-An issue which no longer is important.

Motion-A written or oral request a party makes to the Court for a specified ruling or order.

Motion in limine-A written motion which is usually made before or after the beginning of a jury trial for a protective order against extremely harmful evidence.

Motion to suppress-A motion asking that allegedly secured illegal evidence be left out of the trial.

Nominee-The person seeking appointment as Personal Representative.

Notary Public-A person authorized by the state in which the person resides to certify documents. The signature and seal or stamp of a notary public is necessary to attest to the oath of truth of a person making an affidavit and to attest that a person has acknowledged that he/she executed a deed, power of attorney or other document, and is required for recording in public records

Note- A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.

Oath-A serious affirmation or promise to tell the truth or to take a certain action.

Order-A formal written direction given by a judge or magistrate.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Outgoing Registration of UIFSA- An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that they want to have enforced in another state.

Parental responsibility-This term includes both parenting time and decision-making responsibilities regarding the children. (The term "Custody" is no longer used.)

Parental Rights-In the State of Colorado the freedom to decide who cares for children and how. Also includes the freedom to decide how to spend time with a son or daughter, including activities and time, in order to raise a child. Decisions about school, religion, and medical treatment are included.

Parenting plan-A written document that identifies decision-making responsibilities, parenting time, relocation, child support, and child tax exemption relating to the children in a divorce or custody case.

Parenting time-Also known as "**Visitation**". The right of a parent to spend time with their children by order of the Court.

Party-One of the participants in a lawsuit or other legal proceeding who has an interest in the outcome.

Paternity-A specific man being the natural or biological father of a child.

Payable on Death (POD)-A bank account that names a specific person as beneficiary of all funds once the account holder dies. Probate is not necessary.

Permanent Protection Order (PPO)-An order granting continuous protection to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm, domestic abuse, emotional abuse and stalking.

Person in Interest-Property owner or other individual or group named as the landlord on a lease or person who has some sort of involvement or investment in the rental or home.

Personal representative-A person at least 21, resident or non-resident of Colorado, who has been appointed to administer the estate of the Decedent and may also be referred to as an Executor/Executrix.

Personal Service-Delivering a summons, complaint, or other legal document which must be served by handing it directly to the person named in the document.

Petition-A written application to the Court asking for specific action to be taken.

Petitioner-A person who is completing and filing a written Petition (application) with the Court

In Probate: A person who files a Petition for Formal Appointment of Personal Representative and/or Determination of Heirs.

Plaintiff-The person who starts a lawsuit. This person may also be known as "claimant", "petitioner", or "applicant".

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Pleading-The formal presentation of claims and defenses by parties to a lawsuit. The specific papers by which the allegations of parties to a lawsuit are presented in proper form; specifically, the complaint of a plaintiff and the answer of a defendant plus any additional responses to those papers that are authorized by law.

Power of Attorney-A written document in which one person appoints another person to act as an agent on his or her behalf, giving authority to perform certain acts or functions.

Prenuptial agreement-A written agreement setting out terms of the relationship for the division of property and financial issues for a couple who are about to live together or marry should the relationship or marriage later be dissolved.

Pro bono-For the good; used to describe work or services done or performed free of charge.

Pro se- (pronounced pro say) Latin phrase that means "for himself." A person who represents himself in court alone without the help of a lawyer is said to appear pro se.

Probate-A type of court case or area of law that focuses on guardianships, conservatorships, or division of a person's property after death.

Process Server-A person who serves or delivers legal paperwork.

Promissory note-A written document in which a borrower agrees (promises) to pay back money to a lender according to specified terms

Public Administrator Statement-A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.

Public Notice-Document which is submitted to the local newspaper (that must meet the qualifications of a legal publication) to publish notice of a requested name change.

Public Trustee-In Colorado, an individual appointed by the Governor that keeps deeds of trusts related to real estate, handles the sale of foreclosed real estate, and collects taxes related to real estate. A public trustee is appointed in each county or the treasurer in the county acts as public trustee.

Public Utilities Commission- A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.).

