District Court, Boulder County, Colorado Court Address: 1776 6 th Avenue Boulder, CO 80306	
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO	DATE FILED: September 20, 2023
v.	
AHMAD AL ALIWI ALISSA Defendant.	
	σ COURT USE ONLY σ
Megan Ring, Colorado State Public Defender Kathryn Herold #40075	Case No. 21CR497
Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Samuel Dunn #46901	Division 13
Deputy State Public Defender	
Boulder Regional Public Defenders	
2555 55TH Street D-200, Boulder, CO 80301	
Phone: (303) 444-2322 Fax: (303) 449-6432	
E-mail: boulder.defenders@state.co.us	

MR. ALISSA'S CONTINUED OBJECTION TO DR. SCOTT BENDER TESTIFYING REMOTE (D-025)

Mr. Alissa, through counsel, objects to Dr. Scott Bender testifying remotely. In support of this motion, Mr. Alissa states the following:

- 1. Mr. Alissa is set for a restoration hearing on September 27th and 28th, 2023.
- 2. The government is intending on calling Dr. Scott Bender. They have requested he appear remotely.
- 3. It is not totally clear to Mr. Alissa what the purpose of Dr. Bender's testimony is at the hearing considering he has never evaluated Mr. Alissa. Previously, in P-014, the government outlined some possible subjects that Dr. Bender may cover at a restoration hearing. These subjects include malingering and deception, neuropsychological considerations in forensic mental health assessments, and competency to stand trial. The government further stated that Dr. Bender will testify that CMHIP does not possess real, objective evidence with which to evaluate Mr. Alissa appropriately. According to the government Dr. Bender will address the utility of a forensic neuropsychological exam and the clinical need for it. By failing to conduct such an exam, according to Dr. Bender, CMHIP lacks the appropriate and objective basis for reaching their conclusion and he has never seen such a failure.
- 4. Since the government's initial request for a restoration hearing, CMHIP filed a report stating that they believe Mr. Alissa is restored to competency. Since the bulk of Dr.

Bender's testimony is attempting to attack the credibility of CMHIP it is unclear the relevancy of his testimony at the current hearing. That said, if the government intends on calling him, he should have to appear in person.

- 5. Mr. Alissa has a right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him. See U.S. Const. amend. V, XIV and Colo. Const. amend. VI. This right includes exposing bias, intent, and motive of the witness. The right to confront should be in person. The Court cannot make the appropriate findings of Dr. Bender's credibility if he is appearing remotely. The credibility of Dr. Bender's testimony will be at issue, particularly because he is making accusations Mr. Alissa may be malingering and/or is deceptive, when no other doctor who has actually met with and/or evaluated Mr. Alissa has made such an assertion.
- 6. Mr. Alissa has a right to confront, face-to-face, in a courtroom, a "blind" expert who is opining on the opinions of others regarding his mental health and whether he has been restored to competency.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Alissa objects to Dr. Bender appearing remote at the restoration hearing.

MEGAN A. RING COLORADO STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

Kathryn Herold #40075 Supervising Deputy State Public Defender

Samuel Dunn #46901

Deputy State Public Defender

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on ____09.20_ 2023, I served the foregoing document through Colorado E filing to all opposing counsel of record.

Dated: September 20, 2023