SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, December 11, 2024

Bailiff: Chambers of Chief Justice Márquez

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2023SC81 (40 MINS.)

### Petitioner:

Adam Douglas Densmore,

٧.

### Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

### For the Petitioner:

Chelsea E. Mowrer

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

### For the Respondent:

Grant R. Fevurly

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

### For Amici Curiae ACLU of Colorado and Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel:

Timothy R. Macdonald

Sara Neel

Emma Mclean-Riggs

Laura Moraff

**ACLU FOUNDATION OF COLORADO** 

and

Zaven T. Saroyan

OFFICE OF RESPONDENT PARENTS' COUNSEL

## For Amicus Curiae Colorado Department of Human Services:

Nicole Chaney

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

# For Amici Curiae Denver Department of Human Services and Arapahoe County Department of Human Services:

Amy J. Packer

DENVER DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

and

Jordan Lewis

OFFICE OF THE ARAPAHOE COUNTY ATTORNEY

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2018CA1304 Docketed: February 02, 2023 At Issue: September 04, 2024

### ISSUE(S):

Whether Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966), applies when a Department of Human Services caseworker conducts a custodial interrogation.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, December 11, 2024

Bailiff: Chambers of Chief Justice Márquez

10:00 a.m. EN BANC

2023SC85 (40 MINS.)

#### Petitioner:

Patrick Frazee.

٧.

### Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

### For the Petitioner:

Sean James Lacefield
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

### For the Respondent:

Brittany Limes Zehner
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

## For Amici Curiae ACLU of Colorado and Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel:

Timothy R. Macdonald
Sara Neel
Emma Mclean-Riggs
Laura Moraff
ACLU FOUNDATION OF COLORADO
and
Zaven T. Saroyan
OFFICE OF RESPONDENT PARENTS' COUNSEL

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Department of Human Services in Support of Respondent:
Nicole Chaney

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For Amici Curiae Denver Department of Human Services and Arapahoe County Department of Human Services: Amy J. Packer DENVER DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES and Jordan Lewis OFFICE OF THE ARAPAHOE COUNTY ATTORNEY

For Amici Curiae Office of Alternate Defense Counsel and Colorado Criminal Defense Bar: Dean Neuwirth SPENCER FANE LLP

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2020CA35 Docketed: February 06, 2023 At Issue: September 09, 2024

### ISSUE(S):

Whether a Department of Human Services caseworker is law enforcement under Miranda, and if so whether Miranda's test for custody applies when someone in pretrial detention is questioned about the facts underlying their custody.

Bailiff: Chambers of Chief Justice Márquez

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

### 2024SC394 (1 HOUR)

### **Petitioners:**

League of Women Voters of Greeley, Weld County, Inc.; Latino Coalition of Weld County; Barbara Whinery; and Stacy Suninga;

٧.

### Respondent:

The Board of County Commissioners of the County of Weld County.

### For the Petitioners:

Kenneth F. Rossman Kendra N. Beckwith Elizabeth Michaels Joseph Hykan LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

### For the Respondent:

Matthew J. Hegarty Alexandra L. Bell HALL & EVANS LLC

## For Amici Curiae American Civil Liberties Union and American Civil Liberties Union of Colorado:

Timothy R. Macdonald Sara R. Neel Lindsey M. Floyd ACLU FOUNDATION OF COLORADO

### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Attorney General:

Natalie Hanlon Leh
Jennifer L. Sullivan
Kurtis T. Morrison
Alex J. Acerra
Joshua J. Luna
Cata A. Cuneo
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

C.A.R. 50 Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2024CA774

Docketed: June 07, 2024 At Issue: November 05, 2024

### ISSUE(S):

Whether the trial court erred in concluding that section 30-10-306, et seq., C.R.S. (2023), implies a private right of action.

Whether the trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff-appellants had standing to sue the Board based on nothing more than generalized grievance constituting pure procedural irregularities.

Whether the trial court erred in concluding as a matter of law that section 30-10-306, et seq., applies to a home rule county with a conflicting charter.

Whether the trial court erred in determining there is no conflict between the provisions of section 30-10-306, et seq., and the Weld County home rule charter.

Whether the Board must be directed to engage in a county commissioner redistricting process that complies with the redistricting statutes for future elections.

### SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

Public Hearing - 3:30 p.m.

Rules Governing the Admission to the Practice of Law in Colorado Rule 203.5