Publication-A method of providing legal notice, conveying or making information generally known to the public, usually by means of an approved newspaper in the appropriate county or district.

Real property-Land and all the things that are attached to it, like a garage or barn. Anything that is not real property is personal property. Personal property is anything that isn't nailed down, dug into, or built onto the land. A house is real property, but a dining room set is not.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Registered Agent-A person authorized to accept Service of Process for a corporation.

Registration of Foreign Decree- When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.).

Replevin-Legal way to get property back from a person who has wrongfully taken or kept property. You must have had or have the right to keep to the property.

Respondent-A person who has been named in a court case, and was served legal documents that were started and given to the Court by another person, known as the "Petitioner". If the Respondent wishes to have a say in the case they must file or give a response to the Court.

Return of Service-Written proof under oath by a process server saying that they delivered legal documents (such as a summons and complaint). "Return of Service" is also referred to as "Proof of Service".

Right of Survivorship-When property is owned by two or more people and one of the owners dies, his or her share goes to the remaining owners without needing to open a probate case.

Rule 105 Quiet Title- Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).

Rule 106 Writs/Contempt-A civil case brought in district court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.

Rule 120 Deed of Trust- A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).

Satisfaction of Judgment-The document stating the Judgment Debtor has satisfied (paid) the judgment. If the judgment has been paid, this document must be filed by the Judgment Creditor with the Clerk of Court in order to remove the judgment from credit reports as being unpaid. The satisfaction can be "Full" or "Partial".

Security Agreement-A contract between a lender and borrower that states that the lender can repossess the property a person has offered as collateral if the loan is not paid as agreed.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Self-represented Litigant-Also known as a Pro Se Party. A person who represents himself or herself in court without the help of a lawyer.

Separation Agreement-Written arrangements concerning custody or parental obligation, child support, spousal maintenance (alimony), and property division made by a married couple who are usually about to obtain a divorce or legal separation

Serve-To give court paperwork that starts a court case or is part of a court case to the person that is being sued or the other person in the case. This is done by a person who isn't involved in the case or a sheriff or private process server. The person starting the case **cannot** deliver the paperwork.

Service of Process

The official act by which a party is notified that a court action has been filed against them. They are personally served with a copy of the document(s) filed and information as to the steps they should take in order to respond to the court action.

Services Rendered- A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.

Settle-To reach an agreement.

Settlement-The resolution or compromise by the parties in a civil lawsuit.

Settlement Conference-An informal assessment and negotiation session conducted by a legal professional who hears both sides of the case, may advise the parties on the law and precedent relating to the dispute, and suggest a settlement. An informal assessment and negotiation session conducted by a legal professional who hears both sides of the case, may advise the parties on the law and precedent relating to the dispute, and suggest a settlement.

Shall-In legal terms, "shall" is defined as "required".

Single Transaction-A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.

Special Administrator Formal- A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on Petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.).

Special Administrator Informal-A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Special District- Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.).

Specific Performance-A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.

Statute of Limitations-Laws setting deadlines for filing lawsuits within a certain time after events occur that are the source of a claim.

Stay-An order stopping a judicial proceeding or execution of a judgment.

Stipulation-An agreement by opposing lawyers on any matter. Most stipulations must be in writing.

Strict Liability-A concept applied by courts in product liability cases in which a seller is liable for any and all defective or hazardous products that unduly threaten a consumer's personal safety.

Subpoena-An order to a witness to appear and testify at a specified time and place.

Subpoena Duces Tecum-Latin meaning, "bring with you". Subpoena duces tecum seeks not the appearance of a person before a court but the surrender of a thing (document or some other evidence) by its holder, to the court, to serve as evidence in a trial.

Summation-The closing argument in a trial.

Summons-(1)An order to a sheriff or other officer to notify a named person that a civil action has been commenced against him or her and that he or she is required to appear within a specified period and answer the complaint.(2) A written order or notice directing that a person appear before a designated court at a stated time and place and answer to a charge against him or her. (3) The document initiates all civil law suits and is referred to as process.

