9:00 a.m. EN BANC

Virtual via Cisco WebEx

2020SA278

Plaintiffs-Appellants:

Robert Kint Glover, Gerald Kiefer, Marjorie R Kiefer Marital Trust, Blair A Kiefer Family Trust, Jane Raeleen Dunn, Friday LLC, and The Estate of Robert Kint Glover,

and

Appellant:

Gregory Cucarola,

٧.

Defendants-Appellees:

Serratoga Falls LLC; Resource Land Holdings LLC; Jesse McDowell; Town of Timnath; Kitchel Lake Development Corporation; Kitchel Lake Partners, LLC; James Righeimer; Lee Lowrey; and Kenneth Mitchell.

Appeal from the District Court, , 2018CW3166

Docketed: August 10, 2020 At Issue: March 22, 2021 For the Plaintiff-Appellants Robert Kint Glover, Gerald Kiefer, Marjorie R. Kiefer Marital Trust, Jane Raeleen Dunn, Friday LLC, and the Estate of Robert King Glover:

Craig Corona
LAW OFFICE OF CRAIG CORONA PC
and
Kevin J Kinnear
PORZAK BROWNING BUSHONG LLP

For the Appellant Gregory Cucarola:

Michael T McConnell Robert W Steinmetz MCCONNELL VAN PELT LLC

For the Defendant-Appellee Serratoga Falls LLC, Resource Land Holdings LLC, and Jesse McDowell:

Joshua Aaron Weiss Steven Owen Sims Hubert A Farbes BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK LLP

For the Defendant-Appellee Town of Timnath:

Jeffrey J Kahn Jeffrey Scott Rose LYONS GADDIS KAHN HALL JEFFERS DWOR

For the Defendant-Appellee Kitchel Lake Development Corporation, Kitchel Lake Partners, James Righeimer, Lee Lowrey and Kenneth Mitchell:

Allan Massey
VAHRENWALD MCMAHILL MASSEY MITCHEL

ISSUE(S):

- 1. Whether the water court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the action.
- a. Whether the case involves any "water matter."
- b. Whether there is ancillary jurisdiction over any non-water matter.
- c. Whether the water court erred as a matter of law in proceeding withoutpublication of a resume notice.
- 2. In the alternative, if the water court had subject matter jurisdiction, whether the court committed multiple legal errors in rulings on the merits.
- a. Whether the water court erred as a matter of law in holding that Plaintiffs'claim for trespass upon the KG Lateral easement required proof of damages.
- b. Whether the water court erred as a matter of law in concluding that Plaintiffs'KG Lateral's trespass claims lacked substantial justification and awardingsanctions on those claims.
- c. Whether the water court erred as a matter of law when it dismissed Kiefer'sclaims to a Prospect Lateral easement.
- d. Whether the water court erred as a matter of law by not declaring all of theparties' rights and duties in the subject easements.
- e. Whether the water court erred as a matter of law by awarding attorneys' fees.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 Virtual via Cisco WebEx 10:15 a.m. EN BANC

2020SC205

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Randy D. Tallent.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2015CA40

Docketed: March 11, 2020 At Issue: March 22, 2021 For the Petitioner: Melissa Dick Allen

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent: Joseph T Goodner

JOSEPH T. GOODNER

ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals failed to follow this court's decision in *People v. Morehead*, 2019 CO 48, 442 P.3d 413, by attempting to define and constrain the trial court's exercise of its discretion on remand.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 Virtual via Cisco WebEx 1:00 p.m. EN BANC

2019SC249 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Kerry Lee Cooper.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2015CA576

Docketed: April 4, 2019 At Issue: March 22, 2021 For the Petitioner:

Katharine Jackson Gillespie
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent:

Tracy C Renner
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in concluding that blind expert testimony on domestic violence was inadmissible because the charged act was the first act of domestic violence in the relationship.

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in concluding that blind expert testimony on domestic violence must be limited to those facets of a subject that are specifically tied to the particular facts of the case.

Whether the court of appeals erred in finding that the admission of the expert testimony was not harmless.

2019SC485 (30 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Dylan Thomas Coons.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2015CA1922

Docketed: June 26, 2019 At Issue: March 22, 2021 For the Petitioner:

William George Kozeliski COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW

For the Respondent:

Britta Kruse

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals erred in finding the entirety of a blind expert's testimony under CRE 702 must be limited to occurrences that are specifically tied to the particular facts of the case.

Whether the court of appeals erred in finding the admission of the expert testimony was not harmless.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 Virtual via Cisco WebEx

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2020SC251 (ONE HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Paul Alex Lavadie.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2018CA1308

Docketed: March 25, 2020 At Issue: March 22, 2021 For the Petitioner:
Jacob Robert Lofgren
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW

For the Respondent:
Jud A Lohnes
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

ISSUE(S):

Whether, under circumstances where a defendant refuses to participate in an advisement pursuant to *People v. Arguello*,772 P.2d 87 (Colo. 1989), a district court must advise the defendant that his failure to participate in the advisement will cause him to lose the right to represent himself.

Whether, under circumstances where a defendant refuses to participate in an initial Arguello advisement, a district court must repeat that advisement at every subsequent hearing where he repeats his request to represent himself.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 Virtual via Cisco WebEx 10:15 a.m. EN BANC

2020SC401 (30 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

Mark A. Strepka,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2016CA348 Docketed: May 19, 2020

Docketed: May 19, 2020 At Issue: March 22, 2021 For the Petitioner: Mark David Evans

COLORADO STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

For the Respondent:

Brock J Swanson

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

ISSUE(S):

Whether trial courts lose jurisdiction to dispose of property, unlawfully seized by the government from Colorado citizens, at the instant criminal charges are dismissed.

11:00 a.m. EN BANC

2020SC292 (30 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

Lo Viento Blanco, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company,

٧.

Respondent:

Woodbridge Condominium Association, Inc., a Colorado nonprofit corporation.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2018CA2250

Docketed: April 9, 2020 At Issue: March 22, 2021

ISSUE(S):

Whether, under Colorado law, an adverse occupier's acknowledgement or recognition of the owner's title during the occupant's claimed prescriptive period interrupts the prescriptive use and defeats the presumption that any use was adverse.

For the Petitioner:

Katayoun Azizpour Donnelly AZIZPOUR DONNELLY LLC and James A Knowlton LAW OFFICE OF JAMES A KNOWLTON LLC

For the Respondent:

Lucas A Peck Heather Jane Manolakas PECK FEIGENBAUM PC