Supersedeas Bond-A bond required of a party who asks to set aside a judgment or execution and from which the other party may be paid if the action is unsuccessful.

Sworn Financial Statement-A written document that contains financial information to include monthly income, expenses, debts, and value of assets.

Temporary Injunction-An automatic Court order in place until the Decree is entered or until further order of the Court. The order prevents the transfer of property, ending of insurance coverage, etc. without the permission of the other person in the case.

Temporary Order-Written paperwork from the Court, short-term, to deal with issues such as spousal maintenance (alimony), child support, or financial responsibilities until the final decree of divorce is made.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Temporary Protection Order (TPO)-Short-term written paperwork from the court granted without notice or hearing. A Temporary Protection Order (TPO) keeps one party from the other until the court can hear more evidence and decide whether to issue a permanent protection order.

Tenants in Common-Property that is owned by two or more people. When one person dies his or her part of the property goes to his or her estate rather than to the remaining co-owners. A probate case may be necessary.

Termination (terminated case)-A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.

Testate-Estate in which the person who died left a will.

Testate Formal- A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).

Testate Informal- A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.

Testator-The person who makes a will.

Testimony-The statements made by a witness, under oath, usually related to a legal proceeding; evidence given by a witness under oath or affirmation, as distinguished from evidence from writing and other sources.

Tort-An injury or wrong committed, with or without force, against someone or their property.

Transcript of Judgment-A one-page document from the Court that gives the name of the Judgment Debtor (person or company who owes money), Judgment Creditor (the person or company who is owed money) and the date and amount of the judgment.

Transferable on Death (TOD)-Naming beneficiaries to receive your money and property when you die without filing a probate case.

Traverse-A denial; where a Defendant denies any important claim of fact in the Plaintiff's statement.

Trust-Property given to a trustee to manage for the benefit of another person. Generally, the beneficiary gets interest and dividends on the trust assets for a set number of years.

Trustee-Person or group that supervises and manages a trust.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Uniform Veterans Guardianship-Act: A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veteran's Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.

Valid Claim-A grievance that can be resolved by legal action.

Verdict-The opinion rendered by a jury, or a judge where there is no jury, on a question of fact. A verdict differs from a judgment in that a verdict is not a judicial determination, but is a finding of fact.

Vicarious Liability-When one person is liable for the negligent actions of another person, even though the first person was not directly responsible for the injury. For instance, a parent sometimes can be vicariously liable for the harmful acts of a child and an employer sometimes can be vicariously liable for the acts of a worker.

Victim-Any person aggrieved by the conduct of an offender. For a complete listing of who may be considered a victim, please see Section 18-1.3-602(4) of the Colorado Revised Statutes.

Wage execution-The act of taking a person's wages to satisfy a judgment. Also known as garnishment.

Waiver-The intentional and voluntary relinquishment of a legal right.

Waiver of Service-A voluntary acceptance of service by the Respondent giving up his or her right to proof of service or service of future court documents or notices of hearings from the Petitioner.

Warrant-An order issued by the court ordering any peace officer to arrest the person named or described in the order.

Warrantless Arrest-An arrest of a person without a warrant. It is generally permissible if the arresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the person has committed a felony or if the person has committed a misdemeanor amounting to a breach of the peace.

Witness-One who testifies under oath as to what she/he has seen, heard or otherwise observed.

Writ-An order issued from a court requiring the performance of a specified act, or giving authority to have it done.

Writ of Attachment-A writ used to enforce obedience to an order or judgment of the court by taking property.

Writ of Certiorari-An order by the appellate court that is used when the court has discretion on whether or not to hear an appeal. If the writ is denied, the court refused to hear the appeal and, in effect, the judgment below stands unchanged. If the writ is granted, then it

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

has the effect of ordering the lower court to certify the record and send it up to the higher court that will use its discretion to hear the case.

Writ of Execution-An order from the court to put in force the judgment or decree of a court by taking property of the person who owes money to pay a debt.

Writ of Habeas Corpus- Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined.

